

From: Carolyn Cohen [mailto:]
Sent: Monday, January 07, 2008 2:52 PM
To: Rich Kahn
Subject: Amended Dershowitz bill

Dear Rich – Turns out that Alan’s arithmetic mistake may have included an element of a Freudian slip. He forgot to include \$1,312.40 of expenses (Jeffrey flew him down to Florida, but Alan paid for his trip back.) Accordingly, the corrected bill is below:

Jan. 6, 2008

Attention: Rich Kahn:

Bill for services rendered in regard to Jeffrey Epstein:

December 11 – Jan. 6, 2008:

74.25 hours @ \$1150 per hour:	\$83,387.50
20.5 hours @1500 (12/14 trip to Florida)	30,750.00
Expenses – (return flight from Florida):	1,312.40

Total: \$115,449.90

Sorry for the confusion.

Thank you,

Alan Dershowitz

Please confirm receipt of bill and wire the funds. I’m sure you have the instructions from previous payments.

Carolyn Cohen [mailto:]

Sent: Monday, December 10, 2007 6:13 PM

To: Rich Kahn

Subject: Dershowitz Bill

Dec. 10, 2007

Attention: Rich Kahn:

Bill for services rendered in regard to Jeffrey Epstein:

Nov. 11 – Dec. 10, 2007:

98 hours @ \$1150 per hour:	\$112,700.00
17 hours @1500 (trip to Florida)	25,500.00*
Expenses:	628.00
Total:	\$138,828.00

Thank you,

Alan Dershowitz

Jeffrey, I am sorry that dershowitz is angry with me , He has presented many claims that are obviously incorrect . the above being a prime example. you will find the two bills that you said Dershowitz categorically said , " never happened". he billed 3 to five times more than anyone else for this one trip alone . not a confidence building revelation. In addition i have reviewed my files, again contrary to what he has represented there is NO letter showing 67 hours for 09. . certainly no backup, to that number . , As he is your friend you have decided to accept his hours , no matter what the corresponding e mail traffic illustrates. I have asked for back up for months now for dec 06 , and never received any , not one scrap of paper , why? as there are only 14 e mails for the month. your projections in nov 06 , said that alan was ill, and then leaving for europe , so i expected a small bill. He has not provided me with one piece of useful backup. the three pages I sent you of a small book with illegible scribbles. was the only pages , again contrary to his representation we have received to date as a detailed backup. I checked and again contrary to what you told me he said , we only received these three pages . That being said , no one in the office can make heads nor tails of it. It did not even come with a summary or explanation. Every other attny provided detailed bills usually the next day upon request, items and issues discussed, matters handled ,time spent. With the proposition that it would be unethical to reduce his fees because a criminal matter should not be contingent, I point out that the others merely adjusted their billed hours , no one had a contingency

agreement of any type, and if you like I can check with the ethics committee of the bar on a no names basis, if it is proper for a criminal lawyer to adjust his bill. . You are simply being asked to accept his number of hours because you are friends,not because of requested documentation for over three million dollars. my job as your accountant is to verify bills. You can readily see that Florida alone , only two days. was already a thirty thousand dollar Dershowitz mistake . I realize that you are made to feel as you are questioning his integrity by asking him to provide what others have done and with little squabble. That is your prerogative. He has referenced " my numbers" , however I only have what he has given me, and it is far in excess of every other bill. If I can answer any other questions . please remember I will be out for the rest of the week.

--