

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>

To: "██████████" <██████████>

Subject: Re: richard axel

Date: Thu, 24 Sep 2009 00:56:46 +0000

he could be... am i correct that a virus in essence hijacks dna. and co-opts the system. I believe that dna codes would have developed its own defense mechanism including standard protocols of deception.. so that you would add complicated junk in an attempt to force the virus to attack the wrong part of your code. you would then also create false proteins that by themselves might defend from the next layer of attack... My BIG idea is that where survival of the fittest in mathematics has always been the shortest algorithm , almost by definition, when the concept is overlaid on biology, or embedded in a more complex space, the most efficeint algorithm will be the most vulnerable. It can not be broken down further and still work.. therefore the fittest algorithm is flexible , according to the enviornment.. IN essence it is not survival of the fittest, the fitness landscape can reach local mimimas , but they are not safe. from attack.. DNA HAS construced a defense mechansim based on deception camaflauge, false info, extra junk,, THE work should not be what trait comes from what gene , that is only a quarter of the space. the other is what genes are fakes, what traits are protective mechanisms, the fittest can fool the enemy and change dynamically as the enemy does. for example making like hepatitu making multiple useless copies, so when they are attacked, a great deal of energy is expended fighting mere dummies,

On Wed, Sep 23, 2009 at 8:22 PM, <██████████> wrote:

exactly my thought

why don't we make a vaccine against "virus" instead of one that does all the specifics? so what if it kills the good ones too. humans are good at adapting. we keep looking for smaller and more esoteric things so that people can get funding for the labs and PhDs. i think we need to start going the opposite direction and looking bigger and more general.

ok i will write down pb number.
i know your schedule is wacky
is nowak in nyc?

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>

To: ██████████

Sent: Wed, Sep 23, 2009 4:55 pm

Subject: Re: richard axel

pb 561 655 7626 yes , axel is great. . he should meet with martin novak , from harvard... attacking infectious disesase through game and evolutionary theory,, why stop one disease , if you can find the algorithm to fight them all.

On Wed, Sep 23, 2009 at 7:16 PM, <██████████> wrote:

bill is going to nyc in november for a few meetings

i think one of them will involve him meeting with richard axel - i am sure they have met before
just fyi

if you think there's anyone he should meet (for funding or other informational purposes) let me know?

tried calling your nyc and it says that it doesn't accept messages anymore!

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.