


United States District Court  
Southern District of Florida



██████████

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 9:16-mc-81608-DMM  
Underlying Case No.: 15-cv-07433-RWS  
(Southern District of New York) (Sweet, J.)

v.

Jeffrey Epstein,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**PLAINTIFF'S SEALED MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT**  
**PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7.1(c)(2)**

Plaintiff ██████████ by and through her undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this Sealed Motion to Exceed Page Limit pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(c)(2) for her Reply brief due to the complexity of the issues raised in ██████████ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents and Testimony from Jeffrey Epstein, and because the Reply brief must set forth certain questions posed to Jeffrey Epstein at his deposition, requiring excess pages.

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown, ██████████ respectfully requests that this Court allow her to exceed the page limit in her Reply brief pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(c)(2) so as to address all of the issues currently before this Court.

Dated: October 21, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

By:  \_\_\_\_\_

Sigrid McCawley  
Meredith Schultz  
Boies Schiller & Flexner LLP



David Boies




Bradley J. Edwards  
FARMER, JAFFE, WEISSING,  
EDWARDS, FISTOS & LEHRMAN, P.L.



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 21th day of September, 2016, I served the foregoing document this day on the individuals identified below via email:

Laura A. Menninger, Esq.  
Jeffrey Pagliuca, Esq.  
HADDON, MORGAN & FOREMAN, P.C.



*Counsel for Ghislaine Maxwell*

Jack Alan Goldberger  
Atterbury, Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.



*Counsel for Jeffrey Epstein*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sigrid S. McCawley

United States District Court  
Southern District of Florida

  
Plaintiff,

Case No.: 9:16-mc-81608-DMM  
Underlying Case No.: 15-cv-07433-RWS  
(Southern District of New York) (Sweet, J.)

v.

Jeffrey Epstein,

Defendant.

---

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S SEALED MOTION TO EXCEED  
PAGE LIMIT PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7.1(c)(2)**

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court upon Plaintiff's Sealed Motion to Exceed Page Limit Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(c)(2) filed on October 21, 2016. For good cause shown, Plaintiff's motion is GRANTED: Plaintiff's Reply brief may exceed the page limit pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(c)(2).

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers in West Palm Beach, FL, this \_\_\_\_ day of

---

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Donald M. Middlebrooks**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**