

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Epstein -- Rule 6(e) issue
Date: Wed, 30 Jun 2010 18:50:43 +0000
Importance: Normal

Okay. I will just sit tight. I am supposed to receive another 200 pages. Assuming it is more of the same, I will not bother you all again.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 2:38 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Epstein -- Rule 6(e) issue

I agree with [REDACTED], especially in light of the fact that Judge Marra has ordered that the docs be produced.

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 2:04 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Epstein -- Rule 6(e) issue

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED], anyone else have a different view?

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 1:56 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Epstein -- Rule 6(e) issue

Good afternoon, everyone.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thank you.

[REDACTED]