

From: G Maxwell <[REDACTED]>
To: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Private
Date: Tue, 08 Apr 2014 16:22:18 +0000

Need. To reply today so I you can call soon to talk I'd appreciate
Gx

FACEBOOK <<https://www.facebook.com/TerraMarProject>> - TWITTER <<http://twitter.com/terramarproject>> -
G+ <<https://plus.google.com/104195649525707945586/posts>> - PINTEREST
<<http://pinterest.com/terramarproject/>> - INSTAGRAM <<http://instagram.com/p/PhLoQKAVEq/>> - PLEDGE
<<http://theterramarproject.org/#citizenship>> - THE DAILY CATCH
<<http://theterramarproject.org/thedailycatch/>>

From: Jeffrey Epstein
Sent: Tuesday, 8 April 2014 12:21
To: G Maxwell
Subject: Re: FW: Private

will read later

On Tue, Apr 8, 2014 at 11:36 AM, G Maxwell <[REDACTED]> wrote:

From: gmax <[REDACTED]>
Date: Monday, April 7, 2014 7:37 AM
To: J Jep <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Private

I have spoken to my lawyers in UK and am planning to write to the Mail a warning followed up with a plan to sue pending your responses to the bellow questions. I feel that this way it will/might stop repetition of article as it did last time.. ..please call me so we can discuss.

1. If there is no new case, or no new cases, or a case filed, then the article constitutes harassment. I have pulled bellow the relevant bits of the article along with questions for you to ans so I can write a legal letter to the paper
2. If [REDACTED] settled and has a gag order on her then the Mail have broken the law and can be sued for breaking the gag order and for mis reporting that she has not settled. Did she settle and is there a gag order on her? Relevant pieces of article is bellow in quotes
3. Clearly there is collusion between [REDACTED], the FLA lawyers and the paper and she and maybe the lawyers are being paid by the paper..do you have any information on this ?
4. I am looking at the Mail for harassment also in my Wiki page – the Mail is paying someone to re write my page and this constitutes harassment – is someone called philip cross mucking about in your wiki page too? Let me know
5. Can you send me the file on [REDACTED] that your lawyers have or what ever info you have on her – her previous sexual harassment suits against others before she ever met you, [REDACTED] and anything on the boyfriend/fiance she was living with at the time she met you and any other pertinent

information. [REDACTED] Also anything you have on her employment. Working I believe at the age she claims she was at the spa is against the law and either she lied about her age to work at the spa or she lied about it for the benefit of the civil suit against you – either way she lied somewhere. What is her stated birthday on her passport? + want anything on her father or mother that was found to be relevant. How did she get into [REDACTED] and what lies did she use on that doc. Is she an [REDACTED] now?

6. I am not going to pick apart each of the many lies but go for the gross and the easily proven ones..ie court case or not, settlement or not etc
7. The lawyer says that unless I nip this in the bud it will continue and possible become more salacious and disgusting and defamatory..more full of lies and innuendo. If I can have ans to the bellow and they are what I expect them to be it will/might shut the mail down he thinks - i.e. If there are no new filings or court case, [REDACTED] case settled – a harassment order will be issued

Is this true? Did Scarola file something 3 moths ago and what forthcoming trial is there or is there one planned to be or filed or about to be filed? On is this a complete pile of crock. What if anything does it refer to? Or can you give or have you lawyers give an ans to bellow that shows the mail misrepresented implying that there is a new case.

"Three months ago, a solicitor called Jack Scarola walked into the vast art-deco county courthouse in West Palm Beach, Florida, and handed a 23-page legal document to its clerk.

Rubber-stamped [REDACTED], and intended to be used as evidence in a forthcoming trial"

Is there any truth to any continuing outstanding or not settled cases?

Per Bellow indicated that [REDACTED] has not settled? Thought she had settled the case and had a gag order on her? The basis for this article is because of a new potential case that [REDACTED] could/would come and go under oath for.

"But Epstein's legal travails are not over. In recent years, more than 40 women have filed lawsuits claiming that Epstein sexually abused them.

Most of their claims have been settled out of court, preventing their accounts of his alleged crimes from being made public — but [REDACTED] is proving harder to shake off."

"The million-dollar question now is whether [REDACTED] will end up being cross-examined about her experiences in court, where she will be speaking under oath. The answer, at present, is anyone's guess. "

"[REDACTED] originally created in 2011, was filed as part of a long-running civil dispute between Epstein and solicitor Jack Scarola's client, Brad Edwards."

" Edwards said this week that, if the case and another related matter go to court, [REDACTED] is willing to travel from [REDACTED] to give evidence."


Are there any new cases of any nature pending or in the works?



Follow us: @MailOnline on Twitter | DailyMail on Facebook



Follow us: @MailOnline on Twitter | DailyMail on Facebook



Follow us: @MailOnline on Twitter | DailyMail on Facebook



Follow us: @MailOnline on Twitter | DailyMail on Facebook

- FACEBOOK <<https://www.facebook.com/TerraMarProject>>
- TWITTER <<http://twitter.com/terramarproject>>
- G+ <<https://plus.google.com/104195649525707945586/posts>>
- PINTEREST <<http://pinterest.com/terramarproject/>>
- INSTAGRAM <http://instagram.com/theterramarproject>>
- PLEDGE <<http://theterramarproject.org/#citizenship>>
- THE DAILY CATCH <<http://theterramarproject.org/thedailycatch/>>

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [REDACTED], and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved