

From: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Response to Paul's email?
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2017 18:37:06 +0000

Importance: Normal

No.

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 12:07 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Response to Paul's email?

I never talked to him. [REDACTED] did you ever talk to Reiter?

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 12:06 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Response to Paul's email?

It doesn't hurt to contact Parlave and Ortiz. I don't know what "closing the loop" means. I thought you had already spoken with Reiter and he said that he was never threatened with a target letter. If not, then definitely follow up with him.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Southern District of Florida
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 12:01 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Response to Paul's email?

Thanks. I now also have dates for when the AUSA personnel they listed were on detail and/or when they left the office. I thought it might prove useful in the future as we address these issues. Any thoughts about Parlave, Ortiz, and Reiter?

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 11:58 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Response to Paul's email?

It is beautiful, as usual. My only correction is the spelling of [REDACTED] name. It is [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] drafted all of the responses that you ask about below and in your other email.

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney
Southern District of Florida

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 11:38 AM

To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED]. (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Response to Paul's email?

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED],

Here's my overlong draft response. I don't love it, and it presently includes everything I think we could, but might not necessarily want to, say. What do you think about trying to reach out to Valerie Parlave and Junior Ortiz today to confirm that they also had no communications or info concerning the matters addressed in the supplemental discovery requests?

By the way, I know we were operating under extensions, but did we ever send written responses to either the supplemental request for admissions or the supplement request for production? If not, should we get that done soon? Should we also close the loop with former WPB Chief Reiter, even though we have confirmed from our side that the petitioners' contentions involving him are bogus?

Ed

EFTA00211051