

From: G Maxwell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2009 8:42 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: <no subject>

How old was [REDACTED] in 1998 when she claims she started working for you?

From: G Maxwell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2011 7:16 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fw: Daily Mail

----- Original Message -----

From: Ross Gow <[REDACTED]>
To: Gmax; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Brian Basham <[REDACTED]>; Ross Gow <[REDACTED]>; Ian Ian Maxwell <[REDACTED]>; Kevin Maxwell <[REDACTED]>; Gareth Thompson <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thu Mar 10 16:29:24 2011
Subject: Re: Daily Mail

Maz is the most devious and cunning of the bunch. However, Editors can pay for people, stories and documents. They can even pay criminals if it is in the public interest.

Maz can pay who he wants for info but the Yorkshire Ripper for instance, as a convicted criminal, can't sell his story...unless in public interest cos there was say, a bent detective.

Below is from PCC Code for Editors. It is not well drafted.

15

Witness payments in criminal trials

i) No payment or offer of payment to a witness - or any person who may reasonably be expected to be called as a witness - should be made in any case once proceedings are active as defined by the Contempt of Court Act 1981.

This prohibition lasts until the suspect has been freed unconditionally by police without charge or bail or the proceedings are otherwise discontinued; or has entered a guilty plea to the court; or, in the event of a not guilty plea, the court has announced its verdict.

*ii) Where proceedings are not yet active but are likely and foreseeable, editors must not make or offer payment to any person who may reasonably be expected to be called as a witness, unless the information concerned ought demonstrably to be published in the public interest and there is an over-riding need to make or promise payment for this to be done; and all reasonable steps have been taken to ensure no financial dealings influence the evidence those witnesses give. In no circumstances should such payment be conditional on the outcome of a trial.

*iii) Any payment or offer of payment made to a person later cited to give evidence in proceedings must be disclosed to the prosecution and defence. The witness must be advised of this requirement.

16

*Payment to criminals

i) Payment or offers of payment for stories, pictures or information, which seek to exploit a particular crime or to glorify or glamorise crime in general, must not be made directly or via agents to convicted or confessed criminals or to their associates – who may include family, friends and colleagues.

ii) Editors invoking the public interest to justify payment or offers would need to demonstrate that there was good reason to believe the public interest would be served. If, despite payment, no public interest emerged, then the material should not be published.

Ross Gow
Managing Partner

ACUITY Reputation Limited
23 Berkeley Square
London W1J 6HE

Mobile [REDACTED] 251
Tel [REDACTED] 7788

acuityreputation.com

Sent from my iPad

On 10 Mar 2011, at 16:41, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Actually school means university in the states. I think probably best not to get into the legality or not of sexual activity of young people and nor is it relevant to JE case as he was in his late 40's at the time of this story..the headline is deliberately wrong..school adults would have been accurate..

On 3/10/11 3:16 AM, "Brian Basham" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

We should think about a letter to the editor today. 'School' can mean university. Age of consent in Florida is complex – see below:

If you are 16-yrs-old, a sexual relationship with someone 18-24 is legal in Florida. The two persons involved must be between 16 and 24.

Florida Statute 794.05

(1) A person 24 years of age or older who engages in sexual activity with a person 16 or 17 years of age commits a felony of the second degree.

So as soon as you turn 16 you are able to have sexual relations. And you can have sexual relations with a minor (under 18) until your 24th birthday.

'Females for JE': Disturbing log of telephone calls from schoolchildren found in sex den of Duke's billionaire friend

By Stephen Wright <<<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/home/search.html?s=y&authornamef=Stephen+Wright>>
<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/home/search.html?s=y&authornamef=Stephen+Wright>
Last updated at 6:30 PM on 9th March 2011

Details of the disturbing lifestyle led by Jeffrey Epstein emerged last night after the Daily Mail uncovered logs of telephone messages left at his Florida child sex den.

Schoolchildren were among hundreds of callers who apparently rang to arrange massages for the 58-year-old billionaire friend of Prince Andrew, who was later convicted of child sex offences.

Ghislaine Maxwell, 49-year-old daughter of the disgraced media mogul Robert Maxwell, is named in several messages.

Disturbing: Messages were found in Florida home of Jeffrey Epstein, left. The billionaire, 58, is a friend of Prince Andrew, right

In one left for her or '██████', a caller says she 'doesn't know at what time she must come this night for the massage'.

Other messages for Epstein, the sources of which are not clear, contain such phrases as: 'She has females for Mr JE' and 'I have a female for him'.

Another with sickening overtones comes from someone called Jeanluc for Epstein: 'He just did a good one – 18 years. (She spoke to me and said, "I love Jeffrey")'.

The long list of women is punctuated by regular references to Epstein's celebrity friends including the business magnate Donald Trump, film producer Harvey Weinstein and magician David Copperfield, referred to as 'Magic David'.

The sheer volume of phone traffic shows the frequency of Epstein's massages, many of which were 'erotic' and allegedly involved the abused girls.

The evidence is from documents confiscated by police during a criminal investigation into Epstein and seen yesterday by the Mail.

<image.png>

<image.png>

Logs: Two of the answer phone messages. ██████ Maxwell is asked, right, when a girl should give a massage

One message left for Epstein reads: 'She is wondering if 2.30 ok cuz she needs to stay in school.'

It was left in February 2005 – the same year that the mother of a 14-year-old girl alerted police to Epstein's abuse.

Another note shows that 'Colleen' phoned to tell Epstein: 'Going into class – will be out in 45 min.'

Last night the Daily Mail tried to contact the people whose names and telephone numbers are included in the logs.

One girl named Jenny, who had left her number, hung up immediately when asked about Epstein.

A man listed only as Darren said: 'I have no comment to make on that.'

Today, Prince Andrew was embroiled in further controversy as it was revealed Kazakhstan had asked for his help in recruiting investors to the country.

The plea came after the former Soviet Republic's President's son-in-law had bought the Prince's former marital home for £3million above the asking price.

Timur Kulibayev paid £15million for Sunninghill Park but it has remained empty and has been allowed to fall into disrepair.

Last year the Prince told Hello! magazine: 'It was like any property deal between friends.'

Yesterday he attempted to perform business as usual as he visited an East London school and met rail bosses in Canary Wharf.

He steadfastly ignored questions from journalists asking whether he was an 'embarrassment to his country' and would resign from his trade ambassador role as he arrived for a briefing from business executives at the headquarters of the £15billion train project Crossrail.

Prince Andrew managed to sell Sunninghill Park for £3million more than the asking price

Read more: <<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1364171/Females-Jeffrey-Epstein-The-damning-telephone-log.html#ixzz1GBL6XKcl>> <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1364171/Females-Jeffrey-Epstein-The-damning-telephone-log.html#ixzz1GBL6XKcl> < <<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1364171/Females-Jeffrey-Epstein-The-damning-telephone-log.html>> <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1364171/Females-Jeffrey-Epstein-The-damning-telephone-log.html>>

From: Ross Gow [<[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])>]

Sent: 10 March 2011 7:48 AM

To: Ross gow

Cc: [REDACTED]; Ian Maxwell; Kevin Maxwell; Brian Basham; Gareth Thompson;

Subject: Daily Mail

Mail have published phone logs

<<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1364171/Females-Jeffrey-Epstein-The-damning-telephone-log.html>>
<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1364171/Females-Jeffrey-Epstein-The-damning-telephone-log.html> <
<<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1364171/Females-Jeffrey-Epstein-The-damning-telephone-log.html>>
<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1364171/Females-Jeffrey-Epstein-The-damning-telephone-log.html>>

Ross Gow

Managing Partner

ACUITY Reputation Limited

23 Berkeley Square

London W1J 6HE

Mobile [REDACTED] 251

Tel [REDACTED] 7788

<<http://acuityreputation.com>> acuityreputation.com

Sent from my iPad

On 10 Mar 2011, at 07:35, Ross gow <[REDACTED]> <<mailto:[REDACTED]>>
mailto:[REDACTED]> > wrote:

"Epstein's Gulfstream flew in to RAF Marham with him and the Duke's friend Ghislaine Maxwell on board, before they enjoyed the Duke's hospitality at the Queen's Norfolk estate 20 miles away...."

Ross Gow

Managing Partner

ACUITY Reputation Limited

23 Berkeley Square

London W1J 6HE

Mobile [REDACTED] 251

Tel [REDACTED] 7788

acuityreputation.com <<<http://acuityreputation.com>> <http://acuityreputation.com>>

Sent from my iPad

On 9 Mar 2011, at 22:52, Ross Gow <[REDACTED]> <<mailto:[REDACTED]>>
mailto:[REDACTED]> > wrote:

Dear Ghislaine

Thank you for your good-humoured patience with us and the legal team today, during what is, understandably, a testing time.

To confirm:

- 1) I spoke to Dilenschneider in NYC at length tonight and shared strategy and role definition.
- 2) we sent agreed statement to Dan Mangan ay NYPost - he's on deadline for a piece on Charlie Sheen/Rob Lowe and seems to have moved on - for the moment.
- 3) we will release statement via Press Association and PR Newswire @11.00GMT tmrw and follow up with calls to those journalists who have written pieces.

We will create call log/matrix and advise feedback and sentiment as appropriate tmrw. Please don't hesitate to call if you have any additional concerns.

Best regards
Ross

Ross Gow
Managing Partner

ACUITY Reputation Limited
23 Berkeley Square
London W1J 6HE

Mobile [REDACTED] 251
Tel [REDACTED] 7788

acuityreputation.com <<<http://acuityreputation.com>> <http://acuityreputation.com>>

Sent from my iPad

From: GMAX <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2011 10:06 AM
To: 'J Jep'
Subject: <no subject>

--B_3383554032_6208970
charset="ISO-8859-1"

--B_3383554032_6208970
charset="ISO-8859-1"

--B_3383554032_6208970--
Content-type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="B_3537634348_644846192"

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not
> understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--B_3537634348_644846192
Content-type: text/plain;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

What I need to hang my hat on is the following =E2=80=93 I only need this one pie= ce and I will then go quietly into the sunset..

What year actually did u meet V =E2=80=93 I am sure her version of dates are wron= g it was just to be able to say she was 15 =E2=80=93 i=E2=80=99m going to guess summer = August/ Sept =E2=80=9899 or 2000 =E2=80=93 just that piece alone will allow me to say so= mething that will along w/all the other information will discredit her stor= ies..if it was =E2=80=9898 then it will have been after her b=E2=80=9Dday as there was n= o party for her..she threw that in to add =E2=80=98legitimacy=E2=80=99 to her fraudulent= claim..did she have a fake ID to work at Marlargo?? Can you work full time = at 15 =E2=80=93 she was working full time that much I do remember When did she stop working at M =E2=80=93 if we could get her employment record th= at would be good =E2=80=93 she told me she was a masseuse..assume now that that was a lie too to a= dd to her many..

What lies did she tell on her immigration form to australia =E2=80=93 is that get= table? Is that a public document?=20 Can darren tell me anything on her dates? =20

--B_3537634348_644846192
Content-type: text/html;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

<html>
<head>

<meta http-equiv=3D"Content-Type" content=3D"text/html; charset=3Dutf-8"> </head> <body> What I need to hang my hat on is the following =E2=80=93 I

only need this one piece and I will then go quietly into the sunset..
 What year actually did u meet V =E2=80=93 I am sure her version of dates are wrong it was just to be able to say she was 15 =E2=80=93 in =E2=80=99m going to guess summer August/ Sept =E2=80=9899 or 2000 =E2=80=93 just that piece alone will allow me to say something that will along w/all the other information will discredit her stories..if it was =E2=80=9898 then it will have been after her birthday as there was no party for her..she threw that in to add =E2=80=98legitimacy=E2=80=99 to her fraudulent claim..did she have a fake ID to work = at Marlargo?? Can you work full time at 15 =E2=80=93 she was working full time that much I do remember
 When did she stop working at M =E2=80=93 if we could get her employment record that would be good
 =E2=80=93 she told me she was a masseuse..assume now that that was a lie too to add to her many..
 What lies did she tell on her immigration form to australia =E2=80=93 is that gettable? Is that a public document?
 Can darren tell me anything on her dates?
 </body> </html>

--B_3537634348_644846192--

From: jeffrey epstein boundary=Apple-Mail-4-669898702 <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2011 8:08 AM
To: 'GMAX'
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

--Apple-Mail-4-669898702
charset=utf-8

--Apple-Mail-4-669898702
charset=utf-8

--Apple-Mail-4-669898702--
Content-type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="B_3537537149_1356210193"

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not
> understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--B_3537537149_1356210193
Content-type: text/plain;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

He wont ad details but you can try

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 8, 2011, at 3:52 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:

On 6/8/11 9:49 AM, "J Jep" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I would say a paid for story thar was nothing mote than a malicious fabrica= tion =E2=80=93DO YOU MEAN INSTEAD OF ALL OF THIS JUST SAY THAT IT WAS A FABRICATIO= N..WHAT ABOUT SAYING THAT I MET HER WHEN SHE WAS 17 AND LIVING WITH HER FIA= NCE AND AVOID ALL THE OTHER STUFF

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 8, 2011, at 3:42 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:

MY PROPOSED ANS IN CAPS..PLSE COMMENT

----- Forwarded Message

From: Ross Gow <[REDACTED]> <mailto:[REDACTED]>=

> >

Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2011 13:49:02 -0400

To: G G <[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>>

Cc: Brian Basham <[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>>

Subject: Vanity Fair

Dear Ghislaine

Klein's questions follow, below. If we are to respond, I would advise we stick to written responses rather than engage via 'phone. Klein has form for story-twisting re Hillary Clinton. Written responses at least give us an audit trail of what was said.

A lot of this is Mail on Sunday rehash. Klein has our statement, but is offering the chance for further clarification...I get the feeling he's likely to make unflattering assumptions if we don't give him on the record answers.

Please advise on those questions which you feel you more comfortable answering. We can head him off at the pass on those and put legal frighteners on him elsewhere.

Just a caveat - we should be aware that there is a chance that anything other than fully robust answers might be construed as inadvertent admissions of wrongdoing, so we will need legal team to advise on precise wording. Do you want me to pass this on to Devonshires if you have not already done so...?

best

Ross

Dear Ross,

Here are some fact-checking questions for Ghislaine Maxwell to comment on and answer:

1. Do Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell share a home in London or anywhere else? Do they still see each other? Does Epstein help support Ghislaine? Her friends tell me that Ghislaine once loved Epstein. Does she still love him?

MS MAXWELL DOES NO SHARE ANY HOMES WITH MR EPSTEIN. MR EPSTEIN DOES NOT SUP= PORT MS MAXWELL IN ANYWAY. MS MAXWELL HAD INFAC= T BEEN IN COMMITTED RELATIONS= HIP WITH SOMEONE ELSE FOR THE PAST 7 YEARS

2. The Mail on Sunday published a photograph showing the Duke of York with his arm around [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. Standing off to one side in the photo was Ghislaine Maxwell. The newspaper said that this photo taken in Ghislaine's London home. Was it? Did Jeffrey Epstein take the photo? If not, who did?

MS MAXWELL HAS NO RECOLECTION OF THE PHOTO BEING EVEN TAKEN GIVEN WE ARE TA= LKING AN EVENT THAT ALLEDGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IT WOULD BE SURP= RISING=20

3. According to [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Ghislaine Maxwell recruited her as Epstein=E2=80=99s =E2=80=9Csex slave=E2=80=9D when she was 15 years old and arranged for her to see Andrew three times=E2=80=94at Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s homes in London and New York and on his private Caribbean island, Little Saint James=E2=80=94where, [REDACTED] said, she=E2=80=99d been =E2=80=9Csexually exploited by Epstein=E2=80=99s adult male peers, including royalty.=E2=80=9D Would Ghislaine please comment on this assertion by [REDACTED] [REDACTED].

MS MAXWELL MET MS [REDACTED] WHEN SHE WAS 17 AND WAS HIRED AS A MASSUSE. MS R= OBERTS WAS LIVING AT THE TIME WITH HER FIANCE. MS [REDACTED] HAS A PRIOR SEXUAL= HASSARASSMENT SUIT AGAINST OTHER INDIVIDUALS

THAT WAS THROWN OUT FOR LACK OF HER CREDABILITY AND DRUG USE. MS [REDACTED] FINALLY FLED THE US TO AVOID ARREST FOR THEFT. I THINK GIVEN THIS AND OTHER HIGHLY INDICATIVE EVENTS IN HER LIFE IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEVE ONE WORD OUT OF HER MOUTH. FURTHER THE INCENTIVE OF A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY PAID BY A NEWSPAPER NO DOUBT ADDED TO HER COLOURFUL MEMORIES WHICH IN FACT ARE NOTHING BUT MADE UP STORIES.

4. The Mail on Sunday and other newspapers ran the story about Andrew's rendezvous with [REDACTED] in Ghislaine Maxwell's London home. These reports said that Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell left the Duke of York and [REDACTED] alone for several hours, and that the next morning Ghislaine Maxwell told [REDACTED] that the Duke had a good time. Would Ghislaine please comment on this story. What did the Duke tell Ghislaine about his rendezvous with [REDACTED]?

5. The Mail on Sunday said it had evidence to support [REDACTED] story of flight logs from Jeffrey Epstein's Boeing 727 and Gulfstream jet. Was Ghislaine Maxwell on these airplanes and who else was onboard?

AGAIN TO REMEMBER ANY SPECIFIC FLIGHT AND WHO WAS ON BOARD A PLANE FOR EVENTS THAT ALLEGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IS SIMPLY UNREALISTIC

6. According to a sworn deposition by Juan Alessi, a former employee at Jeffrey Epstein's Palm Beach estate, Prince Andrew attended naked pool parties and was treated to massages by a harem of adolescent girls.

MS MAXWELL CANNOT COMMENT ON WHAT MR ALESSI ALLEGEDLY SAW OR DID NOT SEE BUT MS MAXWELL NEVER SAW THE DUKE IN ANY STATE OF UNDRESS AND HE WAS INDEED ALWAYS PROPERLY ATTIRED.

That's it for now.

I hope that you can arrange for me to speak directly to Ghislaine.

All the best,

Ed

Edward Klein
1085 Park Avenue
5A
New York, NY 10128

Tel. [REDACTED]

Cell. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>

--

Ross Gow
Managing Partner
ACUITY Reputation
23 Berkeley Square
London W1J 6HE
[REDACTED] 7788
[REDACTED] 5251

www.acuityreputation.com <http://www.acuityreputation.com> <http://www.acuityreputation.com>=20

----- End of Forwarded Message

--B_3537537149_1356210193

Content-type: text/html;
charset="UTF-8"

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

<html>

<head>

<meta http-equiv=3D"Content-Type" content=3D"text/html; charset=3Dutf-8"> </head> <body bgcolor=3D"#FFFFFF">

<div>He wont ad details but you can try

 Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone</div> <div>
 On Jun 8, 2011, at 3:52 PM, GMAX gmax=

[REDACTED]> wrote:

</div>

<div></div>

<blockquote type=3D"cite">

<div>

 On 6/8/11 9:49 AM, "J Jep" </=

a> [REDACTED]>

a>wrote=

:

<blockquote>I would say a paid for story thar was nothing mote than a mali= cious fabrication =E2=80=93DO YOU MEAN INSTEAD OF ALL OF THIS JUST SAY THAT IT WAS= A FABRICATION..WHAT ABOUT SAYING THAT I MET HER WHEN SHE WAS 17 AND LIVING WITH HER FIANCE AND AVOID ALL TH= E OTHER STUFF

 Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

 On Jun 8, 2011, at 3:42 PM, GMAX <a href=

=3D"mailto: [REDACTED]"> [REDACTED]> wrote:

 <blockquote><font

face=3D"Calibri, Verdana, Helvetica, Arial">MY PROPOSED ANS IN CAPS..PLSE COMMENT

----- Forwarded Message

From: Ross Gow <a href=3D"=

mailto: [REDACTED]"> [REDACTED] <a href=3D=

"mailto: [REDACTED]"><a href=3D"mailto:ross@acuityreputation=

.com">mailto: [REDACTED]>

>

Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2011 13:49:02 -0400

To: G G <a href=3D"mailto:gmax1@ell=

max.com"> [REDACTED] <a h=

ref=3D"mailto: [REDACTED]">mailto: [REDACTED]> >

Cc: Brian Basham <a href=3D"mai=

lto:mail@brianbasham.com"> [REDACTED] mailto:mail@brian=

basham.com> >

Subject: Vanity Fair

Dear Ghislaine

Klein's questions follow, below. If we are to respond, I would advise
 we stick to written responses rather than engage via 'phone. Klein has
 form for story-twisting re Hillary Clinton. Written responses at least
 give us an audit trail of what was said.

 A lot of this is Mail on Sunday rehash. Klein has our statement, but
 is offering the chance for further clarification...I get the feeling
 he's likely to make unflattering assumptions if we don't give him on
 the record answers.

 Please advise on those questions which you feel you more comfortable
 answering. We can head him off at the pass on those and put legal
 frighteners on him elsewhere.

 Just a caveat - we should be aware that there is a chance that
 anything other than fully robust answers might be construed as
 inadvertent admissions of wrongdoing, so we will need legal team to
 advise on precise wording. Do you want me to pass this on to
 Devonshires if you have not already done so...?
 best
 Ross

 Dear Ross,

 Here are some fact-checking questions for Ghislaine Maxwell to comment
 on and answer:

 1. Do Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell share a home in London or
 anywhere else? Do they still see each other? Does Epstein help support
 Ghislaine? Her friends tell me that Ghislaine once loved Epstein. Does
 she still love him?

 MS MAXWELL DOES NO SHARE ANY HOMES WITH MR EPSTEIN. MR EPSTEIN DOES NOT SUP= PORT MS MAXWELL IN ANYWAY. MS MAXWELL HAD INFAC= T BEEN IN COMMITTED RELATIONS= HIP WITH SOMEONE ELSE FOR THE PAST 7 YEARS

 2. The Mail on Sunday published a photograph showing the Duke of York
 with his arm around ██████████. Standing off to one side in the=
 photo was Ghislaine Maxwell. The newspaper said that this photo taken
 in Ghislaine's London home. Was it? Did Jeffrey Epstein take the
 photo? If not, who did?

 MS MAXWELL HAS NO RECOLECTION OF THE PHOTO BEING EVEN TAKEN GIVEN WE ARE TA= LKING AN EVENT THAT ALLEDGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IT WOULD BE SURP= RISING

 3. According to ██████████, Ghislaine Maxwell recruited her as
 Epstein=E2=80=99s =E2=80=9Csex slave=E2=80=9D when she was 15 years old and arranged for her<=

to see Andrew three times=E2=80=94at Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s homes in London and New<=

York and on his private Caribbean island, Little Saint James=E2=80=94where,
 ██████████ said, she=E2=80=99d been =E2=80=9Csexually exploited by Epstein=E2=80=99s adult male=
 peers, including royalty.=E2=80=9D Would Ghislaine please comment on this
 assertion by ██████████.

 MS MAXWELL MET MS ██████████ WHEN SHE WAS 17 AND WAS HIRED AS A MASSUSE.= MS ██████████ WAS LIVING AT THE TIME WITH HER FIANCE. MS ██████████ HAS A PRIOR S= EXUAL HASSARASSMENT SUIT AGAINST OTHER INDIVIDUALS THAT WAS THROWN OUT FOR L= ACK OF HER CREDABILITY AND DRUG USE.

MS ██████████ FINALLY FLED THE US TO AVOID ARREST FOR THEFT. I THINK GIVEN TH= IS AND OTHER HIGHLY INDICATIVE EVENTS IN HER LIFE IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEV= E ONE WORD OUT OF HER MOUTH. FURTHER THE INCENTIVE OF A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONE= Y PAID BY A NEWSPAPER NO DOUBT ADDED TO HER COLOURFUL

=E2=80=98MEMORIES=E2=80=99 WHICH IN FACT ARE NOTHING BUT MADE U= P STORIES.

 4. The Mail on Sunday and other newspapers ran the story about
 Andrew=E2=80=99s rendezvous with ██████████ ██████████ in Ghislaine Maxwell=E2=80=99s
 London home. These reports said that Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine
 Maxwell left the Duke of York and ██████████ alone for several
 hours, and that the next morning Ghislaine Maxwell told ██████████
 ██████████ that the Duke had a good time. Would Ghislaine please comment
 on this story. What did the Duke tell Ghislaine about his rendezvous
 with ██████████ ██████████?

 5. The Mail on Sunday said it had evidence to support ██████████
 ██████████ story=E2=80=94flight logs from Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s Boeing 727 and=
 Gulfstream jet. Was Ghislaine Maxwell on these airplanes and who else
 was onboard?

 AGAIN TO REMEMBER ANY SPECIFIC FLIGHT AND WHO WAS ON BOARD A PLANE FOR EVEN= TS THAT ALEDEGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IS SIMPLY UNREALISITC

 6. According to a sworn deposition by Juan Alessi, a former employee
 at Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s Palm Beach estate, Prince Andrew attended naked
 pool parties and was treated to massages by a harem of adolescent
 girls.

 MS MAXWELL CANNOT COMMENT ON WHAT MR ALESSI ALLEDEGDLY SAW OR DID NOT SEE B= UT MS MAXWELL NEVER SAW THE DUKE IN ANY STATE OF UNDRRESS AND HE WAS INDEED A= LWAYS PROPERLY ATTIREED.

That's it for now.

I hope that you can arrange for me to speak directly to Ghislaine.

 All the best,

 Ed

 Edward
Klein

1085 Park Avenue

5A

New York, NY 10128

Tel. [REDACTED]

Cell. [REDACTED]

ed@edw=
ardklein.com <<a href=3D"mailto=
:[REDACTED]">mailto:[REDACTED]>

--

Ross Gow

Managing Partner

ACUITY Reputation

23 Berkeley Square

London W1J 6HE

+44 (0) [REDACTED]

+44 (0) [REDACTED]

www.acuityreputation.com <= <a href=3D"http://www.acuityrepu=
tation.com">http://www.acuityreputation.com> <<a href=3D"http:=
//www.acuityreputation.com">ht=
tp://www.acuityreputation.com>

----- End of Forwarded Message

</blockquote>

 </blockquote>
</div> </blockquote> </body> </html>

--B_3537537149_1356210193--

From: G Maxwell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2011 9:09 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

Do you have a problem with anything I said.

----- Original Message -----

From: jeffrey epstein <[REDACTED]>
To: Gmax
Sent: Wed Jun 08 10:08:11 2011
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

He wont ad details but you can try

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 8, 2011, at 3:52 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:

On 6/8/11 9:49 AM, "J Jep" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I would say a paid for story thar was nothing mote than a malicious fabrication –DO YOU MEAN INSTEAD OF ALL OF THIS JUST SAY THAT IT WAS A FABRICATION..WHAT ABOUT SAYING THAT I MET HER WHEN SHE WAS 17 AND LIVING WITH HER FIANCE AND AVOID ALL THE OTHER STUFF

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 8, 2011, at 3:42 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:

MY PROPOSED ANS IN CAPS..PLSE COMMENT

----- Forwarded Message

From: Ross Gow <[REDACTED] <<mailto:[REDACTED]>>
mailto:[REDACTED]>>
Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2011 13:49:02 -0400
To: G G <[REDACTED] <<mailto:[REDACTED]> mailto:[REDACTED]>>
Cc: Brian Basham <[REDACTED] <<mailto:[REDACTED]>>
mailto:[REDACTED]>>
Subject: Vanity Fair

Dear Ghislaine

Klein's questions follow, below. If we are to respond, I would advise we stick to written responses rather than engage via 'phone. Klein has form for story-twisting re Hillary Clinton. Written responses at least give us an audit trail of what was said.

A lot of this is Mail on Sunday rehash. Klein has our statement, but is offering the chance for further clarification...I get the feeling he's likely to make unflattering assumptions if we don't give him on the record answers.

Please advise on those questions which you feel you more comfortable answering. We can head him off at the pass on those and put legal frighteners on him elsewhere.

Just a caveat - we should be aware that there is a chance that anything other than fully robust answers might be construed as inadvertent admissions of wrongdoing, so we will need legal team to advise on precise wording. Do you want me to pass this on to Devonshires if you have not already done so...?

best

Ross

Dear Ross,

Here are some fact-checking questions for Ghislaine Maxwell to comment on and answer:

1. Do Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell share a home in London or anywhere else? Do they still see each other? Does Epstein help support Ghislaine? Her friends tell me that Ghislaine once loved Epstein. Does she still love him?

MS MAXWELL DOES NO SHARE ANY HOMES WITH MR EPSTEIN. MR EPSTEIN DOES NOT SUPPORT MS MAXWELL IN ANYWAY. MS MAXWELL HAD INFACCT BEEN IN COMMITTED RELATIONSHIP WITH SOMEONE ELSE FOR THE PAST 7 YEARS

2. The Mail on Sunday published a photograph showing the Duke of York with his arm around [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. Standing off to one side in the photo was Ghislaine Maxwell. The newspaper said that this photo taken in Ghislaine's London home. Was it? Did Jeffrey Epstein take the photo? If not, who did?

MS MAXWELL HAS NO RECOLECTION OF THE PHOTO BEING EVEN TAKEN GIVEN WE ARE TALKING AN EVENT THAT ALLEDGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IT WOULD BE SURPRISING

3. According to [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Ghislaine Maxwell recruited her as Epstein's "sex slave" when she was 15 years old and arranged for her to see Andrew three times—at Jeffrey Epstein's homes in London and New

York and on his private Caribbean island, Little Saint James—where, ██████ said, she'd been "sexually exploited by Epstein's adult male peers, including royalty." Would Ghislaine please comment on this assertion by ██████ ██████.

MS MAXWELL MET MS ██████ WHEN SHE WAS 17 AND WAS HIRED AS A MASSUSE. MS ██████ WAS LIVING AT THE TIME WITH HER FIANCE. MS ██████ HAS A PRIOR SEXUAL HASSARASSMENT SUIT AGAINST OTHER INDIVIDUALS THAT WAS THROWN OUT FOR LACK OF HER CREDABILITY AND DRUG USE. MS ██████ FINALLY FLED THE US TO AVOID ARREST FOR THEFT. I THINK GIVEN THIS AND OTHER HIGHLY INDICATIVE EVENTS IN HER LIFE IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEVE ONE WORD OUT OF HER MOUTH. FURTHER THE INCENTIVE OF A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY PAID BY A NEWSPAPER NO DOUBT ADDED TO HER COLOURFUL 'MEMORIES' WHICH IN FACT ARE NOTHING BUT MADE UP STORIES.

4. The Mail on Sunday and other newspapers ran the story about Andrew's rendezvous with ██████ ██████ in Ghislaine Maxwell's London home. These reports said that Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell left the Duke of York and ██████ ██████ alone for several hours, and that the next morning Ghislaine Maxwell told ██████ ██████ that the Duke had a good time. Would Ghislaine please comment on this story. What did the Duke tell Ghislaine about his rendezvous with ██████ ██████?

5. The Mail on Sunday said it had evidence to support ██████ ██████ story—flight logs from Jeffrey Epstein's Boeing 727 and Gulfstream jet. Was Ghislaine Maxwell on these airplanes and who else was onboard?

AGAIN TO REMEMBER ANY SPECIFIC FLIGHT AND WHO WAS ON BOARD A PLANE FOR EVENTS THAT ALEDEGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IS SIMPLY UNREALISITC

6. According to a sworn deposition by Juan Alessi, a former employee at Jeffrey Epstein's Palm Beach estate, Prince Andrew attended naked pool parties and was treated to massages by a harem of adolescent girls.

MS MAXWELL CANNOT COMMENT ON WHAT MR ALESSI ALLEDEGDLY SAW OR DID NOT SEE BUT MS MAXWELL NEVER SAW THE DUKE IN ANY STATE OF UNDRRESS AND HE WAS INDEED ALWAYS PROPERLY ATTIERED.

That's it for now.

I hope that you can arrange for me to speak directly to Ghislaine.

All the best,

Ed

Edward Klein
1085 Park Avenue
5A
New York, NY 10128
Tel. ██████

Cell. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]> > mailto:[REDACTED]>

--

Ross Gow

Managing Partner

ACUITY Reputation

23 Berkeley Square

London W1J 6HE

[REDACTED] 7788

[REDACTED] 5251

www.acuityreputation.com <<http://www.acuityreputation.com> http://www.acuityreputation.com> <
<http://www.acuityreputation.com> http://www.acuityreputation.com>

----- End of Forwarded Message

From: Jeffrey Epstein <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2011 10:38 AM
To: 'Gmax'
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

--bcaec5186af405152304a535f62c

--bcaec5186af405152304a535f62c

--bcaec5186af405152304a535f62c--
Content-type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="B_3537537144_1463473083"

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not
> understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--B_3537537144_1463473083
Content-type: text/plain;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

no its ok ,, but he will take out of context

On Wed, Jun 8, 2011 at 4:09 PM, Gmax <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Do you have a problem with anything I said.

----- Original Message -----

From: jeffrey epstein <[REDACTED]>
To: Gmax
Sent: Wed Jun 08 10:08:11 2011
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

He wont ad details but you can try

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 8, 2011, at 3:52 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:

=20

=20

=20

On 6/8/11 9:49 AM, "J Jep" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

=20

=20

I would say a paid for story thar was nothing mote than a m= alicious fabrication =E2=80=93DO YOU MEAN INSTEAD OF ALL OF THIS JUST SAY THAT IT = WAS A FABRICATION..WHAT ABOUT SAYING THAT I MET HER WHEN SHE WAS 17 AND LIVI= NG WITH HER FIANCE AND AVOID ALL THE OTHER STUFF

=20

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

=20

On Jun 8, 2011, at 3:42 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:

=20

=20

MY PROPOSED ANS IN CAPS..PLSE COMMENT

=20

----- Forwarded Message

From: Ross Gow <[REDACTED]> <<mailto:[REDACTED]>>
mailto:[REDACTED]>>

Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2011 13:49:02 -0400

To: G G <[REDACTED]> <<mailto:[REDACTED]>>
m> mailto:[REDACTED]>>

Cc: Brian Basham <[REDACTED]> <<mailto:ma=[REDACTED]>>
mailto:[REDACTED]>>

Subject: Vanity Fair

=20

Dear Ghislaine

=20

Klein's questions follow, below. If we are to respo= nd, I would advise we stick to written responses rather than engage vi= a 'phone. Klein has form for story-twisting re Hillary Clinton. Written= responses at least give us an audit trail of what was said.

=20

A lot of this is Mail on Sunday rehash. Klein has o= ur statement, but is offering the chance for further clarification...= I get the feeling he's likely to make unflattering assumptions if we = don't give him on the record answers.

=20

Please advise on those questions which you feel you= more comfortable answering. We can head him off at the pass on those= and put legal frighteners on him elsewhere.

=20

Just a caveat - we should be aware that there is a = chance that anything other than fully robust answers might be c= onstrued as inadvertent admissions of wrongdoing, so we will ne= ed legal team to advise on precise wording. Do you want me to pass t= his on to Devonshires if you have not already done so...?

best

Ross

=20

Dear Ross,

=20

Here are some fact-checking questions for Ghislaine= Maxwell to comment on and answer:

=20

=20

1. Do Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell share a home in London or anywhere else? Do they still see each other? Does Epstein help support Ghislaine? Her friends tell me that Ghislaine once loved Epstein. Does she still love him?

=20

MS MAXWELL DOES NOT SHARE ANY HOMES WITH MR EPSTEIN. MR EPSTEIN DOES NOT SUPPORT MS MAXWELL IN ANYWAY. MS MAXWELL HAD IN FACT BEEN IN COMMITTED RELATIONSHIP WITH SOMEONE ELSE FOR THE PAST 7 YEARS

=20

2. The Mail on Sunday published a photograph showing the Duke of York with his arm around [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. Standing off to one side in the photo was Ghislaine Maxwell. The newspaper said that this photo taken in Ghislaine's London home. Was it? Did Jeffrey Epstein take the photo? If not, who did?

=20

MS MAXWELL HAS NO RECOLLECTION OF THE PHOTO BEING EVEN TAKEN GIVEN WE ARE TALKING AN EVENT THAT ALLEGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IT WOULD BE SURPRISING

=20

3. According to [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Ghislaine Maxwell recruited her as Epstein's sex slave when she was 15 years old and arranged for her to see Andrew three times at Jeffrey Epstein's homes in London and New York and on his private Caribbean island, Little Saint James where, [REDACTED] said, she had been sexually exploited by Epstein's adult male peers, including royalty. Would Ghislaine please comment on this assertion by [REDACTED] [REDACTED].

=20

MS MAXWELL MET MS [REDACTED] WHEN SHE WAS 17 AND WAS HIRED AS A MASSUSE. MS [REDACTED] WAS LIVING AT THE TIME WITH HER FIANCE. MS ROBERTS HAS A PRIOR SEXUAL HARASSMENT SUIT AGAINST OTHER INDIVIDUALS THAT WAS THROWN OUT FOR LACK OF HER CREDIBILITY AND DRUG USE. MS [REDACTED] FINALLY FLED THE US TO AVOID ARREST FOR THEFT. I THINK GIVEN THIS AND OTHER HIGHLY INDICATIVE EVENTS IN HER LIFE IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEVE ONE WORD OUT OF HER MOUTH. FURTHER THE INCENTIVE OF A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY PAID BY A NEWSPAPER NO DOUBT ADDED TO HER COLOURFUL MEMORIES WHICH IN FACT ARE NOTHING BUT MADE UP STORIES.

=20

4. The Mail on Sunday and other newspapers ran the story about Andrew's rendezvous with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in Ghislaine Maxwell's London home. These reports said that Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell left the Duke of York and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] alone for several hours, and that the next morning Ghislaine Maxwell told [REDACTED] that the Duke had a good time. Would Ghislaine please comment on this story. What did the Duke tell Ghislaine about his rendezvous with [REDACTED] [REDACTED]?

=20

=20

5. The Mail on Sunday said it had evidence to support [REDACTED] [REDACTED] story's flight logs from Jeffrey Epstein's Boeing 727 and Gulfstream jet. Was Ghislaine Maxwell on these airplanes and who else was onboard?

=20

AGAIN TO REMEMBER ANY SPECIFIC FLIGHT AND WHO WAS ON BOARD A PLANE FOR EVENTS THAT ALLEGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IS TO IMPLY UNREALISTIC

=20

6. According to a sworn deposition by Juan Alessi, = a former employee at Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s Palm Beach estate, Prince An= drew attended naked pool parties and was treated to massages by a harem= of adolescent girls.

=20

MS MAXWELL CANNOT COMMENT ON WHAT MR ALESSI ALLEDEG= DLY SAW OR DID NOT SEE BUT MS MAXWELL NEVER SAW THE DUKE IN ANY STATE OF UND= RESS AND HE WAS INDEED ALWAYS PROPERLY ATTIRE

=20

That's it for now.

=20

I hope that you can arrange for me to speak directl= y to Ghislaine.

=20

All the best,

=20

Ed

=20

Edward Klein
1085 Park Avenue
5A
New York, NY 10128
Tel. [REDACTED]
Cell. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <<mailto:[REDACTED]> ma= ilto:ed@edwardklein.com>

=20

=20

=20

--

Ross Gow
Managing Partner
ACUITY Reputation
23 Berkeley Square
London W1J 6HE
[REDACTED] 7788
[REDACTED] 5251

www.acuityreputation.com <<http://www.acuityreputa= tion.com> http://www.acuityreputation.com> <
<http://www.acuityreputation.c=
om> http://www.acuityreputation.com>

=20

=20

----- End of Forwarded Message

=20

=20

=20

--=20

From: jeffrey epstein boundary=Apple-Mail-5-769422850 <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2011 11:47 AM
To: 'GMAX'
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair - I have something else to add I just want to make sure you thinks its ok and then it will go - the add and change are in red pls advise

--Apple-Mail-5-769422850
charset=utf-8

--Apple-Mail-5-769422850
charset=utf-8

--Apple-Mail-5-769422850--
Content-type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="B_3537537138_895454687"

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not
> understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--B_3537537138_895454687
Content-type: text/plain;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

Ok

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 9, 2011, at 1:21 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:

----- Forwarded Message

From: <[REDACTED]>
Reply-To: <[REDACTED]>
Date: Thu, 9 Jun 2011 12:32:23 -0400
To: G G <[REDACTED]>, Ross Gow <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

Good to go.=20

Sent from my BlackBerry=C2=AE wireless device

From: GMAX <[REDACTED]>=20
Date: Thu, 09 Jun 2011 11:19:51 -0400
To: Brian Basham<[REDACTED]>; Ross Gow<[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

Dear Brian and Ross

Bellow in caps are my proposed answers. I have no intention of talking to E= d. My plan here is just to set some of the record straight =E2=80=93 ie I am no lo= nger w/je and have not been for years..it is of course longer than the 7 but= 7 years with another man should at least clear up that point Re the other answers..lets discuss and change them if you see fit and once = we have them in a state that we like I will contact devonshires to have an o= pinion and then revert to you to send to Ed Thx G Dear Ross,

Here are some fact-checking questions for Ghislaine Maxwell to comment on and answer:

1. Do Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell share a home in London or anywhere else? Do they still see each other? Does Epstein help support Ghislaine? Her friends tell me that Ghislaine once loved Epstein. Does she still love him?

MS MAXWELL DOES NOT SHARE ANY HOMES ANYWHERE WITH MR EPSTEIN. MR EPSTEIN DO= ES NOT SUPPORT MS MAXWELL IN ANYWAY. MS MAXWELL HAD INFAC= T BEEN IN COMMITTED= RELATIONSHIP WITH SOMEONE ELSE FOR THE PAST 7 YEARS

2. The Mail on Sunday published a photograph showing the Duke of York with his arm around ██████████ ██████████. Standing off to one side in the photo was Ghislaine Maxwell. The newspaper said that this photo taken in Ghislaine's London home. Was it? Did Jeffrey Epstein take the photo? If not, who did?

MS MAXWELL HAS NO RECOLLECTION OF THE PHOTO BEING TAKEN AND GIVEN WE ARE TAL= KING AN EVENT THAT ALLEGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IT WOULD BE SURPR= ISING=20

3. According to ██████████ ██████████, Ghislaine Maxwell recruited her as Epstein=E2=80=99s =E2=80=9Csex slave=E2=80=9D when she was 15 years old and arranged for her to see Andrew three times=E2=80=94at Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s homes in London and New York and on his private Caribbean island, Little Saint James=E2=80=94where, ██████████ said, she=E2=80=99d been =E2=80=9Csexually exploited by Epstein=E2=80=99s adult male peers, including royalty.=E2=80=9D Would Ghislaine please comment on this assertion by ██████████ ██████████.

MS MAXWELL MET MS ██████████ WHEN SHE BELIEVES SHE WAS 17 AND SHE WAS LIVING = WITH HER FIANCE. MS ██████████ WAS HIRED BY MR EPSTEIN AS A MASSUSE. MS ROBERT= S HAD A PRIOR SEXUAL HASSARASSMENT SUIT AGAINST OTHER INDIVIDUALS THAT WAS T= HROWN OUT FOR LACK OF HER CREDABILITY PRIOR TO HER FILING A CIVIL CASE AGAI= NST MR EPSTEIN.that It Should be noted that MS ██████████, PRIOR TO FILING HER= SUIT, HAD FLED THE US TO AVOID BEING ARRESTED and possibly put in jail FOR = grand THEFT. I THINK GIVEN THIS AND OTHER HIGHLY INDICATIVE EVENTS IN HER LI= FE (IT IS) one should view what she says and her stories with more than jus= t a healthy dose of sckepisim (IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEVE ONE WORD OUT OF HER MOU= TH). FURTHER THE INCENTIVE OF A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY PAID BY A NEWSPAPER AN= D OTHERS NO DOUBT ADDED TO HER COLOURFUL AND UNTURE STORIES WHICH IN FACT AR= E LAREGELY NOTHING BUT MALICIOUS FOR HIRE MADE UP STORIES. In addition it = is clear that the US lawyers are trying to exploit her to sensationalize the= ir profiles and to keep interest in an otherwise old story in an attempt to = game the system as anyone can file a civil suit for anything with a view to= trying to make a quick buck whether their is merit or not in the case. It s= hould also be noted that at least one of the =E2=80=9Dlawyers=E2=80=9D involved in this = unattractive scheme was a partner to a man recently arrested and hastily jai= led for 50 years for amongst other things creating and promoting false case= s and selling them and stealing millions and millions of dollars by selling = them to unsuspecting investors..he did this by using ex policeman to create= fake cases and faked judges signatures to sign off on them amongst other c= orrupt illegal and shameless schemes and demonstrated an exploitation of the= US legal system that also used and created fake =E2=80=98victims=E2=80=99 to promote hi= s illegal and and life destroying fantastical fake untrue suits.

4. The Mail on Sunday and other newspapers ran the story about Andrew=E2=80=99s rendezvous with ██████ ██████ in Ghislaine Maxwell=E2=80=99s London home. These reports said that Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell left the Duke of York and ██████ ██████ alone for several hours, and that the next morning Ghislaine Maxwell told ██████ ██████ that the Duke had a good time. Would Ghislaine please comment on this story. What did the Duke tell Ghislaine about his rendezvous with ██████ ██████?

SEE ABOVE

5. The Mail on Sunday said it had evidence to support ██████ ██████ story=E2=80=94flight logs from Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s Boeing 727 and Gulfstream jet. Was Ghislaine Maxwell on these airplanes and who else was onboard?

TO REMEMBER ANY SPECIFIC FLIGHT GOING TO ANY GIVEN PLACE AND WHO WAS ON BOA= RD OR NOT ON ANY GIVEN PLANE AT ANY GIVEN TIME FOR FLIGHTS THAT ALLEGEDLY = TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IS SIMPLY UNREALISTIC

6. According to a sworn deposition by Juan Alessi, a former employee at Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s Palm Beach estate, Prince Andrew attended naked pool parties and was treated to massages by a harem of adolescent girls.

MS MAXWELL CANNOT COMMENT ON WHAT MR ALESSI ALLEGEDLY SAW OR DID NOT SEE BUT MS MAXWELL HAS NEVER SEEN THE DUKE IN ANY STATE OF UNDRESS AT ANYTIME AND= INDEED HE HAS ALWAYS BEEN PROPERLY ATTIRED WHEN EVER SHE HAS SEEN HIM. NOR= IS SHE AWARE OF ANY SUCH PARTIES.

That's it for now.

I hope that you can arrange for me to speak directly to Ghislaine.

All the best,

Ed

----- End of Forwarded Message

--B_3537537138_895454687

Content-type: text/html;
charset="UTF-8"

Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

```
<html>
<head>
<meta http-equiv=3D"Content-Type" content=3D"text/html; charset=3Dutf-8"> </head> <body bgcolor=3D"#FFFFFF">
<div>Ok<br> <br> Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone</div> <div><br> On Jun 9, 2011, at 1:21 PM, GMAX
&lt;<a href=3D"mailto:████████████████████">gmax=
████████████████████</a>&gt; wrote:<br>
<br>
</div>
</div>
</div></div>
<blockquote type=3D"cite">
```

<div>

----- Forwarded Message

From: <

Reply-To: <

Date: Thu, 9 Jun 2011 12:32:23 -0400

To: G G < [REDACTED]>, Ross Gow <ross@acuityreputation= .com>

Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

Good to go.

Sent from my BlackBerry=C2=AE wireless device

From: GMAX < [REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 09 Jun 2011 11:19:51 -0400

To: Brian Basham< [REDACTED]>; Ross Gow<ro= [REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

Dear Brian and Ross

Bellow in caps are my proposed answers. I have no intention of talking to E= d. My plan here is just to set some of the record straight =E2=80=93 ie I am no lo= nger w/je and have not been for years..it is of course longer than the 7 but= 7 years with another man should at least clear up that point

Re the other answers..lets discuss and change them if you see fit and once = we have them in a state that we like I will contact devonshires to have an o= pinion and then revert to you to send to Ed
 Thx
 G
 Dear Ross,

 <blockquote> <blockquote> <blockquote>
 Here are some fact-checking questions for Ghislaine Maxwell to comment
 on and answer:

 1. Do Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell share a home in London or
 anywhere else? Do they still see each other? Does Epstein help support
 Ghislaine? Her friends tell me that Ghislaine once loved Epstein. Does
 she still love him?

 MS MAXWELL DOES NOT SHARE ANY HOMES ANYWHERE WITH MR EPSTEIN. MR EPSTEIN DO= ES NOT SUPPORT MS MAXWELL IN ANYWAY. MS MAXWELL HAD INFAC T BEEN IN COMMITTED= RELATIONSHIP WITH SOMEONE ELSE FOR THE PAST 7 YEARS

 2. The Mail on Sunday published a photograph showing the Duke of York
 with his arm around [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. Standing off to one side in the=
 photo was Ghislaine Maxwell. The newspaper said that this photo taken
 in Ghislaine's London home. Was it? Did Jeffrey Epstein take the
 photo? If not, who did?

 MS MAXWELL HAS NO RECOLECTION OF THE PHOTO BEING TAKEN AND GIVEN WE ARE TAL= KING AN EVENT THAT ALLEDGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IT WOULD BE SURPR= ISING

 3. According to [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Ghislaine Maxwell recruited her as
 Epstein=E2=80=99s =E2=80=9Csex slave=E2=80=9D when she was 15 years old and arranged for her<=
 to see Andrew three times=E2=80=94at Jeffrey Epstein=E2=80=99s homes in London and New<=
 York and on his private Caribbean island, Little Saint James=E2=80=94where,
 [REDACTED] said, she=E2=80=99d been =E2=80=9Csexually exploited by Epstein=E2=80=99s adult male=
 peers, including royalty.=E2=80=9D Would Ghislaine please comment on this
 assertion by [REDACTED] [REDACTED].

 MS MAXWELL MET MS [REDACTED] WHEN SHE BELIEVES SHE WAS 17 AND SHE WAS LIVING &= nbsp;WITH HER FIANCE. MS [REDACTED] WAS HIRED BY MR EPSTEIN

AS A MASSUSE. ;MS ██████████ HAD A PRIOR SEXUAL HASSARASSMENT SUIT AGAINST OTHER INDIVIDUALS = THAT WAS THROWN OUT FOR LACK OF HER CREDABILITY PRIOR TO HER FILING A CIVIL CASE AGAINST MR EPSTEIN.that
It Should be noted that MS ██████████ , PRIOR TO FILING HER SUIT, H= AD FLED THE US TO AVOID BEING ARRESTED and possibly put in jail FOR grand THEFT. I THINK GIVEN THIS AND OTHER HIGHLY INDICATIVE EVENTS IN HER LIFE (IT IS)

one should view what she says and her stories with more than just a healthy dose of skepticism (IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEVE ONE WORD OUT OF HER MOUTH). FURTHER THE INCENTIVE OF A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY PAID BY A NEWSPAPER AND OTHERS NO DOUBT ADDED TO HER COLOURFUL AND UNTURE STORIES WHICH IN FACT ARE LAREGELY NOTHING BUT= MALICIOUS FOR HIRE MADE UP STORIES.

 In addition it is clear that the US lawyers are trying to exploit her to sensationalize their profiles and to keep interest in an otherwise old story in an attempt to game the system as anyone can file a civil suit for anything with a view to trying to make a quick buck whether there is merit or not in the case. It should also be noted that at least one of the lawyers involved in this unattractive scheme was a partner to a man recently arrested and hastily jailed for 50 years for amongst other things creating and promoting false cases and selling them and stealing millions and millions of dollars by selling them to unsuspecting investors..he did this by using an ex policeman to create fake cases and faked judges signatures to sign off on them amongst other corrupt illegal and shameless schemes and demonstrated an exploitation of the US legal system that also used and created fake victims

to promote his illegal and and life destroying fantastical fake untruths.

 4. The Mail on Sunday and other newspapers ran the story about Andrew's rendezvous with ██████████ ██████████ in Ghislaine Maxwell's London home. These reports said that Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell left the Duke of York and ██████████ ██████████ alone for several hours, and that the next morning Ghislaine Maxwell told ██████████ ██████████ that the Duke had a good time. Would Ghislaine please comment on this story. What did the Duke tell Ghislaine about his rendezvous with ██████████ ██████████?

 SEE ABOVE

 5. The Mail on Sunday said it had evidence to support ██████████ ██████████ story=94flight logs from Jeffrey Epstein's Boeing 727 and Gulfstream jet. Was Ghislaine Maxwell on these airplanes and who else was onboard?

 TO REMEMBER ANY SPECIFIC FLIGHT GOING TO ANY GIVEN PLACE AND WHO WAS ON BOARD OR NOT ON ANY GIVEN PLANE AT ANY GIVEN TIME FOR FLIGHTS THAT ALLEGEDLY TOOK PLACE OVER 10 YEARS AGO IS SIMPLY UNREALISTIC

 6. According to a sworn deposition by Juan Alessi, a former employee at Jeffrey Epstein's Palm Beach estate, Prince Andrew attended naked pool parties and was treated to massages by a harem of adolescent girls.

 MS MAXWELL CANNOT COMMENT ON WHAT MR ALESSI ALLEGEDLY SAW OR DID NOT SEE BUT MS MAXWELL HAS NEVER SEEN THE DUKE IN ANY STATE OF UNDRESS AT ANYTIME AND INDEED HE HAS ALWAYS BEEN PROPERLY ATTIRED WHEN EVER SHE HAS SEEN HIM. NOR IS SHE AWARE OF ANY SUCH PARTIES.

 That's it for now.

 I hope that you can arrange for me to speak directly to Ghislaine.

 All the best,

</blockquote> </blockquote> </blockquote> Ed

----- End of Forwarded Message

</div>

</blockquote>

</body>

</html>

--B_3537537138_895454687--

From: Jeffrey Epstein <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2011 2:21 PM
To: 'GMAX'
Subject: Re: This is the actual version they wanted me to send which I changed..but this is back from my UK lawyers..

--bcaec50fe0cde527a504a58989d7

--bcaec50fe0cde527a504a58989d7

--bcaec50fe0cde527a504a58989d7--
Content-type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="B_3537537134_767989514"

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not
> understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--B_3537537134_767989514
Content-type: text/plain;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

I will be able to edit after 9 tonight or tomorrow morning, where are you?

On Sun, Jun 12, 2011 at 4:12 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Thank you _ I have it now and am working the letter a little =E2=80=93 I will sen= d the final version tomorrow and what
ever is in it will be factually accura=
te=20

On 6/12/11 16:00 PM, "Philip Barden" <[REDACTED]> wrot=
e:

See below as amended by me only for factual accuracy and some notes where I= am uncertain of the accuracy. =20
=20

Dear Mr Klein

=20
Ross Gow of Acuity Reputation, who I have retained to deal with press enqui=
ries, tells me that you have sent him the following list of questions.

=20
My condition of answering those questions is that you print this reply in f= ull. I=E2=80=99ve answered in a narrative
form, which I hope will make sense both = to you and to your readers. I=E2=80=99ve tried to keep it succinct.

=20
We don't know each other but I am familiar with the world of journalism and= there is an obvious temptation for
journalists to sensationalise their stor= ies, so, as a precaution, I've sent a copy of this letter to Vanity Fair=E2=80=99s=
Editor in Chief, Graydon Carter.

=20

Driving straight to the heart of the matter, the allegations that have been made against me are part of an attempt by ██████████ and her lawyer, Brad Edwards, to extort money from me. This follows an unsuccessful attempt by them at blackmailing me. To spice up the story they have made allegations about HRH Prince Andrew. They are untrue.

=20

You must be aware that Mr Edwards former partner [check this], Scott Rothstein, is now serving a 50 year prison term for creating false allegations against Mr Epstein and then using those allegations to obtain money by deception in a Ponzi scheme. You know that Mr Rothstein destroyed people's lives with his fantastic and untrue suits and that in pursuit of his evil plans he corrupted ex policemen to create faked cases. He even forged the signatures of judges to sign off on those charges [I am not aware of this level of detail is it correct?]. You will be aware that Mr. Edwards now, himself, faces a professional investigation and serious potential charges.

=20

██████████ allegations only emerged at the time that Scott Rothstein was creating false claims and years after the alleged misconduct. The releasing of the allegations to the national press only occurred after I refused to make any payments to silence Ms ██████████ or her attorneys because the allegations were utterly false. As you will be aware, it is also believed to be the case that she has been paid a large sum of money by at least one British national newspaper. That represents a further incentive to make false claims.

=20

If you write a balanced article, you will be bound to record that Ms Roberts has made a previous claim of sexual harassment totally unrelated to Mr Epstein and which was not proceeded with because the police took the view she had no credibility.

=20

You will also, presumably, draw attention to the fact that, prior to filing her civil suit against Mr Epstein, Ms ██████████ fled the US to avoid being arrested for grand theft.[is this correct. My recollection is that we knew she was indicted but did not know the outcome. Perhaps you have better information now]

All in all, given her record, which includes a number of other highly colourful events (of which I have no doubt you will be aware and will reflect) I assume you will treat what she says with great scepticism.

=20

All the untrue events are alleged to have happened ten years ago. Until those allegations emerged I had no recollection of Ms ██████████ at all. I couldn't have picked her out in a crowd or, perhaps more relevantly in her case, in an identity parade. After some thought, I recalled that I first met Ms Roberts when she was working at a Premier Health Resort, claiming to be 18 years old and a professional masseuse. As part of his health programme, Mr Epstein had regular massages and employed both female and male masseuses. Ms ██████████ always came to Mr Epstein's property with either her mother or fiancée in attendance, sometimes both. I was aware of no improper conduct and none was brought to my attention.

=20

When it comes to Ms ██████████ allegations about her time in London, I can't say I remember her at all and neither can I remember flying with her. I fly many thousands of miles a year all over the world in many different aircraft.

=20

Of course, I've seen the photograph of the Duke of York with Ms ██████████ Roberts but I have absolutely no recollection at all of the photograph being taken and cannot say who took it.

=20

I've met the Duke of York on a number of occasions but whenever I've seen him he has been properly attired and I have no knowledge of naked pool parties at Mr Epstein's Palm Beach estate or elsewhere.

=20

I do not share any homes with Mr Epstein and he does not support me in any way. To put this in context my relationship with Mr Epstein ended over a decade ago and since then I have had a long term relationship. Mr Epstein's imprisonment and the circumstances to which that related occurred over 5 years after our relationship had ceased.

=20
If I=E2=80=99ve missed anything do let Mr. Gow know.=20

=20
Regards

=20
Ghislaine Maxwell

=20
Attach Klein=E2=80=99s questions.

=20
Brian Basham
Mobile: 07771 828828

[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>=20
=20

--=20

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein=20
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [REDACTED], and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--B_3537537134_767989514
Content-type: text/html;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

<html>
<head>
<meta http-equiv=3D"Content-Type" content=3D"text/html; charset=3Dutf-8"> </head> <body> I will be able to edit after 9 tonight or tomorrow morning, where are you?

 <div class=3D"gmail_quote">On Sun, Jun 12, 2011 at 4:12 PM, GMAX [REDACTED]> wr= ote:
 <blockquote class=3D"gmail_quote" style=3D"margin:0 0 0 .8ex;border-left:1px #ccc solid;padding-left:1ex;"> <div>Thank you _ I have it now and am working the letter a little =E2=80=93 I wi= ll send the final version tomorrow and what ever is in it will be factually = accurate

 On 6/12/11 16:00 PM, "Philip Barden" [REDACTED]= > wrote:

<blockquote>See below as amended by me only for factual accuracy and some notes where I am uncertain of the accuracy.

<i>Dear Mr Klein

Ross Gow of Acuity Reputation, who I have retained to deal with press enquiries, tells me that you have sent him the following list of questions.

My condition of answering those questions is that you print this reply in full. I=E2=80=99ve answered in a narrative form, which I hope will make sense both = to you and to your readers. I=E2=80=99ve tried to keep it succinct.

We don't know each other but I am familiar with the world of journalism and= there is an obvious temptation for journalists to sensationalise their stor= ies, so, as a precaution, I've sent a copy of this letter to Vanity Fair=E2=80=99s= Editor in Chief, Graydon Carter.

Driving straight to the heart of the matter, the allegations= made against me are part of an attempt by ██████████ ██████████ and her lawyer, = Brad Edwards, to extort money
from me. This follows an unsuccessful at= tempt by them at blackmailing me. To spice up the story they have made allegations about HRH Prince Andrew. The= y are untrue.

You must be aware that Mr Edwards=E2=80=99 former partner [= check this], Scott Rothstein, is now serving a 50 year prison term fo= r creating false allegations against Mr Epstein and then using those allegat= ions to obtain money by deception in a Ponzi scheme. You know that
Mr Rothstein destroyed people's liv= es with his fantastic and untrue suits and that in pursuit of his evil plans he corrupted ex policemen to create faked cases. He e= ven forged the signatures of judges to sign off on those charges [I am not a= ware of this level of detail =E2=80=93 is it correct?] . You will be = aware that Mr. Edwards now, himself, faces a professional investigation and serious potential charges.

██████████ ██████████=E2=80=99 allegations only emerged at the time that Scott Rothste= in was creating false claims and years after the alleged misconduct . The re= leasing of the allegations to the national press only occurred after I refus= ed to make any payments to silence Ms ██████████ or her attorneys because the allegations were utterly false. As= you will be aware, it is also believed to be the case that she has been pai= d a large sum of money by at least one British national newspaper. That repr= esents a further incentive to make false claims.

If you write a balanced article, you will be bound to record that Ms = ██████████ has made a previous claim of sexual harassment totally unrelated to = Mr Epstein and which was not proceed with because the police took the view s= he had no credibility.

You will also, presumably, draw attention to the fact= that, prior to filing her civil suit against Mr Epstein, Ms ██████████ fled th= e US to avoid being arrested for grand theft.[is this correct. My recollecti= on is that we knew she was indicted but did not know the outcome. Perhaps you have better information now] = sp;

All in all, given her record, which includes a number of other highly colou= rful events (of which I have no doubt you will be aware and will reflect) I = assume you will treat what she says with great scepticism.

All the untrue events are alleged to have happened ten years ago. Until tho= se allegations emerged I had no recollection of Ms ██████████ at all.
I= couldn't have picked her out in a crowd or, perhaps more relevantly in her ca= se, in an identity parade.
After some thought, I recalled that I first met Ms ██████████ when she was working at a = Premier Health Resort, claiming to be 18 years old and a professional masseu= se. As part of his health programme, Mr Epstein had regular massages and emp= loyed both female and male masseuses.

Ms ██████████ always came to Mr Epstein=E2=80=99s property with either her mo= ther or fianc=C3=A9 in attendance, sometimes both. I was aware of no improper co= nduct and none was brought to my attention.

When it comes to Ms ██████████ allegations about her time in London, I can't s= ay I remember her at all and neither can I remember flying with her.
I= fly many thousands of miles a year all over the world in many different air= craft.

Of course, I've seen the photograph of the Duke of York with ██████████ Rober= ts but I have absolutely no recollection at all of the photograph being take= n
and cannot say who took it.

I've met the Duke of York on a number of occasions but whenever I've seen h= im he has been properly attired and I have no knowledge of naked pool partie= s at Mr Epstein's Palm Beach estate or elsewhere.

I do

not share any homes with Mr Epstein and he does not support me in any way. To put this in context my relationship with Mr Epstein ended over a decade ago and since then I have had a long term relationship. Mr Epstein's imprisonment and the circumstances to which that related occurred over 5 years after our relationship had ceased.

 If I've missed anything do let Mr. Gow know.

 Regards

 Ghislaine Maxwell

 </i>Attach Klein's questions.

 Brian Basham

Mobile: 07771 828828

[REDACTED] <mailto:mail@brianbasham.com>

>

 <hr align="CENTER" size="2" width="100%"></blockquote>

</div>

</blockquote>

</div>

<br clear="all">

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [REDACTED], and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

 </body> </html>

--B_3537537134_767989514--

From: Jeffrey Epstein <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2011 6:36 PM
To: 'GMAX'
Subject: Re: This is the actual version they wanted me to send which I changed..but this is back from my UK lawyers..

--bcaec5171eb1d287f404a58d1b3b

--bcaec5171eb1d287f404a58d1b3b

--bcaec5171eb1d287f404a58d1b3b--
Content-type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="B_3537537128_1113954667"

> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not
> understand
this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--B_3537537128_1113954667
Content-type: text/plain;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

On Sun, Jun 12, 2011 at 4:12 PM, GMAX <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Thank you _ I have it now and am working the letter a little =E2=80=93 I will sen= d the final version tomorrow and what
ever is in it will be factually accura=
te=20

On 6/12/11 16:00 PM, "Philip Barden" <[REDACTED]> wrot=
e:

See below as amended by me only for factual accuracy and some notes where I= am uncertain of the accuracy. =20
=20

Dear Mr Klein

=20

Ross Gow of Acuity Reputation, who I have retained to deal with press enqui= ries, tells me that you have sent him the
following list of questions.

=20

My condition of answering those questions is that you print this reply in f= ull. I=E2=80=99ve answered in a narrative
form, which I hope will make sense both = to you and to your readers. I=E2=80=99ve tried to keep it succinct.

=20

We don't know each other but I am familiar with the world of journalism and= there is an obvious temptation for
journalists to sensationalise their stor= ies, so, as a precaution, I've sent a copy of this letter to Vanity Fair=E2=80=99s=
Editor in Chief, Graydon Carter.

=20

Driving straight to the heart of the matter, the allegations that have been made against me are part of an attempt by ██████████ and her lawyer, Brad Edwards, to extort money from me. This follows an unsuccessful attempt by them at blackmailing me. To spice up the story they have made allegations about HRH Prince Andrew. They are untrue.

=20

You must be aware that Mr Edwards former partner, Scott Rothstein, is now serving a 50 year prison term for creating false cases of a sexual nature against Mr Epstein and then using those fabricated cases to obtain money by deception in a Ponzi scheme. You know that Mr Rothstein destroyed people's lives with his fantastic and untrue suits and that in pursuit of his evil plans. You will be aware that Mr. Edwards now, himself, faces serious litigation with severe consequences

=20

██████████ allegations only emerged at the time that Scott Rothstein was creating false claims and years after the alleged misconduct. The releasing of the allegations to the national press only occurred after I refused to make any payments to silence Ms ██████████ or her attorneys because the allegations were utterly false. As you will be aware, it is also believed to be the case that she has been paid a large sum of money by at least one British national newspaper. That represents a further incentive to make false claims.

=20

If you write a balanced article, you will be bound to record that Ms Roberts has made a previous claims of sexual harassment totally unrelated to Mr Epstein and which was not proceed with because the police took the view she had no credibility.

=20

You will also, presumably, draw attention to the fact that, prior to filing her civil suit against Mr Epstein, Ms ██████████ fled the US to avoid being arrested for grand theft. police report available

All in all, given her record, which includes a number of other entirely fabricated events (of which I have no doubt you will be aware and will reflect) I assume you will treat what she says with great scepticism.

=20

All the untrue events are alleged to have happened ten years ago. Until those allegations emerged I had no recollection of Ms ██████████ at all. I couldn't have picked her out in a crowd or, perhaps more relevantly in her case, in an identity parade. After some thought, I recalled that I first met Ms Roberts when she was working at a Premier Health Resort, claiming to be 18 years old and a professional masseuse. As part of his health programme, Mr Epstein had regular massages and employed both female and male masseuses. Ms ██████████ always came to Mr Epstein's property with either her mother or fiancée in attendance, sometimes both. I was aware of no improper conduct and none was brought to my attention.

=20

When it comes to Ms ██████████ allegations about her time in London, I can't say I remember her at all and neither can I remember flying with her. I fly many thousands of miles a year all over the world in many different aircraft.

=20

Of course, I've seen the photograph of the Duke of York with Ms ██████████ Roberts but I have absolutely no recollection at all of the photograph being taken and cannot say who took it.

=20

I've met the Duke of York on a number of occasions but whenever I've seen him he has been properly attired and I have no knowledge of naked pool parties at Mr Epstein's Palm Beach estate or elsewhere.

=20

I do not share any homes with Mr Epstein and he does not support me in any way. To put this in context my relationship with Mr Epstein ended over a decade ago and since then I have had a long term relationship. Mr Epstein's imprisonment and the circumstances to which that related occurred over 5 years after our relationship had ceased.

=20

If I've missed anything do let Mr. Gow know.

=20
Regards
=20
=20
Ghislaine Maxwell
=20
Attach Klein=E2=80=99s questions.
=20
=20
Brian Basham
Mobile: 07771 828828
[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>=20
=20

--=20

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein=20 Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [REDACTED], and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--B_3537537128_1113954667
Content-type: text/html;
charset="UTF-8"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

<html>
<head>
<meta http-equiv=3D"Content-Type" content=3D"text/html; charset=3Dutf-8"> </head> <body>

 <div class=3D"gmail_quote">On Sun, Jun 12, 2011 at 4:12 PM, GMAX [REDACTED]> wr= ote:
 <blockquote class=3D"gmail_quote" style=3D"margin:0 0 0 .8ex;border-left:1px #c= cc solid;padding-left:1ex;"> <div>Thank you _ I have it now and am working the letter a little =E2=80=93 I wi= ll send the final version tomorrow and what ever is in it will be factually = accurate

 On 6/12/11 16:00 PM, "Philip Barden" [REDACTED]= > wrote:

<blockquote>See below as amended by me only for factual accuracy a= nd some notes where I am uncertain of the accuracy.

 <i>Dear Mr Klein

Mobile: 07771 828828

[REDACTED] <=
/a> <mailto:ma[REDACTED]/a>>

 <br=
>
<span= style=3D"font-size:10pt">

 <hr size=3D"2" width=3D"100%" align=3D"CENTER">
</blockquote>
</div>
</blockquote>
</div>

<br clear=3D"all">

--

The information contained in this communication is
 confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
 constitute inside information, and is intended only for
 the use of the addressee. It is the property of
 Jeffrey Epstein
 Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this
 communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited
 and may be unlawful. If you have received this
 communication in error, please notify us immediately by
 return e-mail or by e-mail to [REDACTED] , and
 destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
 including all attachments.
copyright -all rights reserved

 </body> </html>

--B_3537537128_1113954667--

From: G Maxwell [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2011 3:53 PM
Subject: FW:

----- Forwarded Message

From: G G < [REDACTED] >
Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2011 16:40:46 -0400
To: J Jep < [REDACTED] >
Subject: FW:

----- Forwarded Message

From: G G < [REDACTED] >
Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2011 09:53:48 -0400
To: Dana B < [REDACTED] >
Subject: FW:

----- Forwarded Message

From: G G < [REDACTED] >
Date: Sun, 12 Jun 2011 16:04:39 -0400
To: J Jep < [REDACTED] >
Subject: <no subject>

MY PR peePs want me to write a letter rather than ans the question directly. They say I will loose all credibility and all voice if one single thing is wrong or exaggerated..please read it v carefullly to check that what I say is 100% true and provable.. they think I still have a voice and can speak..use it once and get it wrong it will be gone for ever – AM IN MY OFFICE CALL

Please get back to me with any changes today as it has to go they say tomorrow

Dear Mr Klein

Ross Gow of Acuity Reputation, who I have retained to deal with press enquiries, tells me that you have sent him a list of questions.

I've answered in a narrative form, which I hope will make sense. I've tried to keep it succinct.

Driving straight to the heart of the matter, I believe the continuing press on Mr Epstein is in large measure part of a blatant attempt to game the legal system by lawyers that are involved in civil action against Mr Epstein and by newspapers who love and need a sensational story that sells papers regardless of balance or truth. Trying to tie Prince Andrew to Mr Epstein's story, is part of that larger plan.

You must be aware that Mr Edwards,' one of the lawyers who continues to promote this story is in, JE NEED

YOU TO WRITE LAWYERS NAMES HERE AND WHAT EVER THE AGREEMENT IS OF THE REALTIONSHOIP EDWARDS HAS WITH THEM SO IT TIES THEM TO THE SCHEM EVEN IF REMOVED ONE BY EDWARDS was working for and a Partner in a now defunct law firm with Scott Rothstein. Scott Rothstein very recently was sent to jail for 50 years for creating false allegations against Mr Epstein and then using those allegations to obtain money by deception. You know that Mr Rothstein destroyed people's lives with his fantastic and untrue suits and that in pursuit of his evil, greedy plans he corrupted ex policemen to create fake cases and forged the signatures of judges to sign off on those charges. Rothstein's plea related to, AMONGST OTHER THINGS, CREATING AND PROMOTING fake legal settlements AND SELLING interests in those settlements TO UNSUSPECTING INVESTORS. Rothstein engaged in these CORRUPT ILLEGAL AND SHAMELESS SCHEMES UNDERNEATH THE UMBRELLA OF A LEGAL PRACTICE TO STEAL MONEY. HE, AND OTHERS IN HIS FIRM, DEMONSTRATED AN EXPLOITATION OF THE U.S. LEGAL SYSTEM THAT USED AND CREATED FAKE 'VICTIMS' TO PROMOTE HIS ILLEGAL AND LIFE DESTROYING , FAKE SUITS. FBI Investigations continue into the now defunct firm, those who worked for the firm, and many of the ex partners of Rothstein's firm are subject to legal and civil proceedings. *You will be aware that Mr. Edwards himself, faces a professional investigation and serious potential charges brought by Mr Epstein.*

██████████' civil suit against Mr Epstein, along with her allegations in it, emerged IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN at the time that Scott Rothstein was creating HIS false claims. *If you write a balanced article, you will be bound to record that Ms ██████████ has made years pReviously sexual harrasment claims against people other than Mr Epstein and that they were thrown out of court for her lack of credibility. You will also, presumably, draw attention to the fact that, prior to filing her civil suit against Mr Epstein, and long after she allegedly ceased to be employed by him as a masseuse, Ms ██████████ fled the US to avoid being arrested and presumably be sent to jail for grand theft. Also I think it is probably fair to mention that she has been paid a large sum of money by at least one British national newspaper, aside from any other monies that she has received, which represents a further incentive to make any claims that would help keep her name in the public domain by attempting to link her to Prince Andrew and have what she has to to say be worth any money to those seeking to use her for their own means. All in all, given her record, which includes a number of other highly colourful events, (of which I have no doubt you will be aware and will reflect) I assume you will treat what she says with great scepticism.*

TO this point Ms ██████████ was I believe actually 17 and living with her fiance when Mr Epstein first employed her as a Masseuse. When it comes to Ms ██████████ allegations about her time in London, I can't say I remember being in London with her at all and neither can I remember flying with her. I fly thousands of miles a year all over the world and would be hard pushed to say what plane and with whom I was flying even with in the last year. Of course, I've seen the photograph of the Duke of York with ██████████ ██████████ but I have absolutely no recollection at all of the photograph being taken.

I've met the Duke of York on a number of occasions but whenever I've seen him he has been fully and properly attired and I have no knowledge of naked pool parties, unless one considers the odd person sunbathing without a swimming costume a party, at Mr Epstein's home.

As to my relationship with Mr Epstein, I can tell you that I do not share any homes anywhere with Mr Epstein; he does not support me in any way at all. For the record I had in fact, until very recently, been in a committed and happy relationship for the last seven years with someone else. Mr Epstein's imprisonment and the circumstances to which that related occurred many years after our relationship had ceased.

Regards

Ghislaine Maxwell

----- End of Forwarded Message

----- End of Forwarded Message

----- End of Forwarded Message

From: G Maxwell [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2014 9:44 PM
To: 'Jeffrey Epstein'
Subject: Re: Daily Mail story

That mentions me right in detail? What more can they say? This is dredging up all the lies in her deposition in more detail like it's new..god I hate them - WTF..so many more important things to talk about and stories that are real not made for money or fame..

Ok
Keep me posted

FACEBOOK <<https://www.facebook.com/TerraMarProject>> - TWITTER
<<http://twitter.com/terramarproject>> - G+ <<https://plus.google.com/104195649525707945586/posts>> -
PINTEREST <<http://pinterest.com/terramarproject/>> - INSTAGRAM
<<http://instagram.com/p/PhLoQKAVEq/>> - PLEDGE <<http://theterramarproject.org/#citizenship>> - THE DAILY
CATCH <<http://theterramarproject.org/thedailycatch/>>

From: Jeffrey Epstein
Sent: Thursday, 3 April 2014 22:37
To: G Maxwell
Subject: Re: Fw: Daily Mail story

Received the same weeks ago . The [REDACTED] [REDACTED] transcript

On Thursday, April 3, 2014, G Maxwell <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Hi there – what is this referencing
Gx

FACEBOOK <<https://www.facebook.com/TerraMarProject>>
TWITTER <<http://twitter.com/terramarproject>>
G+ <<https://plus.google.com/104195649525707945586/posts>>
PINTEREST <<http://pinterest.com/terramarproject/>>
INSTAGRAM <http://instagram.com/theterramarproject>>
PLEDGE <<http://theterramarproject.org/#citizenship>>
THE DAILY CATCH <<http://theterramarproject.org/thedailycatch/>>

From: gmax <[REDACTED]>
Date: Thursday, April 3, 2014 21:31 PM
To: J Jep <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Fw: Daily Mail story

FACEBOOK <<https://www.facebook.com/TerraMarProject>> - TWITTER <<http://twitter.com/terramarproject>> - G+
<<https://plus.google.com/104195649525707945586/posts>> - PINTEREST <<http://pinterest.com/terramarproject/>> -

From: Brett D. Jaffe
Sent: Thursday, 3 April 2014 21:30
To: G Maxwell
Subject: Fwd: Daily Mail story

Begin forwarded message:

From: "daniel bates" <[REDACTED]>
To: "Brett D. Jaffe" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Daily Mail story

Hello

I am a reporter with the Daily Mail newspaper and have been working on a story with my colleague Guy Adams in London.

I understand that you represent Ghislaine Maxwell.

I have left phone messages with you and Miss Maxwell but have not had any response.

This is to let you know that we are going to report the details of the attached filing which was made in the case of Epstein vs Rothstein in the Palm Beach County Court.

Under the new British Defamation Act we have privilege in the UK to report this filing, so long as we do so accurately and reflect any comment or reaction your client may have to it.

For this reason I wanted to see if your client may wish to offer any comment on the version of events which it depicts.

You can reach me at this email address or [REDACTED] >.

My colleague Guy is reached though the office switchboard in the UK which is [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])>

Thanks

Daniel [<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/images/cleardot.gif>]

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [REDACTED], and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved