

**From:** Lesley Groff <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** Darren Indyke <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: Denise Francois  
**Date:** Fri, 21 Oct 2011 17:24:42 +0000

---

ok  
On Oct 21, 2011, at 1:23 PM, Darren Indyke wrote:

No. Not necessary. Doesn't say anything that I haven't already told him and don't want to add to his email inundation.

Darren K. Indyke  
Darren K. Indyke, PLLC  
301 East 66th Street, 10B  
New York, New York 10065  
Telephone: [REDACTED]  
Direct: [REDACTED]  
Fax: [REDACTED]  
email: [REDACTED]

\*\*\*\*\*  
The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Darren K. Indyke, PLLC. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.  
Copyright of Darren K. Indyke, PLLC - © 2011 Darren K. Indyke, PLLC – All rights reserved.  
\*\*\*\*\*

On Oct 21, 2011, at 1:23 PM, Lesley Groff wrote:

Do you want me to forward this to JE?

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Denise Francois <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** October 21, 2011 1:19:05 PM EDT  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** DKIESQ <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: Darren Indyke

Hi Lesley,

This is my first day back in the office since spending the last three days in St Croix and a Supreme Court training session this morning. Both Mark and Maria are off island and I getting caught up with all of my

matters.

With respect to Epstein and LSJ, LLC vs. Fancellig Paneling, Inc. I can tell you that Fancellig Paneling has missed the October 15, 2011 deadline for filing its Rule 26(a) Initial Disclosures. If we want Court intervention to make Fancelli file, then we first have to write to Fancelli and ask them to comply and/or meet and confer as to an agreed upon date when they expect to comply.

I served interrogatories and requests for production of documents on Fancelli on September 30, 2011. Their responses are due on October 31, 2011 and there is a court order that says: "The parties shall complete all written discovery on or before November 1, 2011." We have done what we were supposed to do. Fancelli now has to send us responses to our discovery requests on or before November 1, 2011 (or at least put them in the mail by that date).

Based upon the attached scheduling order I think that Fancelli has also missed the opportunity to serve interrogatories and requests for production on us.

This particular Judge is a real stickler for complying with Court orders so Fancelli is going to have some problems.

Please note that the scheduling order is attached and we are supposed to mediation this matter by December 1, 2011.

Darren asked me so submit a fair proposal on Boyson and I will do so as soon as possible. Denise

**Denise M. Francois**

HODGE & FRANCOIS | 1340 Taarneberg | St. Thomas, V.I. 00802

Telephone: [REDACTED] | Fax: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Confidentiality Notice

This e-mail and any attachments to it contain privileged information intended only for the use of the individual (s) or entity named on the e-mail. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that reading it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately return it to the sender and delete it from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

On Wed, Oct 19, 2011 at 9:51 AM, Lesley Groff <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Good morning Denise.

Darren is asking if there is any update on Fancelli regarding Boyson...he says he is getting a lot of push back from Jeffrey...

Please let me know.

Thanks,  
Lesley

Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein



<Order - scheduling plan 2011-08-02.pdf>