

**From:** "[REDACTED]" >

**To:** "[REDACTED]" >

**Subject:** RE: 2422(b) based upon telephone contact

**Date:** Tue, 18 Dec 2007 23:01:40 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

I have indicted two cases which involved cell phone use as the primary means of interstate commerce.

U.S. vs. Amilcar Matias Torres had four counts (different minors) he pled guilty to 20 years. U.S. vs. Jose Antonio Rosario Pacheco is pending to plead guilty to 12 years. Let me know if you need more information.

Sincerely,

AUSA [REDACTED]

District of P.R.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED] (SAFLS)

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 18, 2007 10:07 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** 2422(b) based upon telephone contact

Hi everyone -- Sorry to trouble you, but I have a defense attorney who is claiming that NO ONE has ever been prosecuted anywhere in the United States for a violation of 2422(b) based exclusively on the use of a telephone as the facility of interstate commerce. I know that is false because I have prosecuted two of these, but it would be really helpful if you could provide me with examples of other cases throughout the country.

Thank you so much.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
500 S. Australian Ave, Suite 400  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]