

From: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>
To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: ALT MIN , not in literatue
Date: Thu, 11 Jun 2015 14:25:41 +0000

HAVE FUN. AND WHEN WE SEE EACH OTHER AGAIN I WILL TRY TO SHOW YOU A PICTURE OF THE ELEPHANT. REGARDS TO VALERIA

On Thu, Jun 11, 2015 at 10:22 AM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Off for a couple of days, events in my old home town (Philly). Just a few comments, then closing up for a while.

"The essence of life" is much too hard a problem for contemporary science, even for insects, let alone humans. UG is the genetic basis for the language faculty, and we can define VG as the genetic basis for the visual system (CG is too heterogeneous to be a useful concept, I suppose). I don't agree that nothing meaningful has been said about how these biological systems "accomplish what they do." Take just what I mentioned as illustrations, rigidity and structure-dependence. There are quite non-trivial results about each, with significant consequences. There's no known analogy, let alone homology, between these systems, though of course at some level they're constituted of cells. Plenty of secrets are safe, much as in physics and other areas of biology.

Just don't get the rest. There are important real world consequences to these transactions, as there are to the radically regressive tax system in Texas, just reported, even more so than in the rest of the country.

But topics for talk when we have a chance.

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 7:06 AM
To: Noam Chomsky
Subject: Re: ALT MIN , not in literatue

up till 4 am , reading. every night. thanks . great fun - my conjecture (after 25 years of funding of bio-math, math physiology , synthetic biology, AI , general intelligence. . far eastern medicine. placebo effect , **fmri's** up the kazoo,)

All Biology is composed of redundant and degenerative systems. **fractalized** to a degree.i.e. single cell , multi cell. organisms etc. The single cell subordinating its description in the description of the whole. Both you and I agree that all mathematical models fall far short of getting to the essence of life- most have tried. I propose that your **UG** , I- language, is just one of many " cells " in the system. There is likely a VG for vision.(the input part can be ignored) and and a CG for cognition.(shared in some similar form by other animals). Nothing really meaningful to date has been said about how any one of these "cells" ,nor in fact any living system, accomplishes what it does. **UG** included . While the **UG** is found only in humans Are the structures of **UG**- VG- CG homologous? probably ,- more likely than not - as they would have evolved all being embedded in the same local environment. In keeping with biology's redundancy they may have also tried

many combinations in attempting to form into a UVC-G organism . (turtles all the way down). CG, VG and UG are parts of a living system. All their well kept secrets of operation - still safe.

Im afraid your cries against subsidies ,is akin the blind man feeling the tail of the elephant. . I will set aside my caustic jewish side and present it in suffi terms .

"The sensual eye is just like the palm of the hand. The palm has not the means of covering the whole of the beast." sweet ?

The difficulty is the essence of money. what is it? It is nothing more than an accounting means. this is constantly overlooked . ex, we " loan " Israel " three billion dollars a year " . with that loan they must use it to buy U.S. military equipment. or Boeings. we record the transaction as a sale of us goods to a foreign country , alongside every other export. . increasing and puffing up our balance of trade numbers . all a fiction. we print the money, loan it out and account for it as income. - at the same time we prohibit direct subsidies of Airbus by the EU. as a violation of the agreements of fair trade.

2ex . we pay 1million soldier salaries. out of the defense budget . a standing army that plays war games for most of the past 50 years.trains for battles that are never actualized . we could not do it as a welfare payment , but the accounting would make it virtually impossible .

On Wed, Jun 10, 2015 at 9:50 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I thought section 8 subsidized only low income rent, unlike the purchase deductions. I don't follow the logic that every tax is regressive. It doesn't have to be balanced by food stamps. It could be balanced by cutting back the trillions of dollars of subsidies annually to energy corporations, the tens of billions to financial institutions, military expenditures, and much else. These are policy choices.

Don't follow the rest. Something for us to talk about when there's a chance.

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 8:56 PM
To: Noam Chomsky
Subject: Re: ALT MIN , not in literatue

section 8 housing does in fact subsidize rent.. the failing is not following the money to the end . I am not a fan of the deduction. any tax is regressive. . it is balanced by credits , health care , food stamps. . similar to my banking analogy, money appears to be going somewhere , but as it is created out of thin air by fractional reserve banking, . its difficult to find equilibrium. the system is not closed.

Vision is an input system yes, but its down the line effect is to map one mindbrain state to another, shape changing . I think of the I language as a shape . shapes are not input out devices. what is nice about shapes , is it is readily apparent if something is either part of or not part of the shape. coherent or not. just as in music if the symphony is composed of thousands of notes. melodies harmonies prosody it is readily apparent that even one bad note does not belong.

On Wed, Jun 10, 2015 at 8:31 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

So the payments have fallen, and the deductions have fallen with them. But the net effect is a benefit for those who pay the deduction, isn't it?

The question wasn't whether there should be deductions but whether the general policy was regressive. Could be implemented in many ways – e.g., covering rent. What you said about estate tax sounds convincing to me

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 7:55 PM

To: Noam Chomsky

Subject: Re: ALT MIN , not in literatue

while mortgage interest payments (“that greatest of middle class costs”) have fallen. ? -- the home mortgage deduction and therefore its concurrent tax benefit to the wealthy is now less than it was.): is it your view that farmers should get a deduction for their homes but other homeowners not. ? . as i said in the car simply taxing inheritances the same exact way as all other transfers. ordinary income or capital gains upon receipt. would solve a great deal . the forbes 400 alone as over 1.5 trillioin in assets. they would be taxed within a number of years.

Michael Wolfe. / writer.

On Wed, Jun 10, 2015 at 7:41 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Don't follow. It's a deduction for the wealthy, how is it less benefit to them? Are they better off if they don't deduct?

Clark's British (and I was mistaken – he's an economic journalist, not a professional economist). He's referring just to England. I think it makes the point, whether or not these particular facts hold for the US. A lot could be added. E.g., the highly regressive consumption taxes (worse in Europe often). Less money for food stamps is surely bad news, but that doesn't come from deductions. Rather, from the fanatic right-wing anti-tax policies, strongly opposed by the public (who consistently want higher taxes on the rich).

Incidentally, who was it who we met at your apartment the other day. With my bad hearing, I never caught his name.

Arrow's position sounds very plausible to me.

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 4:46 PM

To: Noam Chomsky

Subject: Re: ALT MIN , not in literatue

AHH now a subject that is easy. economic theories can be studied ad nauseum they need to include time energy , doesn't help the the people that you an I care about. . one way to read yours statement is that lower mortgage interest deductions meant LESS benefit to the wealthy. its a deduction! . he writes about england and the us. two different currencies. inflation in one deflation in the other. arguments framed in percentages are an axe on the poor and a distraction to the rich. in my example with cooks analysis, less money available for food stamps.

I read ken arrows work. Larry summers uncle. . he suuggests that to make a difference a large lump needs to be given to the bottom , not dripped out over time. interesting view

On Wed, Jun 10, 2015 at 2:17 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Interesting, but now we're getting into really tricky calculations. To take an example recently discussed by economist Tom Clark, costs of essentials "that weigh especially heavily in the budgets of poorer families" have risen sharply, while mortgage interest payments ("that greatest of middle class costs") have fallen. He estimates that inflation has been between one third and one half higher for the poor since the crash. And that's the bare beginning, and of course not considering the huge sums like the subsidies to energy corporations, etc.

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2015 10:52 AM

To: Noam Chomsky

Subject: ALT MIN , not in literatue

alt min tax =A tax calculation that adds certain tax preference items back into adjusted gross income. how to find the distribution you asked needs to take into account the following example. Mr P and Mr R. R has a huge home , and deducts 30k of interest (max) on his return. . (tax savings a@30 percent rate , 10k). his deduction however is added back into gross income under the alt minimum . increase by 30k @tax rate of 40 (12 k) net 2k more in tax. 12k - 10k . state and federal included. , Mr P given 2k in earned income credit, and food stamps. on a sliding scale. payment . plus 2k. . Mr R , had in his mind that he was getting 30k help from govt so he hired Mr P to do his landscape. . The bank that lent the mortgage to MR P . shows a mix of low risk and medium risk loans. having Mr R on its books allows it to make the more risky home loan to Mr P. The value of all the homes in the neighborhood increase as a result of the well done landscape on MR s house, and the value of Mr Ps house rises along side of it. I can go on and on . Mr Ps children are happier.

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved