

#291874/mcp

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 502009CA040800XXXXMBAG

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SCOTT ROTHSTEIN, individually,
BRADLEY J. EDWARDS, individually, and
L.M., individually,

Defendant,

**DEFENDANT, BRADLEY J. EDWARDS' NOTICE OF FILING
ORIGINAL JURAT TO ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES**

Defendant, BRADLEY J. EDWARDS, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby files this Notice of Filing Original Jurat to Defendant's Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories dated May 11, 2010.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by Fax and U.S. Mail to all counsel on the attached list, this 14th day of May, 2010.



Jack Scarola
Florida Bar No.: 169440
Searcy Denney Scarola Barnhart & Shipley, P.A.
2159 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard
West Palm Beach, Florida 33409
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Defendant, Bradley J. Edwards

5-17-10
JE
DI
4511

EFTA00726080

COUNSEL LIST

Jack A. Goldberger, Esquire

[REDACTED];
[REDACTED];

Atterbury, Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.
250 Australian Avenue South, Suite 1400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Robert D. Critton, Jr., Esquire

[REDACTED];
[REDACTED];

Burman, Critton, Luttier & Coleman LLP
303 Banyan Boulevard, Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Farmer, Jaffe, Weissing, Edwards, Fistos &
Lehrman, PL

425 N. Andrews Avenue, Suite 2
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Marc S. Nurik

Law Offices of Marc S. Nurik
One E Broward Blvd., Suite 700
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Attorneys for Scott Rothstein


Brad Edwards

STATE OF FLORIDA)
COUNTY OF BROWARD)SS:

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 10th
day of May, 2010, by Brad Edwards,
who is personally known to me or who has produced
_____ as identification and who did/did not
take an oath.


Notary Public

	BETH S. WILLIAMSON MY COMMISSION # DD895350 EXPIRES June 02, 2013 <small>(407) 398-0153 FloridaNotaryService.com</small>
---	--

My Commission Expires:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 502009CA040800XXXXMBAG

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SCOTT ROTHSTEIN, individually,
BRADLEY J. EDWARDS, individually,
and L.M., individually,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF SERVICE OF DEFENDANT EDWARDS'S ANSWERS TO
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES

Defendant, Bradley Edwards hereby files his Notice of Service of Defendant's
Answers to Plaintiff's First Interrogatories propounded on April 5, 2010.


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been
furnished via ^{FAX AND} U.S. Mail to all counsel on the attached list on May 11th, 2010.

Jack Scarola
Searcy Denney Scarola Barnhart & Shipley, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant, Bradley Edwards
2139 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard
West Palm Beach, Florida 33409

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

By: 

Jack Scarola

Florida Bar No.: 169440

COUNSEL LIST

Robert D. Critton, Jr., Esquire
Michael J. Pike, Esquire
Burman, Critton, Luttier & Coleman LLP
303 Banyan Boulevard, Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Jack Goldberger
Atterbury, Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.
250 Australian Avenue South
Suite 1400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Gary M. Farmer, Jr.
Farmer, Jaffe, Weissing, Edwards,
Fistos & Lehrman, P.L.
425 N. Andrews Avenue, Suite 2
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for L.M.

Marc Nurik
Law Offices of Marc S. Nurik
One East Broward Boulevard, Suite 700
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Counsel for Scott Rothstein

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

1. As to paragraph 12 of your Counterclaim, state the following in detail:

a) Identify specifically all damages which you claim you have suffered to your reputation. Include in your response, how your reputation has been damaged, to whom (person or entity) your reputation has been damaged, any loss of income which you claim as a result of the current lawsuit against you and how you calculate that loss.

ANSWER:

I have spent more than 50 hours of time defending this action against me. The lawsuit against me was made public and makes serious allegations that I have personally committed criminal acts that involve dishonesty. I have been asked about this lawsuit by a number of people on multiple of occasions. Current clients have inquired and prospective clients have been and continue to be placed on notice of these false allegations.

b) Identify in detail how the lawsuit filed against you has interfered in any professional relationships. Identify in your answer all professional relationships which have in any way been negatively impacted or lost or compromised as a result of this lawsuit. Identify the name and address of the individual and/or entity with whom you have the professional relationship, how it has been affected and identify any monetary damage which you sustained.

ANSWER:

Not yet completely determined at this time.

c) Identify by date the exact amount of time (and specify how your time was spent) that has been diverted from your professional responsibilities.

ANSWER:

Not yet completely determined at this time.

2. (a) Identify all costs and/or fees you have incurred (to whom and under what circumstances) for the defense of the Epstein claim as set forth in paragraph 12 of your Counterclaim.

ANSWER:

Not yet completely determined at this time.

(b) State whether you have a written or oral fee agreement with Searcy Denney and the terms of same. Include amount of money paid to date and if you paid a retainer.

ANSWER:

Written.

3. During the time you were associated with Rothstein, Rosenfeldt & Adler, P.A. ("RRA"), state the following:

a) Identify by person and date any person who performed any searches of trash at 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, Florida which is alleged to be the home of Mr. Epstein which were authorized by you or which you learned had taken place, if not authorized by you. If not authorized by you, identify who did authorize it?

ANSWER:

Nobody.

b) Identify all individuals at the RRA including but not limited to any one of its attorneys or investigators or anyone retained by or working for RRA or its attorneys or investigators who conducted wire taps or "bugging" on any telephones which purported to be from the home of Mr. Epstein. Include who authorized the wire taps and dates it took place.

ANSWER:

Nobody.

c) Identify all individuals at the RRA including but not limited to any one of its attorneys or investigators or anyone retained by or working for RRA or its attorneys or investigators who conducted wire taps or

"bugging" on any telephones which are purported to be from the attorneys for Mr. Epstein including but not limited to: Roy Black, Alan Dershowitz or Jack Goldberger. Include who authorized the wire taps and dates it took place.

ANSWER:

Nobody.

d) Identify all intercepted phone conversations authorized by RRA including but not limited to any one of its attorneys or investigators or anyone retained by or working for RRA or its attorneys or investigators and/or RRA investigators; identify the date, the source of the call, who conducted the intercepted telephone conversations and whether a tape and/or transcript exist of such conversations.

ANSWER:

Nobody

e) Identify all intercepted cell phone conversations authorized by RRA including but not limited to any one of its attorneys or investigators or anyone retained by or working for RRA or its attorneys or investigators and/or RRA investigators; identify the date, the source of the call, who conducted the intercepted cell phone conversations and whether a tape and/or transcript exist of such conversations.

ANSWER:

Nobody

f) Identify all individuals at the RRA including but not limited to any one of its attorneys or investigators or anyone retained by or working for RRA or its attorneys or investigators who intercepted or acquired electronic mail (e-mails) to and from the home of Mr. Epstein. Include who authorized the interception and acquisition and dates it took place.

ANSWER:

Nobody

g) Identify all individuals at the RRA including but not limited to any one of its attorneys or investigators or anyone retained by or working for RRA or its attorneys or investigators who intercepted or acquired electronic mail (e-mails) to and from the attorneys for Mr. Epstein including but not limited to: Roy Black, Alan Dershowitz or Jack Goldberger. Include who authorized the interception and acquisition and dates it took place.

ANSWER:

Nobody

4. Identify the names and addresses of all individuals who will testify that a sexual assault took place on an airplane purportedly owned by Mr. Epstein or a Jeffrey Epstein entity at any time between 1998 and 2005. Additionally, identify any alleged celebrity, dignitary or international figure who purportedly was on board the airplane at the time of the alleged sexual assault.

RESPONSE:

Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and is protected by the work product privilege.

5. Identify in detail the amount of all costs (including photocopies, telephone, fax, research, investigation, travel expenses, deposition related costs, expert costs, etc.) that were incurred by you in the representation of you and/or your law firm in representing Jane Doe, L.M. and E.W. prior to joining RRA.

RESPONSE:

Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and is protected by the work product privilege.

6. Identify in detail the amount of costs (including photocopies, telephone, fax, research, investigation, travel expenses, deposition related costs, expert costs, etc.) that were incurred by RRA in its representation of Jane Doe, L.M. and E.W. during the time you were employed by RRA (or that is being claimed by the trustee in bankruptcy for RRA). Segregate by each of your 3 clients.

RESPONSE:

Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and is protected by the work product privilege.