

From: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>
To: "Jeffery Edwards" <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Cc: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
Date: Tue, 16 Mar 2010 01:08:54 +0000

1. Par 7 of NPA (plus later discussions, letters particularly in or around 10-07) all assume that J has right to provide legal representation to anyone on list who selects him at least for settlement purposes - J can say "no" and withdraw as atty rep considering his job done (except for JD 103) given the 18 months or so he has been "employed" but you cannot issue an imperative directing him not to accept new clients without risking a breach. At most, you can re-assert your belief that J should not file litigation - a position Jay expressed frequently as early as 10-07 - but cannot insist that he cannot review options with someone on list without risking the intervention of the USAO. I would reserve right to object to his filing litigation - but not direct that he refuse to see clients. I would consider with Bob whether there is a way to limit, cap fees. Again, if he chooses to honor his agreement, it must be his decision - not a response to your directive

Martin G. Weinberg
MARTIN G. WEINBERG, PC
20 Park Plaza, Suite 1000
Boston, MA 02116
[REDACTED]

This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.
