

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 502009CA040800XXXXMBAG

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

SCOTT ROTHSTEIN, individually,
BRADLEY J. EDWARDS, individually, and
█l., individually,

Defendant(s).

**RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-DEFENDANT
JEFFREY EPSTEIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO
DEFENDANT/COUNTER-PLAINTIFF BRADLEY EDWARDS**


Bradley J. Edwards, by and through his undersigned attorneys and pursuant to Rule 1.350, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby responds to Jeffrey Epstein's, Request for Production dated September 5, 2017 as follows:

1. Objection. Attorney work product.
2. Objection. The reference to "the period in question" is undefined, vague and ambiguous; the request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.
3. Objection. The request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.

4. Objection. The request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.
5. Objection. The request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.
6. Objection. The request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.
7. Objection. The request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.
8. Objection. The request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.

- 9. Objection. The request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.
- 10. Objection. The request is overly broad and seeks information that is irrelevant, immaterial, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; information requested includes attorney work product; attorney-client privileged information, and information protected by rights to financial privacy.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent via E-Serve to all Counsel on the attached list, this 5th day of October, 2017.



JACK SCAROLA
Florida Bar No.: [REDACTED]
Attorney E-Mail(s): [REDACTED]; and
mm [REDACTED]
Primary E-Mail: [REDACTED]
Searcy Denney Scarola Barnhart & Shipley, [REDACTED].
2139 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard
West Palm Beach, Florida 33409
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Bradley J. Edwards

COUNSEL LIST

William Chester Brewer, Esquire
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
250 S Australian Avenue, Suite 1400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Jack A. Goldberger, Esquire
[REDACTED];
Atterbury Goldberger & Weiss, [REDACTED].
250 Australian Avenue S, Suite 1400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Bradley J. Edwards, Esquire
[REDACTED]
Farmer Jaffe Weissing Edwards Fistos &
Lehrman, [REDACTED].
425 N Andrews Avenue, Suite 2
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]

Fred Haddad, Esquire
[REDACTED];
Fred Haddad, [REDACTED].
One Financial Plaza, Suite 2612
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Tonja Haddad Coleman, Esquire
[REDACTED];
Tonja Haddad, [REDACTED].
315 SE 7th Street, Suite 301
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Marc S. Nurik, Esquire
[REDACTED]
One E Broward Blvd., Suite 700
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Attorneys for Scott Rothstein