

From: [REDACTED]

To: "KATHERINE W. EZELL" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: "Amy Ederi" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE:

Date: Mon, 29 Dec 2008 22:05:08 +0000

Importance: Normal

Hi Kathy and Amy – I hope all is well with you. I see from the paper that you are in a firestorm of your own right now.

I just spoke with Captain [REDACTED]. He said that if you provide the address info, it will not be disclosed to Epstein or his people. Epstein is accompanied only by his private driver when he goes to and from the jail, although PBSO has his travel routes programmed in to his GPS monitor so, if he deviates from that route, they will know if they check the monitor when he returns.

Captain [REDACTED] has asked that all requests go through me so he knows who is legit and who isn't, so if anyone wants their address(es) added, please let me know. I am going to send an e-mail to all of the plaintiffs' attorneys with this info.

[REDACTED]

From: KATHERINE W. EZELL [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Sent: Monday, December 29, 2008 1:44 PM

To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject:

Dear [REDACTED] This is a message I tried to send on the 20th. I got a notification back that it did not go through, so I'm trying this different address.

In your notification letter about JE's work release that you wrote to the victims you mentioned the possibility of their notifying you if they wanted any of their addresses made off limits on his mechanical device that restricts him. First, if he is only going to and from that office address, why does he need to be mechanically otherwise restricted? Second, should one of the victims want to designate an address where she lives or works to be programmed as off limits, is it possible for him to then have access to the name of the victim and the specific location from which he is being restricted?

Wishing you a peaceful and happy holiday! Kathy