

From: Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>

To: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>, Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2013 23:55:13 +0000

understood, the log itself is a 6(e) violation, and one that preceded any judicial OK to identify witnesses, subjects, etc

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza, Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116



This Electronic Message contains

information from the Law Office of

Martin G. Weinberg, P.C.,

and may be privileged. The

information is intended for the

use of the addressee only. If you

are not the addressee, please note

that any disclosure, copying,

distribution, or use of the

contents of this message is

prohibited.

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>

To: Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 6:53 PM

Subject: Re: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

she also named witnesses , igor etc.

On Mon, Jul 22, 2013 at 7:46 PM, Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

agreed, no value in delay, will get back with you tomorrow after speaking with roy

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza, Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

=====

This Electronic Message contains

information from the Law Office of

Martin G. Weinberg, P.C.,

and may be privileged. The

information is intended for the
use of the addressee only. If you
are not the addressee, please note
that any disclosure, copying,
distribution, or use of the
contents of this message is
prohibited.

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
To: Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 6:21 PM
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

what about you and roy going to ferrar sooner rather than later?

On Mon, Jul 22, 2013 at 7:03 PM, Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jeffrey

A rough first draft of a possible intervention motion on 6(e). We will expand it, but I am inclined to redact phrases like "draft indictments" so it is not us that is inserting that info into public pleadings. We need to feature your privacy interests - which will lead, inexorably, to a further Cassell attack saying you have no reputational interest to defend. Whether we pre-emptively try through this motion to put in front of J Marra all the good you've done since this case that would be at risk if this historic (and presumptively private stuff) gets to public is something we should think about (science foundation, grants, philanthropy, years since this case etc). We will be bound by the strict pg limits and timelines Court gave Cassell in his 6-19 Order

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza, Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116



--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved