

**From:** "Martin G. Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>

**To:** "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>, <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Re: ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

**Date:** Tue, 27 Feb 2018 01:11:21 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

I don't know all the ins/outs, strategy re current case but my defaults would be to rely on a pleading not an indirect press release and to prioritize using in the case before you in the most effective way you can Around in AM if you want to discuss.

**From:** [jeffrey E.](#)

**Sent:** Monday, February 26, 2018 5:50 PM

**To:** [Martin G. Weinberg](#)

**Subject:** Re: ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

maybe alan releases to the press.? dont want to wait until trial. . another summary judgement motion. ? at the same time release to the press. in FULL.

On Mon, Feb 26, 2018 at 5:45 PM, Martin G. Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Not sure how the prior law firm would have received these emails but they surely have a chain of custody, a disc from which they were printed, others before/after. You don't want [REDACTED] to be able to say they were inadvertently disclosed and must be returned i.e. inadmissible which is a lawyer's first move when his emails/work product were disseminated. Seems different than the Rothstein emails which may have come from a separate discovery production. Not to in anyway discourage a pretrial proactive approach, but together they are most devastating if [REDACTED] (not dreaming you have them) first denies the content and then is confronted with his own writings – last night/today's .

**From:** [jeffrey E.](#)

**Sent:** Monday, February 26, 2018 4:20 PM

**To:** [Martin Weinberg](#) ; [Jack Goldberger](#) ; [Darren Indyke](#) ; [Scott J. Link](#)

**Subject:** Fwd: Baiting to Sue

scott great work. I am very troubled by the things you have found. and have been previsouly overlooked. not sure how we have brad to casell emails. there must be more. darren , if we need more reveiw help should we get [REDACTED] or someone else involved. we need a thorough review of emails. this is NUTs it also has professor cassell talking about his 4 percent and shooting his wad,

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [Scott J. Link](#) <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, Feb 26, 2018 at 4:01 PM

**Subject:** Baiting to Sue

**To:** "jeffrey E." <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>>, "[REDACTED]" <[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])>

Darren Please Call me after you read the attached emails between Brad and Paul. Jeffrey we can talk about their content tomorrow.

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by

return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

---



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved