

Dear Ms. Ward,

Thank you for your letter of December 19th further documenting your negligent, irresponsible, vague reporting style. Your further details,

First with regards to 11 E. 71st Your fact checker asked for both #9 and #11 transfer tax forms. After on numerous occasions and in fact a look, I outlined to you that, first, I never owned 11 E. 71st and second I told you they are paid for and I told you that transfer taxes are not usually made public. To add to the of the last question, Monty Graydon said #11 was in large in the story. So why the question?

I would like to point out to you and hopefully your counsel

When you stated in your letter in “ I have simply been these matters with people with knowledgeable sources am I now supposed to assume that these are double hearsay, items, not your sources, but in fact sources of other people. Is this to be all the , well they weren't my sources, so I can't be held responsible for this inaccuracy.

Your sentence, any documentation “refuting [REDACTED] [REDACTED] claims.” Let's be clear, a perfect example of your reporting style, you told me, and the only thing you told me was [REDACTED] had said she had “felt uncomfortable” and called her father to pick her up. Should I refute how she felt? Is that the point, or is the fact that after being notified in writing to Graydon, that a State Trooper had lived on the property, with [REDACTED] the entire time and was a verifiable, respectable source that would clearly to her behavior, attitude and acting, you have failed to try and contact him.