

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: WSJ follow-up question  
**Date:** Fri, 09 Jan 2015 21:23:53 +0000  
**Importance:** Normal

---

Thank you!

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 09, 2015 4:24 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: WSJ follow-up question

I don't have any comment on that.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 09, 2015 4:17 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: WSJ follow-up question

Hi, guys,

WSJ blog is going to publishing a follow-up piece. Please see below. I'm assuming that there is still no comment.

Let me know,

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Gershman, Jacob [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 09, 2015 4:06 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** WSJ follow-up question

H [REDACTED] I'm writing a post for Law Blog looking at earlier attempts by attorney Bradley Edwards to link Professor Dershowitz to the Epstein scandal. I'm focusing on a 2009 deposition of Alfredo Rodriguez in which he discusses seeing Mr. Dershowitz at Mr. Epstein's home in Palm Beach at the same time "young ladies" were at the house. At the time, Mr. Edwards was interested in deposing Mr. Dershowitz as a potential witness. I also refer to statements by [REDACTED] while prosecuting Mr. Rodriguez for obstruction of justice, describing a book of names and numbers he possessed as evidence that might have been potentially valuable had federal prosecutors been aware of it earlier. I just wanted to give you a heads-up on the piece and see if you wanted to provide any comment.

--Jacob

[REDACTED]

Jacob Gershman  
Reporter  
The Wall Street Journal  
1211 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
O: [REDACTED]  
C: [REDACTED]  
Twitter: @jacobgershman