

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>

To: "McCaffrey, Carlyn" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re:

Date: Sun, 10 Feb 2013 23:31:01 +0000

we could do a combination , we could do some your investment partnership for some, .

On Sun, Feb 10, 2013 at 7:24 PM, McCaffrey, Carlyn <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I know that the relationship between the strike price and current value are important factors in determining the price of an option but aren't the length of the option and the volatility of the stock also very important? If there are no 10 year publicly traded options we would have to get an appraisal.

Are you sure that a purchase be LB of the partnership interest followed by a sale by him of a call isn't caught by 16b?

On Feb 10, 2013, at 11:37 AM, "Jeffrey Epstein" <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

1. according to the strike, price, 2. the economic effect can be manged in the document. 3, security wise lock up is only concern as long as it happens near the same time and is not deep in the money, 4, the price of the option reflects the risk of that,

On Sun, Feb 10, 2013 at 12:27 PM, McCaffrey, Carlyn <[REDACTED]> wrote:

What would the price of a 10 year option be?

Although he can buy the PS interest , the call will have to be on the stock itself.

Your structure bypasses 2703 because LB will never deliver the stock pursuant to the option. The economic effect, however, is the same. That's why some think it doesn't work.

What are the securities law consequences of LB buying stock and the selling a call?

Does the purchaser of a publicly traded call risk the possibility that the stock value will not increase because all the growth will be paid out in dividends?

On Feb 10, 2013, at 10:36 AM, "Jeffrey Epstein" <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

good first try, yes call options are publicly traded, we would do five to ten year terms, , he could purchase the partner ship interest i guess, valuation the issue , he could decide on how much, we wouldn't need to bypass 2703, the stock would be full value but there would be an liability against it , which could not be ignored. he would not need much money to live as he would have the full dividends.

On Sun, Feb 10, 2013 at 11:16 AM, McCaffrey, Carlyn <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I agree (although at least one of my partners does not) that you can avoid the reach of section 2703 with a cash settled option.

How would we price the option? Are call options on the stock publicly traded? How are dividends treated under the normal stock option?

Here's how I understand your proposal.

Step 1 - LB buys stock from Trust (T) for \$1B. LB issues a \$1B note to T bearing interest at X%, and secured by a lien on his art. We need to discuss what X should be. We also need to think about the mechanics which are made a little difficult by the fact that the stock is held in a partnership. We also need to think about the fact that much of his art is already subject to a lien held by US Trust.

Step #2 - LB sells a 2 year call to T. The price for the call is \$.1B (obviously, a rough estimate); the strike price is the current market price.

Step #3 - During the 2 year period of the call, LB spends the \$.1B on living expenses, taxes, art, etc.

Step #4 -Alternative 1 - the \$1B worth of stock is now worth \$1.2 B. LB owes T .2 Billion. He issues a note to settle the option. No income tax consequences because of grantor trust rules. The trust is ahead but not as much as it would have been if it hadn't entered into the transaction but LB's spending needs have been taken care of.

Step #4 - Alternative 2 - the \$1B worth of stock is still worth \$1B. LB owes T nothing. T has lost .1B, but LB's spending needs have been taken care of.

Step#5 - LB sells another 2 year call to T, etc.

There are no income tax consequences because of the grantor trust rules.

What are the securities law consequences? Are any of these transactions covered by Rule 16b? Do these transactions have to be reported?

Is this what you had in mind?

From: Jeffrey Epstein [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2013 8:11 PM
To: McCaffrey, Carlyn
Subject:

i have reviewed the 2703 regs, i don't see the issue, if the trust buys an option, at market, today and the stock goes up, the stock gets valued at the fair market , but the cash settled option comes due reducing the value of the estate. , bringing the same net result. and even better if debra gets the stock with a step up, what am i missing?

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained herein (including any attachments), unless specifically stated otherwise, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purposes of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter herein.

This message is a PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL communication. This message and all attachments are a private communication sent by a law firm and may be confidential or protected by privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the information contained in or attached to this message is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender of the delivery error by replying to this message, and then delete it from your system. Thank you.

Please visit [REDACTED] for more information about our Firm.

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved