

From: "[REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED], [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Ghislaine Maxwell
Date: Wed, 13 Apr 2016 14:55:49 +0000
Importance: Normal
Inline-Images: image001.jpg

If my edits make sense to both of you, I guess there's no reason we need to talk about them.

On Apr 12, 2016, at 7:20 PM, [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Here is my suggestion regarding a response, but we should discuss tomorrow. -Ed

=====

Dear Ms. Menninger:

I am in receipt of your letter of April 6, 2016, where you have attempted to memorialize a telephone conversation in which you called me concerning *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, Case No. 15-cv-07433-RWS (S.D.N.Y.), a civil case of which I have no knowledge beyond what you have represented.

With respect to your understanding of our telephone conversation, let me make some clarifications. Due to pending litigation that was instituted against the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida (the "Office"), the Office was recused by the Department of Justice from prospective responsibility for any criminal investigation or potential prosecution relating to Jeffrey Epstein's alleged sexual activities with minor females. As a result, the Office was also recused from any criminal investigation of Ms. Maxwell or anyone else relating to those allegations, and it thus has no pending investigations involving such matters. I did not understand your inquiry to involve any other criminal allegations, and my comments should not be understood to have addressed any other circumstances. (As a general matter, we do not comment on the existence or non-existence of criminal investigations for a variety of reasons.) Additionally, while I am personally unaware of any pending criminal investigation of Ms. Maxwell by any other authority, my lack of knowledge should not be construed as signifying either that there is or that there is not a pending criminal investigation of Ms. Maxwell. As for the Non-Prosecution Agreement involving Jeffrey Epstein, my only representation was that the name Ghislaine Maxwell does not appear in that document, as already reflected in public filings involving that document.

If you understood my comments during our telephone conversation to have any broader or different meaning, I apologize for the misunderstanding.

From: [REDACTED], [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2016 12:34 PM
To: [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: FW: Ghislaine Maxwell

Dear Ed and [REDACTED] – This attorney for Ghislaine Maxwell called me and I picked up the call without knowing who it was. She asked me a series of questions which she claims that she wants to use in the civil suit between Ms. Maxwell and [REDACTED]. I have attached her “confirming letter,” which doesn’t exactly capture what I said to her. I have no desire to be part of that suit and know nothing about it, but I also don’t want her misrepresenting what I said.

Any thoughts or advice?

I thought about something like:

Dear Ms. Menninger:

I am in receipt of your letter of April 6, 2016, where you have attempted to memorialize our telephone conversation. The United States and the U.S. Attorney’s Office are not parties to your suit and I am unaware of the representations that you discuss beyond what you have told me.

To clarify, due to pending litigation, the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Southern District of Florida has been conflicted off of any investigations related to Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell. Thus, this Office does not have a pending investigation of Ms. Maxwell. I am personally unaware of any pending criminal investigation of Ms. Maxwell by any other authority. The Non-Prosecution Agreement has been made public in other suits and the document does not mention Ms. Maxwell by name.

I am running off to the OLEOs, but maybe we can discuss tomorrow?



From: Laura Menninger [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 4:11 PM
To: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Ghislaine Maxwell

Ms. [REDACTED] –

Please see attached correspondence.

Best regards,
Laura

<image001.jpg>
Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, [REDACTED].
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main [REDACTED] FX [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this

transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

