

From: Jeevacation <jeevacation@gmail.com>
To: Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
Date: Wed, 28 Apr 2010 22:04:12 +0000

Let's talk tomorrow , thanks for yesterday I think we should reference the specaik mAter that we have proposed reflects sloman letter to davis

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 28, 2010, at 5:17 PM, "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Before sending the letter I want to re-address the decision not to make an add'l offer of settlement/payment. I am uncomfortable with the growing gap between what has been paid and what is "owed" and whether your best position vis-a-vis the USAO (and if necessary a court) is to have such a substantial gap between what BJ says is owed under the NPA and what has been paid to date. Lets discuss alternatives.

Martin G. Weinberg
MARTIN G. WEINBERG, PC
20 Park Plaza, Suite 1000
Boston, MA 02116

[REDACTED]

This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, [REDACTED], and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.
