

From: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

To: "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: Epstein Press Coverage

Date: Fri, 04 Jan 2008 16:50:30 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thanks again for your help yesterday. However, I may have done too good of a spin job since when I was done discussing the issue with him, he wanted to know why we hadn't indicted Epstein already.....

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

To: Acosta, Alex (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Sent: Fri Jan 04 09:42:25 2008

Subject: Epstein Press Coverage

Hi all – I have attached a link to a local news report about the delay of Epstein's case. You have to watch an annoying commercial and then the video starts. It is a pretty good story. One thing that the analyst says that is true is that these cases do not get better for the prosecution with time.

Also, [REDACTED] talked to [REDACTED] yesterday and she ([REDACTED]) expressed frustration over the delay. It sounds like the defense is definitely misrepresenting the problem to her – telling her that WE are causing the delay. Maybe we could do a conference call with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] so we are all on the same page?

<http://www.wptv.com/mediacenter/local.aspx?videoid=4102@wptv.dayport.com&navCatId=3>

