

# JOHNSONS

PT/BC/ME00010021

14 March 2011

Telegraph Media Group Ltd.  
111 Buckingham Palace Road  
London  
SW1W 0DT

**FAO: The Editor, *The Daily Telegraph***

**Cc: Arthur Wynn Davies, Legal Manager –**  
**Arthur.wynn-davies@telegraph.co.uk**

Dear Sirs,

We have been consulted by Jeffrey Epstein in relation to your grossly inaccurate and persistent references to him being a "paedophile", in particular in your editions of 7<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> March under the headlines "**Duchess of York admits Duke arranged for convicted paedophile Jeffrey Epstein to pay off her debts**" and "**The Duke, his paedophile guest, and the most unusual use of an RAF base**" respectively, and on your website.

Our client is not, as your headline article alleges, a convicted paedophile. Although he has been convicted of soliciting prostitutes, and procuring a person under 18 for prostitution, he has served his time for that offence. As a matter of record, but without seeking to justify the offence, the girls in question were not pre-pubescent.

Furthermore, the false and distorted terminology used by you to describe our client in your vitriolic attack on his character is simply not true on any interpretation of the word "paedophile". He has not been convicted nor been accused of being a paedophile (a pejorative that has a very specific medical definition), apart from by certain elements of the tabloid press in their recent reports on his friendship with Prince Andrew. Our client however should be entitled to expect more accurate and credible reporting from a respected broadsheet such as the *Daily Telegraph*, which is supposed to have significantly higher standards than the sensationalised and exaggerated reporting of the tabloids.

Our client is entitled, at the very least, to the publication of a categorical clarification and apology, in terms to be first agreed with us, as a matter of some urgency. We would therefore be grateful to receive your proposals in this regard, while our client continues to reserve all his rights in relation to, in particular, what would be a totally justified Complaint to the PCC,

## Johnsons Law Firm

London Office:  
21 Arlington Street  
London  
SW1A 1RN

Belfast Office:  
Johnson House  
50/56 Wellington Place  
Belfast BT1 6GF  
DX 405 Belfast 1

Dublin Office:  
4 Harbourmaster Place  
IFSC  
Dublin 1  
Ireland  
DX 112018 Talbot Street, Dublin

## Partners

Paul Tweed LLP \*  
Michael McKee  
Ken Chambers  
David Craig  
David Holley  
Selena Kerins  
John McMahon

## Consultants

John Marshall  
David Rogers

## Solicitors

George Strahan  
Sarah Loughran  
Alison McClung  
Carl Rooney  
Gareth Liddy  
Jennifer Greenfield  
James Ead  
John Kerr  
Kathy Mathews  
Brian Connolly  
Alex Trimble  
Rhys Jones  
Deaglan Lundy  
Mark Kernaghan  
Laura Cunningham

\* Paul Tweed, a member of Paul Tweed LLP is also registered as a Foreign Legal Consultant by the State Bar of California

[www.johnsonslaw.com](http://www.johnsonslaw.com)

Regulated by the  
Solicitors Regulation Authority  
Firm [REDACTED]

Paul Tweed LLP [REDACTED]

EFTA00616130

together with appropriate redress in relation to your defamatory allegations and malicious falsehoods.

We look forward to hearing from you by return.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a series of fluid, connected strokes that form a stylized, somewhat abstract shape.

JOHNSONS