

**From:** "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)" </O=USA/OU=FLS/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]>

**To:** "Acosta, Alex (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

**Cc:** "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Your questions

**Date:** Wed, 19 Dec 2007 21:02:45 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

Alex – I didn't see any reference to an immediate decision, but the only December 17<sup>th</sup> letter that I have is one signed both by Jay and by Ken Starr. If there is another letter, can you ask [REDACTED] to scan and e-mail it?

The December 17<sup>th</sup> letter that I have does contain a repetition of their allegation that someone in our office referred to the State Attorney's Office as "a joke." This is something that someone from the defense group (probably Jack Goldberger) told [REDACTED] that we had said. During the meeting between [REDACTED] and I, [REDACTED] had to spend several minutes convincing [REDACTED] that it had never been said. We then told the defense group (Goldberger, Lefcourt, and Lefkowitz) that it had never been said, and they then denied that they had made such a statement to [REDACTED]. Since this is going up to DOJ, you may want to reiterate that we never have made such a statement.

You also may want to remind the defense that we had proposed only that the defendant agreed that the girls were "victims," not that they had suffered "injury," and that Mr. Lefkowitz proposed the waiver of liability and damages in his draft on September 21<sup>st</sup> so we are having to fix one of their invited errors (again).

Not that I am a conspiracy theorist, but one begins to wonder whether they created these two errors (choosing the wrong state statute and putting in broad liability waiver language) in order to create a problematic agreement.



-