

From: "Amy Ederi" <[REDACTED]>

To: "[REDACTED]", "KATHERINE W. EZELL" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE:

Date: Tue, 02 Jun 2009 01:57:41 +0000

Importance: Normal

I am still reading through documents too. The amount of paperwork continues to grow exponentially. Critton claims that only victims who are still minors can proceed under 2255 (as well as the defendant has to have been convicted criminally prior to a plaintiff being able to sue him under 2255)!

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 4:27 PM

To: KATHERINE W. EZELL

Cc: Amy Ederi; [REDACTED]

Subject: RE:

Hi guys -- I actually just left a voicemail for [REDACTED] to follow up on my conversation with Evelyn from last week.

I read this through briefly when it was first filed and noted the "there must be a criminal conviction" argument. I will study it in more detail when I get the hard copy. I am trying to go through everyone's filings. I can't believe how much paper is getting filed. I don't really have a secretary, so keeping up is impossible.

In this case (Jane Doe 101), did you only file a 2255 claim or did you file other claims?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Southern District of Florida
500 East Broward Boulevard, 7th Floor
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33394
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: KATHERINE W. EZELL [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 4:19 PM

To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

Cc: Amy Ederi; [REDACTED]

Subject: FW:

■■■■, ■■■■ asked that I send this to you. I am fed-exing a copy with exhibits. I confess that I have not studied it yet--tonight I will. ■■■■ says it is amazing-- that he is totally challenging/disregarding/rejecting the NPA. He asks that you call him, Amy or me when you have had a chance to review it.