

**From:** Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Draft  
**Date:** Sat, 30 Sep 2017 13:10:21 +0000

---

Can't wait to present the receipts of each transaction... not to them, but to a judge.

Valeria

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
Date: Sat, Sep 30, 2017 at 10:07 AM  
Subject: Re: Draft  
To: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

he said at some point you took over the payments and checking account and then things became out of control  
. his words . slow

On Sat, Sep 30, 2017 at 9:05 AM, Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Ok. I agree. But really curious to know which payments I have been making that became a cause of distress. And what methods are they using to know about our privacy? I don't accept that our expenses and life style, whatever is, have to be monitored and approved by a committee, as they want. The argument about a divorce is ridiculous because it works also for me.

Thanks for the advices.

Valeria

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jeffrey E. <[REDACTED]>  
Date: Sat, Sep 30, 2017 at 9:32 AM  
Subject: Re: Draft  
To: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

it is a bit convoluted. . 1 they believe that noams intellectual property has great value. . each person gets to give away 5 million dollars without paying gift or estate tax. . they said that the house was moved into carols name so that they could take advantage of this fact when carol passed. . The somewhat aggressive argument that max gave . was that ": in a divorce carol would have received some money , therefore the money in the trust was really partially hers alone > and that she gave instructions that it be for Noams benefit. . and technically not valerias . . NOT NICE. . . max said he was to balance the needs of the inheritors ie children with that of Noam. . the valuation on the IP was he said started but never finished. the Bain transactions are still a mystery . and he reiterate a number of times that the expenses and paymnets that valeria had been making were the causes of the distress. though when i asked WHAT EXPENSES , he said ," that is what i was told " / . I think noam should hold off , putting in writing his position until more of the facts are known. no upside for the moment I make a point not to push too hard. as it is easy for them to withhold the infor that will be damaging. . slowly slowly.

On Sat, Sep 30, 2017 at 8:23 AM, Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

N wants to send the letter below to his children, as an answer to their letters from August in the chain of messages below.

Suggestions? Something to add? Should he ask for an answer from them (instead of starting with that first phrase)? Feel free to suggest. We trust you.

Thanks.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, Sep 30, 2017 at 3:15 AM

Subject: Fwd: Draft

To: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

No need to respond to this letter. It is simply to provide you with information that you should have. We're busy getting ready to leave for Tucson, and there are some things that I would like to clarify. I have tried to explain some of these things before, perhaps inadequately. I'll partially repeat and amplify.

We can first clear up the specific matter of your letter. It's clear why we're not communicating. We are looking at the matter from different perspectives. As you write, from your perspective it is a legal and technical matter, no personal aspects involved. From my perspective it is the opposite: a personal matter, with the legal and technical issues marginal.

The whole idea of a loan within a family, let alone with interest, is something so strange that it would never have crossed my mind.

True, I agreed to it when Max and Sam proposed the financial arrangements to purchase the Cambridge apartment, but that was on the basis of a serious misunderstanding. I had not been paying attention then or in earlier years, relying on my lawyer and financial adviser. Mistakes I am not making again. Since then I have been paying close attention, and have been surprised at what I have learned.

In this case, as I've explained before, I assumed that the loan was a temporary expedient, perhaps for weeks or at most months, until the Lexington house was sold. At that point I would at once pay back the loan and also would pay part of the mortgage, maybe all of it, and then have the new apartment, unencumbered. I thought, wrongly as it turned out, that I owned the Lexington house. Had I known that I did not, I would certainly never have agreed to Max and Sam's proposal. **Whatever they may have had in mind, it was, plainly, very poor advice.** Clearly it makes no sense at all to buy into a coop near Harvard Square when I have zero funds to pay the purchase price, let alone the regular coop costs. I would never have agreed if I'd been paying attention instead of just taking advice on trust.

However, that's done, and now I am working out how to deal with this quite substantial burden. I'll sell the apartment, pay off the mortgage, and decide, with a trustworthy financial adviser, how to deal with the loan.

There is further background which I discovered when I began looking into these matters instead of just taking everything on trust and paying little attention. It was my mistake, now rectified.

The background I discovered is sketched below -- in part, there is actually more, which is disturbing, but I'll put it aside.

First, although I was teaching at MIT for 60 years, I have almost no pension; less than Social Security. The reason is that when MIT restructured the pension system, M and I took an option that provided us with an IRA, which we placed in a trust.

My only regular source of income, therefore, is an IRA, apart from a trickle of royalties from current books. The laws require that about \$300,000 be withdrawn every year. That sounds like a lot, until you look at what was happening. First, until last year, when I learned about it, Bainco was paying out about half of the

withdrawal in distributions to the family. About a third goes to taxes. The rest went to payments on the Wellfleet house. That exhausts the obligatory withdrawal.

In addition, I was paying the management fees for the entire estate. And there were of course additional substantial expenses, like Alex's medical bills and payment to Anthony for the work that he is doing. All of that carries the total well over the required withdrawal. Worse, anything beyond the required withdrawal is taxed at an exorbitant rate, which is why I suggested that taxes come instead from the marital trust; no need to go over the fate of that idea. The arithmetic is simple. It's now far over the limit.

All of this is before I take one penny for living expenses. Again, the arithmetic is straightforward. In the manner that things were being handled, in two or three years the IRA would be exhausted, and we'd be down pretty much to a very small pension and Social Security -- which do not go to Valeria after my death. Furthermore, it goes without saying that I want to guarantee that after my death Valeria will have our home, unencumbered. That would clearly be impossible the way things were being handled. In fact, she would have nothing at all.

I shouldn't have to explain that providing for Valeria after my death is a serious concern for me. We are very happy together. Our being together added immeasurably to my life. I took for granted that friends and family would be very pleased about that, and those we see regularly are, very much so.

I'm glad that all of you will be free from any financial concerns, thanks to substantial investments from my salary and other income over the years, now in trusts of which you the beneficiaries. But that doesn't happen to be the case for me. I didn't really think about it in the past, but I never expected to have to spend my later years concerned about how to make ends meet.

As for the trusts, M and I of course arranged that after our deaths, they would all go to you. But we both naturally took for granted that while we were alive, we would have direct access to them, to use at our discretion. We also took for granted that I would die first, so when the trusts were established, I suppose about 20 years ago, they were in her name. But the intention was of course obvious.

That's how things stand. I think it's important for you to be aware of them.

D

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Diana Chomsky** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, Aug 10, 2017 at 2:48 PM

Subject: Re: Fwd: Fwd: taxes

To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Avi Chomsky <[REDACTED]>, Harry Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Hi,

The promissory note itself defines the interest rate for the loan - our opinion doesn't hold any legal weight on this issue. If your lawyer doesn't think it's clear, would she like to write to Max and explain the problem? We really don't see how we can be of help on this. Since you set up this loan and its terms with the help of Max, who was your lawyer at the time, he's the one who will be able to clarify any doubts. We got involved only to try to explain because we thought you were misunderstanding the terms of the loan, based on what we learned about it.

If what you need is help calculating the amount owed based on the rules detailed in the note, Isabel Scharmer should be able to do that calculation easily, and we suggest you or your lawyer contact her.

We are more than willing to be involved in these discussions, but we really need the experts present too because we just don't have the legal or financial know-how to give you the answers you need without their support.

love, Avi, Diane and Harry

From: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>  
To: Diana Chomsky <[REDACTED]>, Avi Chomsky <[REDACTED]>, Harry Chomsky <[REDACTED]>  
Date: 09/08/2017 10:33  
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: taxes

---

Follow-up to the letter below.

There is one matter that you have to decide. It has nothing to do with Max, or anyone else: How much interest do you want to charge on the loan from your trust?

We have to know this. We are supposed to be paying interest regularly, but Max has never informed us of how to do this, and it is accruing. Our own lawyer is trying to work out what should be done, but it all depends on your decision about the amount of interest we should be paying, and that is not clear because of obscurities in the promissory note.

We have to clear this up as soon as possible.

D

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Noam Chomsky** <[REDACTED]>  
Date: Sat, Jul 8, 2017 at 7:00 AM  
Subject: Re: Fwd: taxes  
To: Diana Chomsky <[REDACTED]>  
Cc: Avi Chomsky <[REDACTED]>, Harry Chomsky <[REDACTED]>, Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

I guess we'll have to agree to disagree.

On the DNI payments to me, I have nothing to ask, because I never heard of it before your letter and have no idea what it is.

There are no further distributions. That was arranged with Bainco when it became clear to them and us that distributions to the family were almost exhausting the obligatory IRA withdrawals.

On the promissory note, it's up to you what interest you want to charge on the loan. The Trust is basically yours. If you want to leave it this way, that's your choice. We have nothing to talk to Max about it.

We tried to rouse up David, but he never answered our phone calls or other messages, so we never got back in. We'll probably spend a couple of days there later in August. Now we're off to Tucson, then Uruguay for talks and various events with Mujica, then Brazil, back at the end of the month.

D

Oxfam works with others to overcome poverty and suffering

Oxfam GB is a member of Oxfam International and a company limited by guarantee registered in England No. 612172.  
Registered office: Oxfam House, John Smith Drive, Cowley, Oxford, OX4 2JY.  
A registered charity in England and Wales (no 202918) and Scotland (SC 039042)

--  
Valeria Chomsky

--  
please note  
The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE  
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--  
Valeria Chomsky

--  
please note  
The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE  
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--  
Valeria Chomsky

