From: G Maxwell <[redacted]>
To: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Attorney Privileged Communication - subject to mutual interest privilege [IWOV-Matters.FID782702]
Date: Sat, 10 Oct 2015 15:57:31 +0000

I know that ..NY law is different I believe allows for someone in NY to have the right to reply
Also it is of course interesting that the statements were not made in US and fall under UK law where the press was the worst..

THE TERRAMAR PROJECT

From: J Jep
Date: Saturday, October 10, 2015 at 11:47 AM
To: G G
Subject: Re: FW: Attorney Privileged Communication - subject to mutual interest privilege [IWOV-Matters.FID782702]

thecase said that hte state where the plaintiff resides is the issue. not new york

On Sat, Oct 10, 2015 at 11:40 AM, G Maxwell <[redacted]> wrote:

> Received your communications.
>
> I have done some research, and having read the Judge's ruling in the Cosby case, and found what I though may be pertinent to mine
>
> In the judge's ruling in the Cosby case states "The court recognizes that some jurisdictions do apply a version of the conditional self-defense privilege, which allows individuals, in certain circumstances, to publish defamatory responsive statements necessary to defend their reputation."
>
> NY law differs from Mass law and hence I attached a paper I found and read that I thought had valid arguments for my case

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of
JEE
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved