

From: "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)"
</O=USA/OU=FLS/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]>

To: "Jay Lefkowitz" <[REDACTED]>


Subject: RE: Reply Brief in Jane Does [REDACTED] United States

Date: Thu, 16 Oct 2008 21:13:17 +0000

Importance: Normal

And probably something much stronger than coffee.

I was in New York last weekend and saw "In the Heights." Have you seen it? It was absolutely fantastic. It may be slightly too racy for your daughter, but it was amazing.



From: Jay Lefkowitz [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2008 5:11 PM

To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

Subject: Re: Reply Brief in Jane Does [REDACTED] United States

Ok. But we'll need a whole meal.


From: "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)" [REDACTED]

Sent: 10/16/2008 05:08 PM AST

To: Jay Lefkowitz

Subject: RE: Reply Brief in Jane Does [REDACTED] United States

When (if) this is ever all over, I will buy you a cup of coffee and we can swap stories.



From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2008 5:01 PM

To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS); [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (S)

Subject: Re: Reply Brief in Jane Does [REDACTED] United States


Thanks [REDACTED] - welcome to the club. I often wish plaintiffs would sue someone else instead of my client. :)

From: "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)" [REDACTED]
Sent: 10/16/2008 04:23 PM AST
To: Jay Lefkowitz; "Roy BLACK" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>; "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Reply Brief in Jane Does [REDACTED] United States

Dear Roy and Jay:

I am attaching the reply brief filed by Brad Edwards with two pieces of correspondence that he attached to his pleading as exhibits. I had not seen Mr. Edwards' October 15th letter before he filed it in connection with his Reply, so I do not know whether Mr. [REDACTED] has even received it yet. Mr. Edwards argues that Mr. Epstein has no interest in keeping the agreement confidential because he has not responded to Mr. Edwards' motion to unseal. As you know, in our Response, the United States argued that this issue should be litigated in one of the suits filed by Mr. Edwards against Mr. Epstein. Mr. Edwards apparently believes it is to his benefit to argue these issues, instead, in his lawsuit against the United States.

<<DE30_081016_Reply re Motn Unseal.pdf>> <<DE30-2_081016_Ex 1.pdf>> <<DE30-3_081016_Ex 2.pdf>>



The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to postmaster@kirkland.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by

return e-mail or by e-mail to postmaster@kirkland.com, and
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments.
