

**From:** Paul Cassell <cassellp@law.utah.edu>

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Rescheduling Settlement Conference - bad date

**Date:** Sat, 25 Jun 2016 14:54:12 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Inline-Images:** image001.png; image002.png

[REDACTED]

I'm wonder if you could work with us on one thing -- both sides gave Judge Brannon a list of dates that would work, but he picked another date -- July 5. That date is awkward for me, because it would require me to rearrange long established family plans and travel on the the evening of the 4th of July.

Would you be able to ask him for another date? I think Brad gave you bunch of dates that work for us -- and, I think, for you.

Thanks in advance for any help you can extend. Paul

Paul G. Cassell  
Ronald N. Boyce Presidential Professor of Criminal Law  
and University Distinguished Professor of Law

[REDACTED]

You can access my publications on <http://ssrn.com/author=30160>

CONFIDENTIAL: This electronic message - along with any/all attachments - is confidential. This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not use, disseminate, distribute or copy this communication. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply electronic mail and delete the original message. Professor Cassell is admitted to the Utah State Bar, but not the bars of other states. Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 10:43 AM

**To:** Brad Edwards; Paul Cassell

[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Rescheduling Settlement Conference

That is fine with me. Or if you want to keep the current date for now and see where we are next week, that is fine with me, as well.

[REDACTED]

**From:** Brad Edwards [mailto:brad@pathtojustice.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 12:28 PM

**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); Paul Cassell

[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Rescheduling Settlement Conference

Apparently those dates don't work for Jay Howell. Orchestrating around this many schedules is difficult. Not impossible but maybe not necessary. By that I mean does it make more sense to inform Judge Brannon that we are communicating with one another to see if a resolution is possible without the need for mediator input? If there comes a point where we think the mediator could help then we can approach him then. In the meantime, we can continue discussions and see whether we are able to reach an agreement or not.

Just an idea. Let me know what you think.



**Farmer, Jaffe, Weissing,  
Edwards, Fistos & Lehrman, P.L.**

**Brad Edwards  
Board Certified Trial Attorney**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 11:56 AM

**To:** Paul Cassell <[cassellp@law.utah.edu](mailto:cassellp@law.utah.edu)>

**Cc:** Brad Edwards <[brad@pathtojustice.com](mailto:brad@pathtojustice.com)>; Lee, Dexter (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>

[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Rescheduling Settlement Conference

Hi Paul and Brad – We are available on July 21<sup>st</sup> and July 22<sup>nd</sup>. Judge Brannon is on duty the weeks of July 25<sup>th</sup> and August 1<sup>st</sup>, and I recall from our last session that he does not schedule settlement conferences while he is on duty.

We, of course, do not oppose a motion to reschedule. Please let me know if you need anything from me.

Best regards,  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[amr.marie.c.vimarana@usdoj.gov](mailto:amr.marie.c.vimarana@usdoj.gov)