

Dear Marie,

Enclosed please find a link to the Interstate Compact for the Transfer of Offender Supervision. The Interstate Compact is an agreement signed by all states and the Virgin Islands. It carries with it the weight of federal law, and in the rare instances where it may conflict with state law, specifically supersedes it. In general it requires the receiving state, to accept the financial and supervisory burden of offenders whose transfer has been requested. In the vast majority of cases, (especially those of a transfer to the offenders state of primary residence) the acceptance is mandatory. This applies as well to sex offenders, but in these instances the offender must wait in the sending state until reporting instructions actually arrive. (Pg 25). In addition if there are enhanced restrictions, the receiving state, due to the extra financial burden normally associated with such cases has the right, after it conducts its own investigation to deny the transfer. In Mr. Epstein's case, an investigation was done and the Virgin Islands has agreed to accept his transfer along with all the enhanced Florida restrictions (community control provisions). This is not unprecedented.

As his attorneys, we can see no possible prohibition of a state transfer of supervision in the non-prosecution agreement, and would suggest that the federal government would have little involvement or interest in which state Mr. Epstein completed his Florida state sentence. So that we may proceed accordingly, I would appreciate your acknowledgement that this is the case. We, along with Mr. Epstein, are committed to abide by the terms of our agreement, and with this letter and Roy's note to Jeffrey Sloman, are exercising the caution you suggested and taking these extra steps to avoid any potential conflict with your office.