

From: Boris Nikolic <[REDACTED]>
To: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: an even better example
Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2013 12:11:53 +0000

I agree with you.

Will not be able to do anything until Friday re this or IPI.
Have super busy day today in NYC (6h of a board meeting and 2 other mtgs).
As well as tmr in Seattle.

Talk soon

B

From: Jeffrey Epstein [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2013 6:41 AM
To: Boris Nikolic
Subject: an even better example

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
To: Jes Staley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wed Aug 10 04:19:42 2011
Subject: gates DAF

you are going about this the wrong way. IT can't be a small global health deal. the people inside the bank have no real experience, the gates people even less with high net worth needs and individuals. I understand you are hamstrung by gates people already reaching an agreement with marys. it is crazy. it is a bunch of women with good intentions and no real capability. I can't be part of a amateur side show. my suggestions which im sure mary will not follow are"

for the meeting with Dick , you should have a complete presentation.. IT needs to address how it enhances current giving by GF . vaccines, maternal care,, These should be two of the donor silos. . IT should have a structure, that for example purposes only, has , the best investors in the role of advisors. (we should decide whether being on the investment commmtee, automatiially disqualifies an investment in ones particular fund , for example if LEon sat on the advisory board, should there be no apollo investments. (legal issue as well as optical). JPM acts as fiduciary in every capacity,,It should deal with hard to value assets.. appreciated real estate. , (a real estate group, should be available). valuation firms, trust and estate experts. . The web based, investor access to information , could set an example for simple user interface. click or touch an investment silo to retrieve all relevant data, in many forms. This will be very HIGH profile. I would propose an absolute minimum of 100 million donation , not the 1 million that your group thinks a big deal. . It will be the most exclusive of clubs, In addition , though membersip in the "club"could be known . the gifts can be given anonymously. This will fulfill the wishes of many that have spoken to me about wanting to do things but not wanting the publicity. For example funding Gay, Aids, Jewish, orgs, that otherwise might bring unwanted attention to the giver by both the press and its competing groups. For example, If someone wants to give money to a program that in any way competes with their self or perceived image. Another school. , program etc. many have expressed reservations that giving publicly would send a complex message to those institutions that have already close relations with the donor.

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved