

Dear Ms Reich.

I write this to respond to your memo of feb 16 . In my email , I suggested that I was told that Mr Lefcourt would call me , now over a week, ago.. I am under strict instructions that this matter not be sent up to Mr. Epstein, until I and your firm agreed on the amounts and the facts..

Unfortunately, it still appears that after being shown numerous errors in your statements and invoices, you have yet to understand the accounting errors, inaccuracies, and the history of the billing issues.

First let me assure you that I know Mr Epstein has the greatest affection for Mr. Lefcourt. He has never spoken about him or your firm in anything but glowing terms. That being said. On at least two separate occasions he at my prompting brought to Mr Lefcourts attention the seemingly impossible rate at which bills were being sent. In contrary to your assertions regarding the method of billing, your like every other firm, ws asked to not send detailed bills, as those bills would not be privileged. He never discouraged the sending of bills, and we questioned the overly large amounts billed by your firm in comparison to every other. . Mr Lefcourt responded that he had checked both times, andthat the bills had been checked and re-checked. He stated that his whole firm was working for Jeffrey so that is what he should expect. I note that as these payment were in 2006 and early 2007, tax returns filed for those years must have reflected erros of serious size. In firms that have a multiple of your firms annual billings , and error of 50 k would not have gone unnoticed the magnitude of three quarters of a million dollars , in a small firm as yours is unexplainable especially considering the requests for them being verified on more than one occasion.

As an example of what seems to be your continuing unfamiliarity with your firms own billing, you have made the laughable request that I present backup for your firms accounting that states " retainer for Epstein " .. This entry is not on my books,, it is on YOURS. , you ask me to now give you back up for how you erroneously billed us.. In addition, we are not questioning the bills of Klores , they totaled 125k. but your invoices that say YOU paid him 75, k . as a retainer. And then on a single day in aug, billed three 25k invoices on the same day. There was obviously no balancing of your own books.

I find it incumbant upon myself , noting that you have referenced the solid performance of your firm in the Citibank matter, to remind you in no uncertain terms . that when your firm initially got involved in Mr Epstein s criminal matter, he had a signed agreement for a single felony count, with three years probation. And no sex registration. A deal that the police had reluctantly signed on to. It is no secret that the final result of Mr Epsteins jail, sentence plus a registration, was the final outcome your firm achieved . There are no proud attorneys here, and least of all , as you were the lead attorneys should you be in a self congragulatory mode. There has been a general acknowledgement by every other firm involved that the results were far less than stellar, and all have made, substantial reductions in their billings. That is not my concern, as I have previously expressed on numerous occasions. That is between Mr Lefcourt and Mr Epstein. I reiterate that we were in 2007-8 overbilled by 800k , a not insignifigant amount.

We have not reviewed your hourly billing, however if the review we have done of your expenses are any guide, you now ask me to accept your word that those numbers are accurate. It would be irresponsible on my part to make that recommendation to Mr Epstein at this time.

Please wire the overbilled amount to /