

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
[REDACTED],
Plaintiff,
v.
GHISLAINE MAXWELL,
Defendant.
-----X

15-cv-07433-RWS

**Defendant's Response in Opposition to
Plaintiff's Omnibus Motion *in Limine***

Laura A. Menninger
Jeffrey S. Pagliuca
Ty Gee
HADDON, MORGAN AND FOREMAN, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, CO 80203
[REDACTED]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| I. FED. R. EVID. 405(B) REQUIRES ADMISSION OF ALL SPECIFIC INCIDENTS OF PLAINTIFF LYING OR ENGAGING IN DECEITFUL OR FRAUDULENT CONDUCT | 1 |
| A. All allegations or comments made by Plaintiff are “connected to” the alleged defamatory statement..... | 4 |
| B. Responses to Enumerated Motions <i>In Limine</i> | 6 |
| 1. Stories and allegations concerning Dershowitz, foreign presidents, and world leaders are highly relevant and admissible (Motion in Limine 1) | 6 |
| 2. Meeting Bill Clinton at Jeffrey Epstein’s private island twice (Motion in Limine 2) | 8 |
| 3. FOIA responses and related materials are admissible (Motion in Limine 3)..... | 8 |
| 4. Louis Freeh’s testimony and report are admissible evidence (Motion in Limine 4) | 9 |
| 5. Prince Andrew and Buckingham Palace’s denials of Plaintiff’s claims are not offered for the truth of the matter asserted (Motion in Limine 5)..... | 11 |
| 6. Evidence Regarding Plaintiff’s Sexual History and Reputation is Admissible (Motion in Limine 6) | 14 |
| 7. Plaintiff cannot prohibit use of words such as “prostitute,” which she used to describe herself, or any other “derogatory” terms that describe her reputation (Motion in Limine 7)..... | 14 |
| 8. Plaintiff’s drug use is relevant to her memory and lack of damages (Motion in Limine 8)..... | 16 |
| 9. Information regarding Plaintiff’s criminal history is relevant and admissible evidence when character is an essential element of the claim (Motion in Limine 9)..... | 18 |
| 10. Evidence concerning school attendance is relevant to Plaintiff’s allegations of how she spent her time in 1999 to 2002 (Motion in Limine 10) | 19 |
| 11. Plaintiff put her full childhood history and character at issue (Motion in Limine 11) .. | 20 |
| 12. Victims Refuse Silence is a sham not-for-profit established to create a claim for defamation per se (Motion in Limine 12)..... | 20 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 13. Plaintiff’s failure to pay her personal tax obligations is relevant to her character for truthfulness (Motion in Limine 13) | 22 |
| 14. Plaintiff’s husband’s domestic violence against her in March 2015 is probative of other intervening causes of emotional distress and related damages (Motion in Limine 14) | 23 |
| 15. Plaintiff’s lies about her age and the year she met Mr. Epstein must be exposed to the jury (Motion in Limine 15)..... | 24 |
| 16. All of Plaintiff’s medical records are relevant to Plaintiff’s damages claims (Motion in Limine 16) | 25 |
| 17. The Parties agree to preclusion of all documents and discussion of the Jane Doe 102 case, including the settlement of those claims (Motion in Limine 17) | 26 |
| 18. The Cassell/Edwards v. Dershowitz litigation is relevant and admissible (Motion in Limine 18) | 26 |
| 19. Judge Marra’s ruling is relevant and admissible (Motion in Limine 19)..... | 29 |
| 20. The transcript of the tape recording of Mr. Dershowitz’s conversation with Rebecca Boylan is admissible (Motion in Limine 20)..... | 31 |
| C. Plaintiff’s Remaining Motions In Limine Are Premature and Request an Unnecessary Advisory Opinion (Motions in Limine 21-29)..... | 32 |
| 1. “Bad Acts” by Plaintiff’s counsel | 34 |
| 2. Discussion of missing witnesses and absence of evidence is appropriate, and missing witness instruction may be requested | 35 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 36 |
| CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE | 38 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| | |
|--|----|
| <i>Brady v. Chemical Construction Corp.</i> , 740 F.2d 195 (2d Cir. 1984)..... | 10 |
| <i>Cannistraci v. Kirsopp</i> , No. 1:10-CV-980 MAD/DRH, 2012 WL 2089687, at *1–2 (N.D.N.Y. June 8, 2012)..... | 11 |
| <i>Cardillo v. Doubleday & Co., Inc.</i> , 518 F.2d 638, 639–40 (2d Cir.1975)..... | 13 |
| <i>Chamblee v. Harris & Harris, Inc.</i> , 154 F. Supp. 2d 670, 681 (S.D.N.Y. 2001)..... | 22 |
| <i>Chnapkova v. Koh</i> , 985 F.2d 79, 82 (2d Cir. 1993)..... | 22 |
| <i>Daniels by Glass v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> , 634 So.2d 88, 93 (Miss. 1993)..... | 4 |
| <i>DeVaul v Carvigo Inc.</i> , 526 N.Y.S.2d 483 (2d Dept. 1988)..... | 35 |
| <i>Doddy v. Oxy USA, Inc.</i> , 101 F.3d 448, 459-60 (5th Cir. 1996)..... | 10 |
| <i>Donnelly v. DeChristoforo</i> , 416 U.S. 637, 646–47, 94 S.Ct. 1868, 40 L.Ed.2d 431 (1974). | 33 |
| <i>Edwards v. City of N.Y.</i> , No. 08-2199 TLM, 2011 WL 2748665, at *4 (E.D.N.Y. July 13, 2011) | 22 |
| <i>EEOC v. Grief Brothers Corp.</i> , 218 F.R.D. 59, 61–62 (W.D.N.Y. 2003)..... | 25 |
| <i>Equal Employment Opportunity Comm’n v. Reed Pierce’s Sportsman’s Grille, LLC</i> , No. 3:10-CV-541-WHB-LRA, 2013 WL 12123370, at *1 (S.D. Miss. Jan. 11, 2013)..... | 24 |
| <i>Gaillard v. Jim’s Water Service, Inc.</i> , 535 F.3d 771, 778–779 (8th Cir. 2008)..... | 22 |
| <i>Gallo v. Ricci</i> , 814 N.Y.S.2d 422, 423 (2006)..... | 36 |
| <i>Geraty v. Vill. of Antioch</i> , No. 09 C 6992, 2015 WL 127917, at *7 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 8, 2015) | 9 |
| <i>Gordy Co. v. Mary Jane Girls, Inc.</i> , No. 86 CIV. 6814 (RWS), 1989 WL 28477, at *6 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 24, 1989)..... | 17 |
| <i>Gov’t of Virgin Islands v. Grant</i> , 775 F.2d 508, 511 & n. 4 (3d Cir. 1985) | 3 |
| <i>Grasso v. Mathew</i> , 564 N.Y.S.2d 576, 578 (3d Dep’t 1991) | 30 |
| <i>Guccione v. Hustler Magazine, Inc.</i> , 800 F.2d 298, 303 (2d Cir. 1986)..... | 13 |

| | |
|--|--------|
| <i>Jaffee v. Redmond</i> , 518 U.S. 1, 116 S.Ct. 1923, 135 L.Ed.2d 337 (1996) | 22 |
| <i>Kadant Johnson Inc. v. D’Amico</i> , No. CIV.A. 10-2869, 2012 WL 2190897, at *1 (E.D. La. June 14, 2012) | 3 |
| <i>Kevorkian v. Am. Med. Ass’n</i> , 237 Mich. App. 1, 12, 602 N.W.2d 233, 239 (1999)..... | 13 |
| <i>Longmire v. Ala. State Univ.</i> , 151 F.R.D. 414, 419 (M.D.Ala. 1992)..... | 3 |
| <i>Minemyer v. R-Boc Representatives, Inc.</i> , No. 07 C 1763, 2012 WL 379904, at *4 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 6, 2012) | 12 |
| <i>O’Brien v. Alexander</i> , 898 F.Supp. 162, 171 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) | 30 |
| <i>Palmieri v. Defaria</i> , 88 F.3d 136, 141 (2d Cir. 1996) | 33 |
| <i>People v Thomas</i> , 21 N.Y.3d 226 (2013)..... | 35 |
| <i>People v. Williams</i> , 5 N.Y.3d 732, 734 (2005)..... | 35 |
| <i>Preferred Properties, Inc. v. Indian River Estates, Inc.</i> , 276 F.3d 790, 799 n.5 (6th Cir. 2002) . | 13 |
| <i>Rowe v. DPI Specialty Foods, Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-CV-00708-DN, 2015 WL 3533844, at *5 (D. Utah June 4, 2015)..... | 13, 28 |
| <i>S.E.C. v. Collins & Aikman Corp.</i> , 256 F.R.D. 403, 412 (S.D.N.Y. 2009) | 19 |
| <i>Schafer v. Time, Inc.</i> , 142 F.3d 1361, 1364–75 (11th Cir. 1998) | 3 |
| <i>Seligson, Morris & Neuburger v Fairbanks Whitney Corp.</i> , 257 N.Y.S.2d 706 (1st Dept. 1965) | 35 |
| <i>Sidor v. Reno</i> , 95 CIV. 9588 (KMW), 1998 WL 164823, *2 (S.D.N.Y. April 7, 1998)..... | 25 |
| <i>United States v. Dukes</i> , 727 F.2d 34, 37 (2d Cir. 1984) | 17 |
| <i>United States v. Hatchett</i> , 918 F.2d 631, 641 (6th Cir. 1990) | 22 |
| <i>United States v. Lujan</i> , No. 05–CR–924 (RB) (D.N.M. Mar. 25, 2011) | 32 |
| <i>United States v. Piche</i> , 981 F.2d 706, 713 (4th Cir. 1992) | 3 |
| <i>United States v. Rabbani</i> , 382 F. App’x 39, 42 (2d Cir. 2010)..... | 35 |
| <i>United States v. Robinson</i> , 583 F.3d 1265, 1272 (10th Cir. 2009) | 17 |
| <i>United States v. Van Meerbeke</i> , 548 F.2d 415, 417–18 (2d Cir. 1976) | 17 |

| | |
|--|--------------|
| <i>United States v. West</i> , 58 F.3d 133, 141 (5th Cir. 1995) | 22 |
| <i>United States v. Wexler</i> , 79 F.2d 526, 529–30 (2d Cir. 1935) | 33 |
| <i>United States v. Wilson</i> , No. 04-CR-1016 NGG, 2013 WL 2948034, at *4–6 (E.D.N.Y. June 14, 2013) | 32 |
| <i>World Wide Ass’n of Specialty Programs v. Pure, Inc.</i> , 450 F.3d 1132, 1138 (10th Cir. 2006).... | 3 |
| <i>Zamora v. GC Servs., L.P.</i> , 647 F. App’x 330 (5th Cir. 2016) | 9 |
| Rules | |
| Fed. R. Evid. 403 | 7 |
| Fed. R. Evid. 405 and 608..... | 4, 6, 15, 20 |
| Fed. R. Evid. 405(b)..... | passim |
| Fed. R. Evid. 801 | 12 |
| Fed. R. Evid. 801 (d)(2)(D) | 29, 31 |
| Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)..... | 19 |
| Fed. R. Evid. 803(8)..... | 8 |
| Fed. R. Evid. 902(4) and 902(11) | 19 |

PAGES 1-36

REDACTED

Dated: March 17, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Laura A. Menninger

Laura A. Menninger (LM-1374)

Jeffrey S. Pagliuca (*pro hac vice*)

Ty Gee (*pro hac vice*)

HADDON, MORGAN AND FOREMAN, P.C.

150 East 10th Avenue

Denver, CO 80203

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Attorneys for Ghislaine Maxwell

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 17, 2017, I electronically served this *Defendant's Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Omnibus Motion In Limine* via ECF on the following:

Sigrid S. McCawley
Meredith Schultz
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP
401 East Las Olas Boulevard, Ste. 1200
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301
[REDACTED]

Paul G. Cassell
383 S. University Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
[REDACTED]

Bradley J. Edwards
FARMER, JAFFE, WEISSING, EDWARDS,
FISTOS & LEHRMAN, P.L.
425 North Andrews Ave., Ste. 2
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301
[REDACTED]

J. Stanley Pottinger
49 Twin Lakes Rd.
South Salem, NY 10590
[REDACTED]

/s/ Nicole Simmons

Nicole Simmons