

From: jeffrey epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
To: Eileen Alexanderson <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: follow up
Date: Wed, 11 Jul 2012 02:06:24 +0000

Nothing attached

Sent from my iPad

On Jul 10, 2012, at 9:12 PM, Eileen Alexanderson <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sorry, don't mean to be impatient. My predicament is that we need to pay for the Munch within the next two weeks or so. We have the bank lined up for financing but need to decide who is buying the painting- Leon, one of the trusts (and the 2006 Trust is really the only one big enough to do so), or another entity.

From: Jeffrey Epstein [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 08:48 PM
To: Eileen Alexanderson
Subject: Re: FW: follow up

i would do nothing until all the issues are known,, i would definilty not add the munch to any existing entitiy, . art loan, ultimate disposiotn, estate considerations. etc. I do not have the belief regardin turning off the income,, i have concerns that many pieces are not yet known.. questions about indepnedince of the trustees,, might be problematic , if the sec filings are inconsistant. currently , there are many open questions, and i see no rush. . It might be deemed more important that the trustees are not independent.. ie fulll employess under leons control to justify the no filing, of any financial interest, or control. sorry, i know you would like fast answers..

On Tue, Jul 10, 2012 at 7:58 PM, Eileen Alexanderson <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hello Jeffrey,

Leon mentioned that you recommended he put the new Munch into a Delaware LLC to provide more flexibility in the future. This would otherwise be going into Narrow Holdings LLC, a New York LLC. Is the point that it's important that the painting is in an LLC by itself or that it's a Delaware LLC? Not sure at this point that we will proceed with the art partnership but just want to make sure I understand what you meant on this subject-is your thought this artwork should not go into the art partnership even if in a separate LLC?

Also, I know you believe the transfer to the kids will be complete only at the time we turn off the income right but since this is the key issue, I was just wondering if you had a chance to read through the attached 2006 Trust document and whether you had any thoughts re Carlyn's defense that we'd be ok if it was the independent trustees that turned off the income right ie. out of Leon's control?

Still working on the issues you raised in terms the way ownership is titled on various filings-should have a conclusion on this tomorrow.

Thank you!!
Eileen

-----Original Message-----
From: Eileen Alexanderson

Sent: Thursday, July 05, 2012 12:09 PM
To: Jeffrey Epstein (jeevacation@gmail.com)
Subject: follow up

Jeffrey, thinking back through dialogs with Carlyn & Elyse and looking at some of my old notes from those dialogs that relate to our conversation this morning I offer the following:

Regarding the issue of why turning off the income right now works is that it would be the independent trustees turning off the income right, not Leon, and that the 2006 Trust was drafted purposely in anticipation of this. I believe this relates to the language on page 30 in the attached.

Also, Ada (from US Trust) at one point had suggested to Carlyn that we consider having the trustees turn of the income right in the 2006 Trust and then decant the assets from the 2006 Trust into a new trust before proceeding with the Art Partnership to insure a cleaner transaction.

Also, on the subject of the 2006 Trust and other trust paying their own taxes-important implication for Black Family Partners would be that we no longer have a single taxpayer.

Best,
Eileen

-----Original Message-----

From: NY_730_11_XRX_COPYROOM [mailto:NY_730_11_XRX_COPYROOM@xerox.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 05, 2012 12:06 PM
To: Eileen Alexanderson
Subject: Scan from a Xerox Color

Please open the attached document. It was scanned and sent to you using a Xerox Color.

Number of Images: 60
Attachment File Type: PDF

Device Name: NY_730_11_XRX_COPYROOM
Device Location:

For more information on Xerox products and solutions, please visit www.xerox.com

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the person or entity to whom they are addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.

Apollo Global Management, LLC

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of

Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the person or entity to whom they are addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.

Apollo Global Management, LLC