

**From:** [REDACTED] v>

**To:** Paul Cassell [REDACTED] u>, "Brad Edwards [REDACTED]"

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: 1. Meeting Prior to Filing Responses to Motions & 2. Status of FOIA request

**Date:** Wed, 07 Jan 2015 16:17:18 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

Paul,

1. The meeting would be with [REDACTED] me. Is 10:00 a.m., at the U.S. Attorney's Office, a good time?
2. I hope to have the FOIA materials to you by close of business today. I have asked the FBI FOIA Unit if they can make the disclosure to the requester, whom I assume is you, via e-mail, in order to expedite the process.

---

**From:** Paul Cassell [mailto:[REDACTED]]

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 06, 2015 11:41 PM

**To:** [REDACTED], Brad Edwards [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: 1. Meeting Prior to Filing Responses to Motions & 2. Status of FOIA request

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for getting back to us on this -- and thanks for your help on the FOIA request. I'm sure you can put yourself in our shoes and see the urgency of the situation.

1. Re Meeting: Thank you for working around my teaching schedule. The best day for us is Thursday, January 15. Before I buy plane tickets and Brad works around his schedule, we just want to be clear that this is for a meeting with Mr. [REDACTED] in addition to you and [REDACTED]. Obviously there are some high level issues we would like to discuss in person with Mr. [REDACTED] on behalf of all our clients.

Thursday morning would be ideal for me, as that would permit me to fly home Thursday afternoon. Is that good for Mr. [REDACTED] and you? If so, give us a time and place (Miami?).

2. Re: FOIA request -- how are the redactions coming along? Again, please put yourself in our position -- we really need to see those 302's as soon as possible. We've tried to extend as many courtesies as we could to y'all wherever we could. Could you please do whatever is possible at your end to ask the FBI get us those 302's. It's not clear to us why redactions of what Jane Doe #3 herself said to the FBI agents are needed, but we don't want to quibble. We just would like to see the 302's quickly.

Thanks again for your help -- our meeting next week is, again, in the spirit of trying to figure out what we can agree on.

Paul Cassell and Brad Edwards for Jane Does 1 through 4

Paul G. Cassell  
Ronald N. Boyce Presidential Professor of Criminal Law  
S.J. Quinney College of Law at the University of Utah

[REDACTED] 0

You can access my publications on <http://ssrn.com/author=30160>

CONFIDENTIAL: This electronic message - along with any/all attachments - is confidential. This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not use, disseminate, distribute or copy this communication. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply electronic mail and delete the original message. Professor Cassell is admitted to the Utah State Bar, but not the bars of other states. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 06, 2015 4:17 PM

**To:** Paul Cassell; Brad Edwards [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Meeting Prior to Filing Responses to Motions

Paul and Brad,

The government's response to the initial motion for joinder in action is due on January 16, 2015. The government's response to Alan Dershowitz's motion for limited intervention is due on January 22, 2015. Earlier today, you requested that we meet before the government responses are filed. We can meet with you on Wednesday, January 14, or Thursday, January 15, 2015, in order to accommodate your teaching schedule.

Please let me know which date works best for both of you. Thanks.

[REDACTED]