

**From:** Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**To:** Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE  
**Date:** Wed, 17 Jun 2009 12:16:43 +0000

---

i need three minutes on the phone thanks [REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 17, 2009 at 8:12 AM, Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

On Pg 9 of the final MGW edited letter, as circulated around 1 AM, I had as a footnote a lengthy statement about 2255 issues and why the M to D was not a breach. I have added a new sentence as an introduction to the paragraph and think it should be in text rather than as a footnote. It is attached.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Martin Weinberg](#)  
**To:** [Jay Lefkowitz](#) ; [Jeffery Edwards](#) ; [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 17, 2009 12:25 AM  
**Subject:** ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

MGW edits in BLUE

JE and DK1 edits in RED

To you Jay

I think its in good shape

See my rewriting of the final "breach" issue particularly a footnote that needs to be carefully assessed given its potential impact on future 2255 and given its giving warning on future issues at the crossroads of the NPA and 2255

More:

- 1) Bob or Jay should draft [REDACTED] Doe 102/ Statute issue asap - and provide this to MV in advance - its a laboratory test for USAO and perhaps a ruling by J Marra
- 2) if you agree, withdraw the order on probation, important to do so without delay - should result in separate notice by Jay asap
- 3) I will work with Jack on 1st Am issues re Palm Beach Post case on unsealing

Martin G. Weinberg  
MARTIN G. WEINBERG, PC  
20 Park Plaza, Suite 1000  
Boston, MA 02116

[REDACTED] (FAX)

---

\*\*\*\*\*  
This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, [REDACTED], and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.  
\*\*\*\*\*