

**From:** Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE  
**Date:** Sat, 06 Aug 2011 15:38:00 +0000

---

PS If USAtty declines to make argument, no reason for Roy not to try it - just that J Marra/Cassell could/would counter that its a matter between USAO and Jane Doe until/unless JE intervenes which I continue to believe has not been and is not in your overall interest

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza, Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

[REDACTED] cell

=====  
This Electronic Message contains  
information from the Law Office of  
Martin G. Weinberg, P.C.,  
and may be privileged. The  
information is intended for the  
use of the addressee only. If you  
are not the addressee, please note  
that any disclosure, copying,  
distribution, or use of the  
contents of this message is  
prohibited.

--- On Sat, 8/6/11, Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

From: Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
Subject: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

EFTA00655970

To: "Jeffrey Epstein" <jeevacation@gmail.com>

Cc: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Saturday, August 6, 2011, 11:11 AM

I will talk with Roy re 3771 case issues before his Fri argument.

The tension is that its the attys who have sought to intervene - not you. It will be demanding to get the Judge to listen to a new argument that there is no jurisdiction & that, consequently, the entire CVRA Dec case is moot since the girls lack standing due to their not being fed victims in the first place if it comes from your attys seeking limited intervention to challenge the girls rights to discovery of what should be protected by a combination of work product/plea & settlement confidentiality policies.

This argument is best made by the USAtty. Whether he will is another issue. I am recommending Roy speak to Lee at start of week. The argument actually may give the USAO added leverage to negotiate a settlement which would be great.

Separately, I need you to authorize payment of the 8-1 invoice. It includes a carryover from multiple past months. I normally work off retainers. Thanks

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza, Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

[REDACTED] cell

---

This Electronic Message contains  
information from the Law Office of  
Martin G. Weinberg, P.C.,  
and may be privileged. The  
information is intended for the  
use of the addressee only. If you  
are not the addressee, please note  
that any disclosure, copying,  
distribution, or use of the  
contents of this message is  
prohibited.