

rebuttals. This accomplished his confided purpose of simply embarrassing Mr Epstein while clearly and purposfully denying the public access to all the exculpatory evidence. This was done even after being specifically put on notice, that it would cause irreparable harm to the reputation of mere bystanders. His girlfriend [REDACTED] which many of you know, is neither from yugoslavia,nor a sex slave. I'm sure that doesn't come as a shock. AS an example of the "unusual behavior of the police, The Chief was so determined that his beliefs and personal view not even to be called into question ,he categorically refused to attend a scheduled meeting convened by the state prosecutor,(again I never have seen this type of behavior) for the sole and stated purpose of reviewing the exculpatory evidence. The grand jury and the prosecutor have no choice and in contrast, are required by law to carefully consider both sides. The prosecutor as legal and ethical " gatekeeper",

and the grand jury fulfilling its historical judicial role by acting as a check to overzealous law enforcement. To the Chief's obvious dismay, each, and in their own separate capacity considered the totality of the evidence and eventually decided on only a single charge of solicitation. They obviously had recognized the significance of the fact that Mr Epstein passed an extensive lie detector test, given by one of the state's most renowned and toughest practitioners, often used by this state's attorney office in similar cases. The prosecutor and jury members (21 citizens) reviewed the police reports, that many of you have read but were also given access to the other side. Until today, none of you have had that opportunity. The "reports" essentially comprised a detective's carefully constructed narrative, editing both questions

and answers, and amplifying various and in certain instances clearly outrageous allegations . Moreover ,, the prosecutor and grand jurgot to examine third party highly relevant documentary evidence , including pertinent federal criminal records, prior misrepresentations of age, and other clearly dated evidence showing proof of actual versus fabricated age , law enforcement reports, and both taped confessions and e-mails . That information does not sell newspapers, it seems.

Some illustrative examples of what was withhled from the publice release
1. Under other circumstances this would be funny but.:
The missing piece of the broken "device", salaciously described in the report as a "sex toy", found during the search of [REDACTED] garbage and then officially referred to in the report (pg 26) as "commonly used for vaginal or anal stimulation" was the only single

corroborative item found during a thorough, warrant directed, search of his house .This purported "device" turned out to be nothing more than an ordinary salad spoon. Remember this if your asked to dinner at the home of the police chief. What I found turly distateful however was the fact that the police improperly chose ,not to amend their report or release that salient fact.

2 .The same video cameras they referred to in their report, were actually set up with the help of their own SWAT team in order to apprehend an armed burglar they deemed potentially dangerous. The burglar was eventually caught, . As the videos produced were of poor quality ,Jeffrey, in recognition of the professional work done donated \$36,000., to enable, the police department to purchase for their sole and future use, advanced

**digital video enhancement equipment .
They did so. They also decidely fail to disclose
in
the report that one of the main witnesses they
had selected to
interview and call a witness, was in in fact the
apprehended burglar.,His houseman of eleven
years.. Jeffrey had put his kids through school ,
and as you are aware of his generous nature,
provided a standard of living for this man , that
most people would envy. . 3.They more
importantly fail
to disclose the fact that the woman fabricating
virtually
all the serious allegations, when
required to repeat those same fabrications
under oath
,and threat of perjury ,refused to do so.**

**4. In addition they withheld from the
release , and in fact the prosecutors
themselves ,
I told them myself , does this make sense. for
the first time that
this very same witness, had both been
recently
arrested in palm beach , only blocks from the
police**

station ,for drug possession and only shortly thereafter terminated by her employer for theft. Her taped confession, and her employers statement that she was virtually incapable of telling the truth, coupled with her documented written boast that she and her group of friends would become really ,really rich, were facts carefully considered by the prosecutor and grand jury in their decision to only charge one count of solicitation but apparently decidedly ignored by the police chief.

—

EFFREY EPSTEIN

██████ told him to fuck off.

Fbi stuck supoena , she called asking for help.

██████████ nevern interviewed,, how did she appear on list.

Contact with ██████████

██████████ ██████████. ██████████
Did he give Alex ██████████, personal phone , email.

**Relationship,, undercover , ██████████ grandjury. ,
prostitutes. Drugs,**

██████████, surveillance, nothng done for 6 motnhs..

Prepare for possible indictment defense.