

From: "[REDACTED]"

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Epstein

Date: Wed, 12 Dec 2007 12:48:03 +0000

Importance: Normal

Yes! I originally nominated [REDACTED], and then Jay asked if he could have a list of people from which to choose. We exchanged lists of acceptable people (including two people from Podhurst) and he said "Well, we probably should just stick with [REDACTED]." The problem only started when [REDACTED] sent a laundry list of questions that he and the firm's conflicts counsel had and we started trying to set up a conference call. You then raised the Special Master issue, and I agreed that was best. Then Guy Lewis got involved and there was radio silence as they started communicating only with you.



-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, December 12, 2007 7:43 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Epstein

1 question: page 2, 3rd par. states "since mr. [REDACTED] had been told that you concurred in his selection ... I informed (him) of the office's decision to use a special master... ."

I'm a little confused - did Jay originally concur with [REDACTED]?

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tue Dec 11 17:20:55 2007

Subject: RE: Epstein

I am out today, but I will start pulling everything together tomorrow. We don't have transcripts of all of the state interviews, but we have audio or videotapes of all of them.

I drafted the attached letter, which I would like to send to Jay.

<<071211 [REDACTED] Ltr to Lefkowitz.pdf>>



[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2007 5:17 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Epstein

[REDACTED],

In light of the recent Kirkland & Ellis correspondence, I've asked [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to conduct a de novo review of the evidence underlying the proposed indictment. I've provided [REDACTED] with the proposed indictment package but can you make copies of the 302s, state GJ and interview transcripts, and any other underlying investigative information that [REDACTED] can review a.s.a.p.? Thanks,

[REDACTED]