

From: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" </O=USA/OU=FLS/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]>

To: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: OPR matter

Date: Mon, 09 Mar 2009 18:55:04 +0000

Importance: Normal

I don't remember specifically but Dershowitz sheepishly apologized to me concerning the defense team's aggressive strategy. I think your response is fine as it is.

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 2:52 PM

To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Cc: Lee, Dexter (USAFLS)

Subject: RE: OPR matter

Didn't Lefkowitz and/or Starr say something to Alex about how the whole thing was just "client strategy"?



From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 2:51 PM

To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Cc: Lee, Dexter (USAFLS)

Subject: RE: OPR matter

Since the Bar found no merit in the accusations, I don't think it is necessary to mention [REDACTED] complaint. Refresh my recollection about the Lefkowitz/Starr apology.

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 2:45 PM

To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Cc: Lee, Dexter (USAFLS)

Subject: FW: OPR matter

Hi [REDACTED] – I just received this e-mail. Here is my proposed response. Do you think I should mention anything about the Florida Bar's investigation of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] complaint? Should I say anything about Lefkowitz or Starr "apologizing" for their behavior?

Dear [REDACTED]:

At this time, the Epstein matter is essentially resolved. Pursuant to a confidential Non-Prosecution Agreement with our Office, Mr. Epstein entered a plea to state charges, and is currently incarcerated on those charges. An addendum to the Non-Prosecution Agreement was signed, which provided that an independent Special Master, acting pro bono, would select an attorney to represent those victims who wanted assistance in making claims against Mr. Epstein. The Special Master selected one of the five firms that I had proposed to Mr. Epstein's attorneys. The selected firm is not the one that employs Mr. [REDACTED].

There have been no judicial findings regarding the matter. I do not know of any additional accusations made by Mr. Epstein's attorneys or any further investigation by the Justice Department.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (OPR)
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 2:34 PM
To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)
Subject: OPR matter

[REDACTED], I have been asked to get an update from you on your self-referral in the Jeffrey Epstein investigation that you submitted April 22, 2008. (This is a different subject matter than the [REDACTED] case – I think you were interviewed last summer by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] regarding that case.)

What is the current status of the Epstein case? Are there any new developments with regard to the accusation that you had a conflict of interest by recommending Mr. [REDACTED] for the victims? Please let me know the status of the investigation and whether there have been any judicial findings. (I do not see that [REDACTED] office forwarded any complaints to OPR.)

Thank you

[REDACTED]