

From: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" </O=USA/OU=FLS/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Jeffrey Epstein -- OPR Request / Lit. Hold # 2010-FLS-0004
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2011 18:16:07 +0000
Importance: Normal

Hi [REDACTED] – [REDACTED] has had all contact with OPR, so I do not know.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2011 1:06 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Jeffrey Epstein -- OPR Request / Lit. Hold # 2010-FLS-0004

[REDACTED]: Please note that per [REDACTED] EOUSA Preservation Officer, EOUSA will be the one doing the search for the emails. This is done by the EOUSA's Information Systems Security Staff. OPR should contact them directly with the desired search strings. Do you know if your OPR POC has started the process ?

From: [REDACTED] (USAEO)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 4:21 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: 2010-FLS-0004

Definitely it goes through [REDACTED] staff. Anytime OPR is involved in the reviewing something, it goes to [REDACTED] staff first. I sent him an email and am waiting on a response—I will verify this, but I'm 98% certain.

It shouldn't have had to be at your request—OPR should have known to contact [REDACTED] I'll let you know as soon as I know.

Thanks for all of your help!

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 4:18 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAEO)
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: 2010-FLS-0004

I don't believe [redacted]'s group has been involved on this. At least not per our request. Yes, [redacted] and [redacted] were USAs during the 2005-present period.

Another question, if OPR needs to review current AUSAs mailboxes is the search also done by [redacted] group or do we put the emails on a PST for them to review.

From: [redacted] (USAEO)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 4:10 PM
To: [redacted] (USAFLS)
Cc: [redacted] (USAFLS); [redacted] (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: 2010-FLS-0004

[redacted]

If [redacted] and [redacted] departed prior to USAMail implementation in FLS, we won't have theirs. I can talk to our tech folks to see if it's even worth doing additional searching, but I believe the answer is that it's simply not available because of the way our systems were set up prior to USAMail.

[redacted] and [redacted] were USAs during the 2005-present applicable period, right? I think that for the OPR question I need to double check with [redacted]. I believe what happens is that [redacted] provides access to their account data to someone on [redacted] staff and they run the relevant searches and provide the search results.

Do you know whether anyone from [redacted] staff is aware of the OPR interest in this hold?

Thanks,

From: [redacted] (USAFLS)
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 2:33 PM
To: [redacted] (USAEO); [redacted] (USAEO)
Cc: [redacted] (USAFLS); [redacted] (USAFLS)
Subject: Ref: 2010-FLS-0004

[redacted] / [redacted]:

Need help again ☺. Lit Hold 2010-FLS-0004

I don't have Attachment 1 or 5 for [redacted], [redacted], [redacted] or [redacted] all no longer with us. [redacted] and [redacted] served as USA and their email should be at TechOne. How do we go about finding out if archived email for [redacted] and [redacted] exist in our system.

How about getting [redacted] and [redacted] emails for review, I understand OPR is asking. We do have copies of their N drives. [redacted], [redacted] departure was previous to USAMail.

Any help with this will be greatly appreciated.