

From: Joscha Bach <[REDACTED]>
To: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: Re:
Date: Sat, 06 Aug 2016 18:11:37 +0000

How can we set physics on the right track? There are several camps that seem to have stopped talking to each other (with Steven prominently in one of them, seen as an outsider, even though his approach is very promising). Is it possible to get science work across paradigms, or do we need to wait for a paradigm to die off?

The situation is perhaps a bit similar to Noam in linguistics (with the difference that Noam pretty much started the field, so he will always be recognized, even if many linguists do not buy into what he does now)?

With respect to language:

Steven proposes to do everything by exhaustive search of the problem space: automatically find the smallest set of programs that can produce the observables. Noam seems to believe that there are somewhat complex, irreducible circuits that we have to figure out to understand language?

The obvious question: can we solve language by exhaustive search over simple type3 grammars? Is this how the brain does it?

Bests to everybody!

Joscha

Am 06.08.2016 um 12:31 schrieb jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>:

having steve wolfrum , come to martins with noam . what questions would you like posed on your behalf

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved