

From: David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>
To: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Cc: gino yu <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re:
Date: Mon, 15 Aug 2016 13:28:21 +0000

I would love to do that. Definitely has higher probability of success.

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 15, 2016, at 8:52 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

I greatly appreciate the honesty, I don't think will work with pictures. but might work face to face. if it will work at all. if so I would try 10 women, and ten names. . you will need to touch each one. .

On Sun, Aug 14, 2016 at 10:32 PM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Hi Jeffrey and Gino,

Earlier today, I sat down with 15 pictures and 15 names and tried to match them. It turned out to be quite a struggle -- primarily because people were very similar. For instance, I'd have descriptions like this:

Shy but relatively happy on the outside
Filled with frustration and anxiety
Burning with rage deep down

But out of the 15 people, that could vaguely describe 3 or 4 them. So my matching ending up looking like a convoluted chart.

What I concluded was that I need a bit more help designing this experiment. Ideally, rather than matching 15 names to pictures, I have a bunch of binary decisions (A or B). One would expect me to get 50% right. IF the people are emotionally different enough (happy v. sad, for instance) I hypothesize I will do considerably better than chance. Does that make sense?

I have started to go down this road with my friend at NYU. Happy to discuss with Gino as well.

And of course, happy to submit to MRI machines and the like to figure what's happening on that end.

Open to any and all thoughts. Science, clearly, is not my gift.

Very best,
David

On Tue, Aug 9, 2016 at 1:49 PM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Hi Jeffrey,

Sorry it took a beat to respond.

This weekend, when I have space and time, I'll ask a friend to lay out some pictures and names and see how I do. And I'll report back what I learn.

I've been thinking about this for a while -- and I do feel we ultimately need a more rigorous experiment. But I like your idea, at least to start, of just giving it a good and seeing.

Great meeting you.
David

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 7, 2016, at 6:22 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

david, if you can do the name to photo test. in a 25 picture test. it could be an interesting begining, ,
I suggst you take twenty women all availbel on google or facebook and try. ,

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved