

From: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
To: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Cc: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Fwd:
Date: Thu, 07 Dec 2017 17:10:45 +0000

As you know, I have been very unsatisfied with Deborah's performance. She assumed the role of a judge and decided to make decisions according to her judgement about me (biased by her father's experience and by her own prejudices).

I am absolutely aware that I have been judged and condemned by them in the inner circle and "circus" they established.

She has been agreeing with Max all the time and I wouldn't be surprised even if she was advising all of them, through Max, that Noam (because of his new wife) should NOT have access to his own money. And guaranteed them that she was not going to take any actions about it. (She actually told me once in her office, that Noam did not have rights to the assets of the marital trusts, since Noam's children were the beneficiaries.)

Therefore, she doesn't want to expose herself to Max and to the children, as providing Noam with material that proves that Noam is entitled to. She wants to make look like, on the contrary, despite her efforts to follow Max's agenda, that Noam is demanding some actions and as his legal representative, she has to follow his requests. This will free her in Max's and the children's eyes. And also in Noam's eyes. All very simple.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
Date: Thu, Dec 7, 2017 at 11:29 AM
Subject: Fwd:
To: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Dec 7, 2017 at 4:03 AM
Subject: Re:
To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

like the military, can be good for an individual . but needs a group. I think "understanding" might be similar as a group function as the visual system is for the individual. . it needs collective input and then with a recursive iterations focuses on a concept

you should have the call with your children asap. feel free to send them debras memo so they see what you see. her privilege argument makes no sense. you WANT the other side to see your justifications for your position. she is just trying to stay out of the mix. as she has done virtually nothing to get you what you clearly are entitled to. shameful

On Thu, Dec 7, 2017 at 1:52 AM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

If done properly, like offering free and creative opportunities for kids, I think it can be good for the individual.

And with your immense help, for which I can't express enough gratitude, we're working our way through it I think

On Wed, Dec 6, 2017 at 9:09 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

no question in my mind that it is a huge benefit for the group. but my conjecture is that it is not necessarily good for the individual. . like being a hero (throwing yourself on a grenade) . good for the group not so good for the hero. . as writing forces a linear slow pace. I wonder if in a large population , handwriting will be correlated with G . anyway , I need to get my mind off the way you have been getting screwed. drives me crazy.

On Wed, Dec 6, 2017 at 10:51 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Good to have a fun note.

I suspect that forcing children to do anything is probably harmful. But it's possible to introduce writing and (secondarily) reading in a way that seems to enhance thinking. That's the idea behind "invented spelling" (here's a link to some of the work: https://pages.wustl.edu/files/pages/imce/treiman/Read%20%26%20Treiman_Childrens_Invented_Spelling_final.pdf). Back in the early '50s, a philosopher friend of mine (Israel Scheffler) brought me some pages on which his two-year old son had written things that he thought were gibberish, wondering if I could make something of it. It was immediately obvious that the kind had learned the names of the letters and was spontaneously stringing them together to express himself in writing. And making interesting generalizations. Thus the letter "A", pronounced /ey/, he used for the words "came," "pet", "pat"; the letter "i", pronounced /ay/, he used for "pie", "pot," "but/" . And so on. It all made sense. Our kids did the same thing. Carol wrote some papers about it, Charles Read and others carried it forward. Turns out to be a great way to teach reading too. Kids spontaneously express themselves, often in creative way, and the transition to official orthography is not that difficult now that they have the general idea.

There's a debate about it in educational circles, and though I haven't followed it, my impression is that old-fashioned forcing into a mold probably wins out, undermining the joy of self-expression and possibly reading.

As you may know, Galileo regarded invention of the alphabet as among the greatest of all human inventions. Can do no better than quote his own words. He regarded the invention of the alphabet as "surpassing all stupendous inventions," asking "what sublimity of mind was his who dreamed of finding means to communicate his deepest thoughts to any other person, though distant by mighty intervals of place and time! Of talking with those who are in India; of speaking to those who are not yet born and will not be born for a thousand or ten thousand years; and with what facility, by the different arrangements of twenty characters upon a page!"

Shortly after, the same observation was made about the more fundamental matter of sounds (Port Royal Grammar, 1660). I discovered all of this about 50 years ago and wrote about it. Unknown then, still unknown, in my view some of the most profound insights in the human sciences.

Smart guys, the founders of modern science.

On Wed, Dec 6, 2017 at 5:48 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

on a more fun note. is is possible that learning how to write and read, in fact slows down your thinking process. forcing children to write and organize their thoughts in a medium that does not lend itself to speed. is not natural?

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved