

RW

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JANE DOE NO. 2,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

_____ /

JANE DOE NO. 3,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80232-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

_____ /

JANE DOE NO. 4,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80380-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs. JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

_____ /

JANE DOE NO. 5,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80381-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE NO. 6,

CASE NO.: 08-80994-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE NO. 7,

CASE NO.: 08-80993-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

██████,

CASE NO.: 08-80811-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE,

CASE NO.: 08-80893-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN et al,

Defendants.

DOE II,

CASE NO.: 09- 80469-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN et al,

Defendants.

JANE DOE NO. 101,

CASE NO.: 09- 80591-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

JANE DOE NO. 102

CASE NO.: 09- 80656-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

**ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS JANE DOE NOS. 101 AND 102'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER
FOR THE PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE**

THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Plaintiffs Jane Doe No. 101 and Jane Doe No. 102's Motion for an Order for the Preservation of Evidence (DE 114), filed May 26, 2009. The

motion is fully briefed and ripe for review. See DE's 151, 168. The Court has carefully considered the motion, response, and reply, and is otherwise fully advised in the premises.

The Court intends to **GRANT** Plaintiffs' motion for an order for the preservation of evidence. However, Plaintiffs' proposed order is overly broad. Accordingly, the Court directs the parties to attempt to agree upon language for an order directing Defendant to preserve evidence and submit an agreed order to the Court no later than July 20, 2009. If the parties cannot agree, then each party shall submit a proposed order by the foregoing date.

In the interim and until further order of the Court, Defendant shall not destroy any evidence in his possession, custody, or control that is relevant to these cases or that may lead to the discovery of evidence relevant to these cases.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 6th day of July, 2009



KENNETH A. MARRA
United States District Judge

Copies furnished to:
all counsel of record