

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80119-MARRA-JOHNSON

JANE DOE NO. 2

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

---

**DEFENDANT EPSTEIN'S UNOPPOSED FIRST MOTION TO AMEND  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Defendant, JEFFREY EPSTEIN, (hereinafter "EPSTEIN"), by and through his undersigned attorneys, moves to amend his affirmative defenses as set forth in the attached *Defendant EPSTEIN's First Amended Answer & Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint*, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Rule 15(a), Fed.R.Civ.P. (2009); Loc. Gen. Rules 7.1, 15.1 (S.D. Fla. 2009):

1. Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2), Fed.R.Civ.P., a party may amend his pleading "only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires." Plaintiff's counsel has consented in writing to Defendant's proposed amendments set forth in Exhibit A hereto. Plaintiff's written consent to the amendment is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

2. It is well settled that leave to amend is liberally granted where, as here, there is no resulting prejudice. The liberal allowance of pleading amendments is a "recognition that controversies should be decided on the merits whenever practicable." See generally, 27A Fed.Proc., Lawyers Ed. §62.273. *Generally; freely allowed* (2008). "In

the absence of any apparent or declared reason--such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, futility of amendment, etc.--the leave sought should, as the rules require, be 'freely given.' " Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182, 83 S.Ct. 227, 9 L.Ed.2d 222 (1962).

3. In the instant case, Defendant only amended his affirmative defenses. This is the first amendment sought by Defendant. Defendant's original Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint was recently filed with this Court on April 2, 2009. Recently certain constitutional issues have come to the forefront in other litigation filed against EPSTEIN based on similar allegations regarding the 18 U.S.C. §2255 claim and the punitive damages claim. Accordingly, Defendant seeks to add affirmative defenses directed to those claims. See affirmative defenses in **Exhibit A** hereto.


4. There will be no resulting prejudice to Plaintiff should leave to amend be granted. Defendant has not unduly delayed this matter in seeking the amendments. Defendant by written correspondence sought Plaintiff's permission to amend. As noted, Plaintiff agreed in writing to the amendments. See **Exhibit B** hereto.

5. Accordingly, Defendant is entitled to the amendments sought. Upon this Court entering the order granting Defendant's motion to amend, he will file and serve the Amended Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint. Loc. General Rule 15.1 (S.D. Fla. 2009).

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court enter an order granting Defendant's motion to amend.

**Rule 7.1 Certification**

I hereby certify that Defendant's counsel communicated in writing with Plaintiff's counsel regarding this motion to amend. Plaintiff's counsel agreed in writing to the proposed attached amendment (See **Exhibit A** and **B**).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert D. Critton, Jr.  
Attorney for Defendant Epstein

**Certificate of Service**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record identified on the following Service List in the manner specified by CM/ECF on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2009:

Stuart S. Mermelstein, Esq.  
Adam D. Horowitz, Esq.  
Mermelstein & Horowitz, P.A.  
18205 Biscayne Boulevard  
Suite 2218  
Miami, FL 33160  
305-931-2200  
Fax: 305-931-0877  
ssm@sexabuseattorney.com  
[Redacted]  
Counsel for Plaintiff Jane Doe #2

Jack Alan Goldberger  
Atterbury Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.  
250 Australian Avenue South  
Suite 1400  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401-5012  
561-659-8300  
Fax: 561-835-8691  
~~jaesq@bellsouth.net~~  
Co-Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein

Respectfully submitted,

  
By: \_\_\_\_\_  
ROBERT D. CRITTON, JR., ESQ.  
Florida Bar No. 224162  
[rcrit@bclclaw.com](mailto:rcrit@bclclaw.com)

MICHAEL J. PIKE, ESQ.  
Florida Bar #617296

  
BURMAN, CRITTON, LUTTIER & COLEMAN  
515 N. Flagler Drive, Suite 400  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
561/842-2820 Phone  
561/515-3148 Fax

*(Co-Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein)*