

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Letter to Jay
Date: Mon, 05 Nov 2007 15:06:16 +0000
Importance: Normal

Hi [REDACTED] –

I found one mistake in the letter, which I corrected in your copy below (I referred to the private investigators as detectives in one of the sentences). Also, another girl was approached by private investigators on Sunday. This was a girl who was not identified in the state investigation, but only through the federal investigation. One thing I am concerned about is that, if we indict now, cross-examination will consist of – “and the government told you that if Mr. Epstein is convicted, you are entitled to a large amount of damages, right?”

Thank you, I am looking forward to their response. Let me know how things go with Judge Davis, too.



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 10:03 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Letter to Jay

Here is the version I am faxing Jay this morning. It's nearly identical to your proposed draft.

<< File: 071105 [REDACTED] Ltr to Lefkowitz.wpd >>

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 02, 2007 6:38 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Letter to Jay

Hi [REDACTED] – Here is my proposed letter. I have put Monday's date on it. It is pretty firm, but I think we have to send a clear message that the Office will not tolerate even the slightest variation from the agreement. I also have enclosed the most recent version of the indictment – it is just so pretty, it deserves to see the light of day.

<< File: 071105 [REDACTED] Ltr to Lefkowitz.wpd >>

<< File: 070911_revised indictment.wpd >>



