

From: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>
To: "Jeffrey" <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Cc: <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
Date: Fri, 22 Jun 2012 13:14:32 +0000

I am not at all sure that SORNA includes travel notification. So far its prohibitions have only been extended to registration and updating. **Needs to be checked.** Feds enacted reg to incentivize states to follow. Real issue is whether states will and if so whether there is discretion built in. (If states do not adopt they cannot violate you). If there is no such discretion I like the argument it is penalty (and your penalties cannot expand after you are sentenced). I will look into all this over weekend. MGW ----
- Original Message ----

From: [Jeffrey](#)
To: [Martin Weinberg](#)
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2012 9:01 AM
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Yes but a prenotification ??? What other govt reg has prenotification and penalty for violating , of a right (travel , speech) I understand post . I can be violated for traveling without having given proper per notice???

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 22, 2012, at 2:33 PM, "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

have they been added to the state laws in any/all of the states in which you are registered? if its a penalty its ex post facto and cannot enhance your sentence. if its part of tracking a registrant it would not be a penalty. the first practical issue is whether the state implementation of this added guideline includes discretion such as was being considered in VI

----- Original Message -----

From: [Jeffrey Epstein](#)
To: [Alan M. Dershowitz](#) ; [Martin Weinberg](#)
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2012 8:16 AM

as the sex registration guidelines now want 21 days notice before traveling out of the country. and they have maintained that registration is not a penalty on an administrative regulation to register. how does it operate if one wants to leave tomorrow. and cannot meet the 21 day requirement.. it can't act as a restriction on travel. and still claim not to be a rights violation or at minimum a penalty??

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by

return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved