

From: "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)"

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Epstein update

Date: Thu, 06 Mar 2008 18:23:56 +0000

Importance: Normal

Good for him!!!! What is it about our gender that makes us sell out so easily? (You remember that [REDACTED] used to be the alleged head of child exploitation here)

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2008 1:23 PM

To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

Subject: RE: Epstein update

Rumor has it that [REDACTED] would not even allow [REDACTED] into our building to say hello.

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS) [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2008 1:21 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Epstein update

Thanks, [REDACTED]. We actually keep finding more and more evidence (FedEx records are fabulous!). And we may have found pictures!!! We need a search warrant for the disk before we will know for sure. I keep telling myself that I am not allowed to get excited about the case because I will only be shot down again. I also wonder – what happens if I find pictures? Are they suddenly going to agree that I CAN prosecute? Or will it just be more of the same?

[REDACTED] actually seems interested and focused now. He wants to read the memos and agreed that I should redact all identifying info so I e-mailed those to him today.

So, I am still tentatively keeping my fingers crossed. I will keep you posted.

As far as bringing [REDACTED], I just think they have decided that the ONLY way to make this go away is through using “influence” over the decision-makers. I hope she is getting a lot of money for this.

Talk to you soon.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2008 1:13 PM
To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: Epstein update

[REDACTED].

How are things going? I've been pretty out of the loop since I found out that I was not longer partnering on the case. [REDACTED] mentioned that there was a meeting and that [REDACTED] (our former deputy) would be attending with the defense. I don't know when it happened or what happened. Regardless, keep your chin up. This is a good prosecution.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS) [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, March 05, 2008 4:55 PM
To: Acosta, Alex (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Epstein update

Hi all – I wanted to update you on a couple of new developments in the Epstein case. First, [REDACTED] is supposed to give a press conference today announcing the filing of a third lawsuit against Epstein. That case also involves a victim whom we are no longer referring to in the indictment [REDACTED – please do not tell that to the defense.] [REDACTED] seems to have latched onto the [REDACTED] group which, as discussed in my third addendum to the pros memo, we have already decided to forego for the most part.

[REDACTED] – Since I am not certain of the scope of your meeting, I do not know how critical it is for you to see the new facts developed in the supplemental pros memos (you reviewed the initial pros memo from May of last year). If you would like the supplements, please let me know. The legal theories have remained the same.

I also wanted to call to everyone's attention the three-year statute of limitations in Florida for the state solicitation offenses. The statute will run for all the victims this summer. Once it runs we cannot insist on a state court plea to a new charge. It also means that the state cannot charge the crimes related to the victims discovered after the initial investigation. We have asked the Palm Beach Police Department to forego presenting those charges for state prosecution in deference to our prosecution. If we do not intend to go forward, it is imperative that we communicate that to the Police Department as soon as possible so they can present those victims for state prosecution.

I have reserved time with the grand jury on the 18th. I had hoped to present the indictment at that time, but in light of [REDACTED] communication with Jay, I will push it off until the 25th. I would still like to start the presentation on the 18th so we aren't accused of rushing the grand jury. So, I hope that the final indictment packet will be approved by that date.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]