

**From:** "Scott J. Link" <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** Lesley Groff <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: Telephone Call With Counsel-Epstein  
**Date:** Tue, 16 Oct 2018 17:53:44 +0000

---

Thanks!!

**Scott J. Link**  
Board Certified Business Litigation

LINK & ROCKENBACH, PA  
1555 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd., Suite 930  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
[REDACTED]

L&R

This e-mail may contain privileged or confidential information. If it is not meant for you, please delete it and notify us immediately. Please confirm receipt of time sensitive communications because

email deliveries may be delayed or unsuccessful. We do not provide tax advice. Our communications may not be relied upon to avoid penalties that may be imposed by the Internal Revenue Service.

On Oct 16, 2018, at 12:16 PM, Lesley Groff <[REDACTED]> wrote:

meant to email you...confirmed for 3:30pm!

On Oct 16, 2018, at 9:52 AM, Scott J. Link <[REDACTED]> wrote:

The call is now at 3:30. Marty and Jack I know jeffrey has specific thoughts but my main question -that I think will drive decisions in all cases -is there now or will there be in the future an opportunity for jeffrey to personally tell his story with out risk That his testimony could be used in a criminal investigation or proceeding. I understand the sex trafficking criminal aspect has no statute of limitations. As a result of that maybe the answer is no there will always be a risk and jeffrey should never tell his story directly. If that's the case then we all just need to wrap our heads around that and move on. If you believe enough time has passed now or will in the future then we should evaluate the best way to take advantage of the opportunity. Also if you could help us understand do we have any real protection from the circuit court order. In other words I don't understand how jeffrey can give testimony protected by that order and that his testimony cannot be used in a criminal proceeding or investigation. Maybe that's how it works. I just don't know. If we go the errata sheet route in the circuit court action Scarola will definitely have an opportunity to cross-examine jeffrey on the changes that we make. I'm assuming if we open the door in the circuit court case then everything is in play and fair game. I'm OK with that if none of it can be used in a criminal investigation or proceeding. Understanding that the CVRA action may influence everything and we need to continue to stay below the radar. Looking forward to the call. This is a delicate issue and keeps me awake at night! OK at my age I'm

EFTA00483428

always awake at night.

## Scott J. Link

Board Certified Business Litigation

LINK & ROCKENBACH, PA  
1555 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd., Suite 930  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401



 L&R

---

This e-mail may contain privileged or confidential information. If it is not meant for you, please delete it and notify us immediately. Please confirm receipt of time sensitive

communications because email deliveries may be delayed or unsuccessful. We do not provide tax advice. Our communications may not be relied upon to avoid penalties that may be imposed by the Internal Revenue Service.

On Oct 16, 2018, at 9:42 AM, Tina L. Campbell < > wrote:

Call in:



Participant Code: 152461

Scott – use your moderator code

Thank you.

<meeting.ics>